Effects of Low AIPL1 Expression on Phototransduction in Rods
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PURPOSE. To investigate the impact of aryl hydrocarbon receptor-interacting protein-like (AIPL)-1 on photoreception in rods.

METHODS. Photoresponses of mouse rods expressing lowered amounts of AIPL1 were studied by single-cell and electrophoretogram (ERG) recordings. Phototransduction protein levels and enzymatic activities were determined in biochemical assays. Ca2+ dynamics were probed with a fluorescent dye. Comparisons were made to rods expressing mutant Y99C guanylate cyclase activating protein (GCAP)-1, to understand which effects arose from elevated dark levels of cGMP and Ca2+.

RESULTS. Except for PDE, transduction protein levels were normal in low-AIPL1 retinas, as were guanylate cyclase (GC), rhodopsin kinase (RK), and normalized phosphodiesterase (PDE) activities. Y99C and low-AIPL1 rods were more sensitive to flashes than normal, but flash responses of low-AIPL1 rods showed an abnormal delay, reduced rate of increase, and longer recovery not present in Y99C rod responses. In addition, low-AIPL1 rods but not Y99C rods failed to reach the normal light-induced minimum in Ca2+ concentration.

CONCLUSIONS. Reduced AIPL1 delayed the photoresponse, decreased its amplification constant, slowed a rate-limiting step in its recovery, and limited the light-induced decrease in Ca2+.

Not all changes were attributable to decreased PDE or to elevated cGMP and Ca2+ in darkness. Therefore, AIPL1 directly or indirectly affects more than one component of phototransduction. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2006;47:2185–2194) DOI:10.1167/iovs.05-1341

Rod photoreceptors detect and count single photons. Their large pools of rhodopsin stand poised for photon capture. Photoexcitation of a single rhodopsin initiates a biochemical cascade by activating more than a hundred transducins.1–3 Each transducin binds a phosphodiesterase catalytic subunit and releases it from an inhibition, allowing it to hydrolyze cGMP. As cGMP levels fall, cation channels that are gated by cGMP begin to close. The rod hyperpolarizes, and release of neurotransmitter onto second-order neurons subsides. The photocurrent response amplitude and duration are limited by the shutoff and recovery processes in the rod. Phosphorylation and arrestin binding shut off rhodopsin. PDE activity is controlled by transducin. After transducin hydrolyzes its bound GTP, transducin and the PDE bound to it become inactive. cGMP levels are restored by GC. The rate of synthesis is subject to negative feedback control, triggered by the decline in intracellular Ca2+ that occurs after closure of the cGMP-gated channels. In low Ca2+, guanylate cyclase activating proteins (GCAPs) quicken the recovery by stimulating GC to produce cGMP at a faster rate.

Disturbances in cGMP metabolism have been linked to several degenerative retinal diseases4–5 (http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/RetNet/disease.htm/) provided in the public domain by the University of Texas Houston Health Science Center, Houston, TX). Mutations in AIPL1, the putative specialized chaperone required for proper expression of PDE in rods,6–7 give rise to Leber congenital amaurosis.8,9 In one form of cone–rod dystrophy a Y99C mutation in GCAP1 shifts its inhibitory effect on cGMP synthesis to very high Ca2+ levels that normally lie outside the physiological range.10–13 As a result, the light-induced increase in guanylate cyclase activity persists for a longer period after photon absorption. In transgenic mice expressing Y99C GCAP1 or that have reduced expression of AIPL1, rods appear to equilibrate at elevated levels of free cGMP and Ca2+ in darkness. In this report, we compared the properties of low-AIPL1 rods to those of Y99C GCAP1 rods. The latter rods provided an important positive control for heightened levels of cGMP and Ca2+ in darkness. In this report, we compared the properties of low-AIPL1 rods to those of Y99C GCAP1 rods. The latter rods provided an important positive control for heightened levels of cGMP and Ca2+ in darkness, which affect phototransduction directly and possibly also indirectly (e.g., by changing the expression levels of certain proteins). Our results indicated that low AIPL1 does more than simply affect levels of PDE expression.

METHODS

Mice with reduced expression of AIPL1 and mice expressing Y99C GCAP1 on a wild-type (WT) background were generated.6,14 The experiments on Y99C mice were performed on the L52H and L53
lines, in which expression of the mutant GCAP1 relative to endogenous was 1:1 to 2:1 and 3:1 to 4:1, respectively. All experiments conformed to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

**Physiological Methods**

Methods for recording responses from single cells, the ERG and the intracellular concentration of free Ca²⁺ have been described previously. Some of the experiments were performed on the mice in those studies. Statistics were analyzed by computer (Sas, ver. 6; Stata Corp., College Station, TX; and JMP, ver. 3.2, or PROC MIXED of SAS, ver. 6.12; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Electron Microscopy**

Enucleated eyes from 3–6-week-old low-AIPL1 mice and sibling controls were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% formaldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer with 0.08 M CaCl₂ at 4°C. The tissue was postfixed in 2% aqueous OsO₄ and then with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate. Tissue was dehydrated in graded ethanol, transitioned in propylene oxide, and embedded in Epon. One-micrometer-thick sections were stained with toluidine blue in borate buffer. Sections, 70 to 90 nm in thickness, were stained with uranyl acetate and Sato’s lead stain and examined on an electron microscope (CM-10; Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

**Biochemical Analyses**

Retinas were harvested from mice under infrared illumination after at least 18 hours of dark adaptation. A sample was removed for the spectrophotometric quantification of rhodopsin content. Other samples were subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to membranes (Immobilon-FL; Millipore, Billerica, MA). The membranes were incubated with anti-PDE (1:1000; CytoSignal, Irvine, CA), anti-recoverin (1:50,000, P26), or anti-RGS9 (1:1,000, a gift from Theodore G. Wensel, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX) antibodies followed by fluorochrome-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000, IRDye800; Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA) and analyzed with an infrared imaging system (Odyssey; LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE).

For measurements of light-activated PDE activity, rod outer segment (ROS) membranes were prepared with proteinase inhibitors (Complete Mini, EDTA-free Proteinase Inhibitor Cocktail; Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). Membranes containing 40 picomoles rhodopsin were incubated with 50 units of streptolysin O (Complete Mini, EDTA-free Proteinase Inhibitor Cocktail; Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) for 5 minutes at 30°C. In some samples, rhodopsin concentration was quantified spectrophotometrically. Lipids were extracted twice from disc membranes in a 1:1:1 mixture of chloroform-methanol-water and once more from a 3:48:47 mixture. The purified lipid extract was stored under N₂ in a known volume of chloroform-methanol (1:1). Fatty acid methyl esters were identified by comparison of their relative retention times with authentic standards.

**Results**

**Enhanced Photoreponses in Low-AIPL1 Mice**

Overall, flash responses from low-AIPL1 and Y99C L52H rods did not differ greatly from those of WT (Fig. 1). Both types of mutant rods tended to be more sensitive, requiring fewer photons to elicit a half-maximum response. As in Y99C rods, the single-photon response in low-AIPL1 rods was probably more gradual, and the time constant for low-AIPL1 rods was approximately two times slower. Integration time was unaffected for Y99C rods. For bright flashes, response saturation time increased linearly with the logarithm of the flash strength. The slope of the saturation function (τₛ) was greater for low-AIPL1 rods. The increases in τₛ obtained from bright flashes and in τₛ determined from dim flashes signify a slower rate-limiting step in the recovery of the photoresponse. Slow recovery in low-AIPL1 rods appeared to be unrelated to ele-
vated cGMP or Ca\(^{2+}\) in darkness because \(\tau_c\) and \(\tau_{r}\) were normal in Y99C rods. Averaged results are summarized in Table 1.

Better resolution of the rising phase of the low-AIPL1 photocurrent was obtained in electroretinographic recordings of the initial, corneal negative a-wave, which summates the photocurrent responses of many rods (Fig. 3). The response to a saturating flash was fit with\(^{27}\)

\[
R = R_{\text{max}}(1 - \exp(-k i)),
\]

where \(R\) is a-wave amplitude, \(i\) is the number of photoisomerizations, \(A\) is the amplification constant of phototransduction, and \(t_{\text{eff}}\) is the latency from flash to the onset of the a-wave. On average, \(t_{\text{eff}}\) was 0.44 ms longer in low-AIPL1 mice.\(^6\) In addition, the trajectory of the a-wave in low-AIPL1 mice was more gradual due to a \(~30\%\) decrement in the amplification constant (Fig. 3B). That the changes in latency and amplification constant of the flash response were caused by the decrease in low-AIPL1 and not by elevations in cGMP, and Ca\(^{2+}\) was
suggested by their absence in a limited number of Y99C L52H single cell (Fig. 2B) and ERG recordings (not shown).

By 8 to 20 weeks, the maximum amplitude of the a-wave was reduced in low-AIPL1 mice by approximately 25%, reflecting a loss of rods. Relative sensitivity was somewhat higher than normal, based on the fractional response to a bright flash. The time to peak or implicit time of the a-wave was longer than that of WT. Age had no interactive effect with mouse type on the latency or the amplification constant of the a-wave. Averaged results are listed in Table 2. With pairs of very bright flashes, low-AIPL1 mice required longer interstimulus intervals for recovery (Fig. 5C), consistent with the increased saturation time observed in single-cell recordings of low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 1).

**Morphology**

Because response kinetics are affected by cytoplasmic volume,\textsuperscript{27,28} we inspected the ultrastructure of low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 4B). The disc-to-disc repeat distance of 310 ± 5 Å in WT increased to 331 ± 4 Å in low-AIPL1 (mean ± SEM, n = 50 rods each). The difference in low-AIPL1 rods appeared to arise mainly from swelling of some of the disks rather than from an increase in their separation. In sections taken tangential to the retina, there was a slight reduction in rod outer segment diameter from 1.44 ± 0.02 μm (n = 59) in WT to 1.32 ± 0.02 μm (n = 59) in low-AIPL1 that would decrease cytoplasmic volume in the latter by 16%.

**Analyses of Protein Expression and Activity and Disc Membrane Composition**

In 12 assays of samples from six pairs of low-AIPL1 and WT mice at 4 to 10 weeks, the amount of PDE in low-AIPL1 retinas was 29% ± 2% of that in WT retinas (Fig. 5A). The result is similar to the 20% ± 1% (n = 6 pairs of mice) obtained by a different method.\textsuperscript{6} In assays of cGMP hydrolysis by PDE, light-induced, as well as maximum trypsin-induced activity in low-AIPL1 ROS were lowered in proportion to the amount of PDE induced, as well as maximum trypsin-induced activity in low-AIPL1. Thus, although low-AIPL1 rods expressed less PDE, all PDE molecules were fully functional.

The amount of transducin,\textsuperscript{14} as well as its light-dependent movement, were normal in low-AIPL1 mice. Nearly all the transducin was localized in the outer segments of dark-adapted rods, and it redistributed to the rod inner segments and synaptic terminals after exposure to bright light in both types of mice (Fig. 6).

**Table 1. Flash Response Properties from Single-Cell Recordings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-AIPL1</th>
<th>Y99C L52H</th>
<th>Y99C L53</th>
<th>WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i_{0.5}$ (photons μm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>30 ± 1 (54)*</td>
<td>45 ± 3 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>51 ± 2 (43)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-photon response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (pA)</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05 (5)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.18 (8)</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.13 (9)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to peak (ms)</td>
<td>232 ± 8 (43)*</td>
<td>170 ± 6 (12)</td>
<td>206 ± 14 (11)</td>
<td>138 ± 7 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time (ms)</td>
<td>467 ± 25 (40)*</td>
<td>367 ± 67 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>303 ± 26 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time constant, $τ_r$ (ms)</td>
<td>495 ± 62 (33)</td>
<td>395 ± 108 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>165 ± 47 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation time constant, $τ_s$ (ms)</td>
<td>428 ± 25 (36)</td>
<td>255 ± 28 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>270 ± 14 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as the mean ± SEM, (n) P where the probability was obtained from an ANOVA followed by a Scheffe test to compare mutant against control parameters. NS, not significant. $i_{0.5}$, the flash strength eliciting a half-maximum response, varies inversely with sensitivity. Single-photon response amplitude was estimated by dividing the ensemble variance by the mean dim flash response amplitude. Kinetics of the single photon response were determined from dim flash responses with amplitudes less than one fifth of the maximum. Time to peak was measured from midflash to the response peak. Integration time was calculated as the integral of the response divided by response amplitude. Recovery time constant refers to a fit of the final declining phase of the dim flash response with a single exponential. Saturation time constant is the slope of the relation between saturation time and the natural logarithm of the flash strength, by linear regression (cf. Fig. 2).

* Includes results reported in Liu et al.\textsuperscript{6}
† Includes results from Olshevskaya et al.\textsuperscript{14,14}

\textsuperscript{14} Includes results from Liu et al.\textsuperscript{6}
\textsuperscript{14} Includes results from Olshevskaya et al.\textsuperscript{14,14}

By 8 to 20 weeks, the maximum amplitude of the a-wave was reduced in low-AIPL1 mice by approximately 25%, reflecting a loss of rods. Relative sensitivity was somewhat higher than normal, based on the fractional response to a bright flash. The time to peak or implicit time of the a-wave was longer than that of WT. Age had no interactive effect with mouse type on the latency or the amplification constant of the a-wave. Averaged results are listed in Table 2. With pairs of very bright flashes, low-AIPL1 mice required longer interstimulus intervals for recovery (Fig. 5C), consistent with the increased saturation time observed in single-cell recordings of low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 1).

**Morphology**

Because response kinetics are affected by cytoplasmic volume,\textsuperscript{27,28} we inspected the ultrastructure of low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 4B). The disc-to-disc repeat distance of 310 ± 5 Å in WT increased to 331 ± 4 Å in low-AIPL1 (mean ± SEM, n = 50 rods each). The difference in low-AIPL1 rods appeared to arise mainly from swelling of some of the disks rather than from an increase in their separation. In sections taken tangential to the retina, there was a slight reduction in rod outer segment diameter from 1.44 ± 0.02 μm (n = 59) in WT to 1.32 ± 0.02 μm (n = 59) in low-AIPL1 that would decrease cytoplasmic volume in the latter by 16%.

**Analyses of Protein Expression and Activity and Disc Membrane Composition**

In 12 assays of samples from six pairs of low-AIPL1 and WT mice at 4 to 10 weeks, the amount of PDE in low-AIPL1 retinas was 29% ± 2% of that in WT retinas (Fig. 5A). The result is similar to the 20% ± 1% (n = 6 pairs of mice) obtained by a different method.\textsuperscript{6} In assays of cGMP hydrolysis by PDE, light-induced, as well as maximum trypsin-induced activity in low-AIPL1 ROS were lowered in proportion to the amount of PDE present (Fig. 5D). Thus, although low-AIPL1 rods expressed less PDE, all PDE molecules were fully functional.

The amount of transducin,\textsuperscript{14} as well as its light-dependent movement, were normal in low-AIPL1 mice. Nearly all the transducin was localized in the outer segments of dark-adapted rods, and it redistributed to the rod inner segments and synaptic terminals after exposure to bright light in both types of mice (Fig. 6).
Although low-AIPL1 mice express the normal amounts of rhodopsin kinase\(^6\) and recoverin (104% ± 9%, six determinations in four pairs of mice, Fig. 5B), improper processing of the proteins could slow the response recovery. However, in ROS exposed to steady light for various periods, there was no indication of a defect in rhodopsin shutoff (Fig. 5E). The rate of rhodopsin phosphorylation at ser334 in low-AIPL1 relative to that in WT was 120% ± 0.05% and that at ser338 was 109% ± 0.02% (four determinations at each site). Knockout of the regulator of G-protein signaling-9 (RGS9) slows the flash response recovery,\(^{29}\) but in low-AIPL1 rods, the relative RGS9 expression was 109% ± 4% (five determinations in three pairs of mice; Fig. 5C).

Rhodopsin concentration can affect photoreceptor kinetic\(^{20}\) (although, cf. Liang et al.\(^{30}\)). However, there were 74 ± 3 phospholipids per rhodopsin in low-AIPL1 preparations (\(n = 3\)), compared with 75 ± 9 in WT (\(n = 4\)), indicating that the rhodopsin concentration was normal. Early changes in fatty acid composition of retinas from rd1 mice have been reported.\(^{31}\) Fatty acid content could slow activation and shutoff rates of phototransduction by diminishing membrane fluidity. No changes that would affect membrane fluidity were observed in low-AIPL1 rod disc membranes: the average fatty acyl chain length was 19.7 ± 0.2 carbon atoms (19.3 ± 0.3 for WT), the average number of double bonds per fatty acid was 2.9 ± 0.2 (2.5 ± 0.5 for WT), and the ratio of saturated to unsaturated fatty acids was 0.7 ± 0.1 mol mol\(^{-1}\) (1.2 ± 0.5 for WT). Analysis of the disc membranes from a single sample of L52H mice gave no indication of a change in fatty acid composition.

**Expression levels of GCAPs affect the Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of cGMP production and photoreceptor kinetics\(^{14,32,33}\) and a decrease in Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive GC activity accompanies downregulation of PDE in other transgenic mice.\(^{34}\) But in low-AIPL1 rods, maximum GC activity and the dependence of activity on Ca\(^{2+}\) were unchanged (Fig. 7). The shifts in GC activities of Y99C retinas to higher Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations\(^{14}\) are shown for comparison.

### Alterations in Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\)

The accumulation of cGMP in low-AIPL1 and in Y99C rods opens a greater fraction of cGMP-gated channels and supports a higher influx of Ca\(^{2+}\). The geometric mean Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations from the results of Liu et al.\(^{6}\) and Olshevskaya et al.\(^{14}\) were 210 nM in WT rods and 360 nM in Y99C L53 rods. The Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in low-AIPL1 and in L52H rods rested at intermediate levels, but statistical significance was not observed, because the magnitude of the differences from WT were relatively small (Table 3).

After a delay, the initial rate of light-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) decline was similar for low-AIPL1, L52H, and WT rods (Fig. 8). There was a longer delay and slower Ca\(^{2+}\) decline in L53 rods, possibly due to severe disruptions in their outer segment ultrastructure (Fig. 4), or because the large excess of GCAP1 served as a rapidly exchanging Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer.

In contrast to WT rods, Ca\(^{2+}\) in low-AIPL1 rods only dropped to ~80 nM, with no sign of any small, protracted decline over an ensuing 100-second period. The restricted

---

**Table 2. Characterization of the ERG**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-AIPL1</th>
<th>WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-Wave latency, (t_{\text{a}}) (ms)</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.10 (8)</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.09 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log A (s(^{-2}))</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.03 (8)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.04 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Wave implicit time ((\mu)s)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 0.4 (10)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 0.3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum a-wave amplitude ((\mu)V)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 to 6 weeks of age: −406 ± 14 (8) vs. −445 ± 22 (9) NS
8 to 20 weeks of age: −311 ± 26 (9) vs. −429 ± 29 (10) 0.008

Data are given as the mean ± SEM, \((n)\) for the probability was obtained from a \(t\)-test. NS, not significant. The a-wave latency and \(A\), the amplification constant, were determined in the mice from Liu et al.\(^{6}\) at 5 to 6 weeks of age by a fitting of saturating flash responses with equation 1 as shown in Figure 3. Geometric means were computed for the amplification constant because of the skewness. Implicit times were measured in different mice at 8 to 20 weeks with a subsaturating flash of 0.2 \(\text{fL/s}\) that produced an estimated 80 photoisomerizations per rod.
Ca\(^{2+}\) fall in low-AIPL1 rods was not simply due to a higher resting level in darkness, because Ca\(^{2+}\) fell to the normal level in L52H and L53 Y99C rods. For an unknown reason, in low-AIPL1 rods, the Na\(^+/K\) \textit{exchanger operated poorly at low Ca\(^{2+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\) continued to enter the outer segment, even after cGMP declined to low levels. Averaged results are summarized in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

On complete AIPL1 ablation, PDE decreases to 10% of WT level.\(^7\) The residual PDE shows no light-activated activity in biochemical assays or by ERG, and cGMP increases to higher than normal concentrations that can trigger the onset of degenerative events. The proportionate declines in rod PDE and AIPL1 proteins without a reduction in mRNA level for any of the PDE subunits suggests that AIPL1 serves as a special chaperone for rod PDE.\(^6\) Abnormalities in the phototransduction responses reveal several mechanistic features of phototransduction, although some seem unrelated to the shortage of PDE.

**The Collision Time for Transducin-PDE**

In normal rods, a latency (\(t_{\text{eff}}\)) separates photon absorption from the onset of the photoresponse. The latency includes the times required for rhodopsin to transform into the catalytically active state (\(t_{R}\)), rhodopsin to bind and activate transducin (\(t_{G}\)), transducin to bind and activate PDE (\(t_{\text{PDE}}\)), cGMP to decline (\(t_{\text{cGMP}}\)), and channels to close (\(t_{\text{chan}}\)).

\[
t_{\text{eff}} = t_{R} + t_{G} + t_{\text{PDE}} + t_{\text{cGMP}} + t_{\text{chan}}
\]  

With 3.4- to 5-fold lower PDE, the separation of transducin on the disc membrane surface from the nearest PDE lengthens by the square root of the change in PDE concentration, approximately twofold. If collision time dominates \(t_{\text{PDE}}\), then it will also increase by twofold. Subtracting the latency of WT rods from that of low-AIPL1 rods,

\[
0.44 \text{ ms} = 2 t_{\text{PDE}} - t_{\text{PDE}}
\]

yields \(t_{\text{PDE}} = 0.44 \text{ ms}\). In ERG recordings of WT mice, we observed a \(t_{\text{eff}}\) of 4.0 ms, similar to the values of 3.1 to 3.4 ms determined by others.\(^{25,30}\) Accordingly, ~10% of the delay in phototransduction arises from the collision time between transducin and PDE in normal mouse rods.

**Inefficient Transducins?**

After emerging from the baseline, the photon response may be characterized by an amplification constant, \(A\).\(^{27}\)
min. and Hill coefficients were: 2.0

0.1, 1.2, and 1.1. 

cGMP min

could have decreased

because the populations were skewed. Log[A[Ca2+] in darkness (nM) 2.41 ± 0.09 (12) 2.44 ± 0.05 (10) 2.56 ± 0.06 (10) 3.22 ± 0.03 (56)

Delay in the fall in Ca2+ (ms) 33 ± 11 (12) 44 ± 14 (14) 97 ± 63 (10) 34 ± 13 (21)

Exponential decline in Ca2+ τ1 (ms) 106 94 185 67

τ2 (ms) 432 340 513 318

Log[Ca2+] in the light (nM) 1.89 ± 0.09 (12) 1.59 ± 0.05 (10) 1.64 ± 0.09 (10) 1.56 ± 0.03 (55)

Data are given as the mean ± SEM. (n) F where the probability was obtained from an analysis of variance followed by the Dunnett test for the Log[Ca2+] and by the Scheffé test for the delay in the decline in Ca2+. NS, not significant. Log[Ca2+] in darkness and in the light were compared because the populations were skewed. Log[Ca2+] was calculated from results published before.6,14 The delay was measured from the beginning of laser exposure until a 10% decline. For many rods, fitting of the decline in Ca2+ with a double-exponential function was very sensitive to the number of points included in the fit. Therefore, the normalized Ca2+ declines for rods of a given type from the delay to 1 s after laser exposure were averaged (Fig. 8) before determining the time constants.
Hill coefficients enlarged the responses, and so the rhodopsin activities were adjusted to equate the WT responses in biochemical assays, simulations with a Hill coefficient of 2 for WT and low-AIPL1, and a Hill coefficient of 1 for Y99C are shown in approximately twofold.\(^47\) The activity of the mid-wavelength-sensitive cone pathway increased was calibrated and converted to Ca\(^{2+}\)/H\(_{11005}\) fraction of transducins failed to activate PDE. The initial cGMP in darkness, because the initial segment of the phototransduction efficiency is in good agreement with 20% to 50% of the transducins becoming entangled with an RGS9 instead of binding PDE. The situation would occur less than 14% of the time in normal rods but would occur just as often in normal cones, where the ratio of RGS9 to PDE approaches 1.\(^2\) Indeed, no improvement in the efficiency of rod phototransduction was apparent in mice lacking RGS9,\(^29\) but sensitivity of the mid-wavelength-sensitive cone pathway increased approximately twofold.\(^47\)

Finally, the reduced rising phase of the photoreponse was unlikely to have been caused by an effect of high Ca\(^{2+}\) or cGMP in darkness, because the initial segment of the photoreponse was normal in Y99C rods. The most probable explanation is that fewer transducins were activated or that a greater fraction of transducins failed to activate PDE.

**Slower Response Recovery in Low-AIPL1 Rods**

Basal PDE activity plays a key role in setting the time course of photoreponse recovery.\(^48\) Because basal PDE activity stems from the spontaneous activity of PDE molecules,\(^49\) it should be \(\geq 3.4\)-to 5-fold lower in low-AIPL1 rods. However, in modeling the single-photon response, decreased basal PDE activity alone did not account for the full slowing of response recovery in low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 9 and Appendix). Also, the large \(\tau_c\) for low-AIPL1 rods (Fig. 1C) reflected a slower shutoff of either rhodopsin or transducin.\(^25,26\) Photoreponse recovery slows with a reduction in rhodopsin kinase expression\(^29\) and speeds up after knockout of recoverin,\(^50\) which mediates Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent inhibition of rhodopsin shutoff. Before significant degeneration, rhodopsin kinase and recoverin levels are normal in AIPL1 knockout\(^7\) and low-AIPL1 retinas\(^6\) (e.g., Fig. 5B). Intracellular localization of rhodopsin kinase is also normal in low-AIPL1 rods. \(\tau_c\) was unaffected in Y99C rods, and so differences in dark-adapted Ca\(^{2+}\) levels did not greatly affect recoverin-mediated inhibition of rhodopsin shutoff. The slow \(\tau_c\) in low-AIPL1 rods was not caused by their failure to reach the normal light-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) minimum, because the deviation in Ca\(^{2+}\) occurred many seconds after flash, long after our measure-

*FIGURE 8. Dynamics of intracellular free Ca\(^{2+}\) in single rods of low-AIPL1, Y99C L53, L52H, and WT mice. Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) was measured with fluo-5F, a Ca\(^{2+}\)-fluorescent dye. The initial cGMP levels excited by laser stimulation of rods filled with fluo-5F probed the intracellular concentration of free Ca\(^{2+}\) in darkness. The laser stimulation used for excitation of fluo-5F closed all the cGMP-gated channels and sent the rod into saturation. After a slight delay, fluorescence declined, reflecting the light-induced fall in Ca\(^{2+}\). (A) Delay in the light-induced decline of Ca\(^{2+}\) in Y99C L53 rods. The fluorescence was calibrated and converted to Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration for individual rods. For the purposes of this analysis, minimal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration was taken at 1500 ms. A 4-ms offset was removed from the records which were low pass filtered with an eight-pole Bessel at the time of acquisition. Records were digitally filtered at 116 Hz, normalized and then averaged. The average value for the delay determined in individual rods of a given type is listed in Table 3. (B) Slower rate of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) decline in L53 rods. Normalized traces for individual rods were shifted along the abscissa to align the moment when (Ca\(^{2+}\) - Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{min}}\))/(Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{max}}\) - Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{min}}\)) declined to 0.9. Traces for rods of a given type (low-AIPL1, \(n = 12\); Y99C L53, \(n = 10\); Y99C L52H, \(n = 10\); WT, \(n = 21\)) were then averaged and plotted on a logarithmic ordinate. Error bars, SEM.

*FIGURE 9. Simulated single-photon responses of low-AIPL1 (thick and thin continuous black lines), Y99C L52H (dashed lines) and WT (gray lines) rods. Rodopsin’s activity declined exponentially after a 100-ms delay, with a time constant of 270 ms, except for low-AIPL1 rods, where the time constant was set to 430 ms. PDE decay was several times faster. Reversal of the time constants for rhodopsin and PDE shutoffs gave similar results. A 150-ms time constant was used for Na\(^{+}/K\(^+\)\_Ca\_ exchange. The \(K_{\text{m,Ca}}\) was 15 pA for WT rods and 18.75 pA for mutant rods. Ca\(^{2+}\) in darkness was set to 270 nM in mutant rods and 210 nM in WT rods. In the light, it was set to 78 nM in low-AIPL1, to 39 nM in Y99C, and to 36 nM in WT rods. Although a range of 46 to 70 nM was found for the \(K_{\text{m,Ca}}\) of the guanylate cyclase activity for low-AIPL1 and WT retinas at 0.9 mM free Mg\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 7 and Ref. 14), a value of 200 nM was used, on the grounds that free Mg\(^{2+}\) in rods may have been higher under our recording conditions.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\) The value was increased twofold to 400 nM, for Y99C L52H rods. A Hill coefficient of 3\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\) was used for the Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of guanylate cyclase activity in (A). Because a Hill coefficient as high as 3.7 has never been observed in biochemical assays, simulations with a Hill coefficient of 2 for WT and low-AIPL1, and a Hill coefficient of 1 for Y99C are shown in (B). The lower Hill coefficients enlarged the responses, and so the rhodopsin activities were adjusted to equate the WT responses in (A) and (B). The simulated responses overestimated the amplitude of the low-AIPL1 rod response and lacked the reduction in the slope of the rising phase (thin black lines). Closer matches to the experimental observations were obtained after decreasing the light-activated cGMP hydrolysis (thick black lines).
ments of response saturation time. Thus, rhodopsin shutoff in low-AIPL1 rods did not appear to be sluggish. Evidence is lacking for any interaction between AIPL1 and the β-subunit of transducin or RGS9, but the defective shutoff of activated transducin cannot yet be excluded.

In conclusion, low PDE levels delay the onset and compromise the amplification of phototransduction by impairing transducin-PDE binding. The slow photoreceptor recovery and elevated Ca$^{2+}$ level after light exposure are not well explained by a simple reduction in PDE or by higher than normal cGMP and Ca$^{2+}$ in darkness. We suggest that besides PDE, AIPL1 directly or indirectly affected at least one other phototransduction cascade component.

Appendix

The Rieke and Baylor model$^{49}$ for the phototransduction was applied to gain a better understanding of the effects of changing the Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity of guanylate cyclase and lowering PDE expression on the single-photon response of mutant rods. The model treats the rod outer segment as a well-stirred volume with negligible dynamic Ca$^{2+}$ feedback on the cGMP-gated channel or on rhodopsin lifetime.

PDE activity changes on exposure to light according to

$$
\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \sigma R(t) - k_{PDE} P(t) - \beta P_{R}(t), \quad (A1)
$$

where $R$ is the light-activated rhodopsin activity, $\sigma$ converts $R$ to PDE activation, $P$ is the total PDE activity, $\beta P_{R}$ is the rate with which light-activated PDE activity shuts off. The concentration of cGMP, $G(t)$, changes whenever there is an imbalance between its rate of synthesis, $\gamma$, and its rate of hydrolysis,

$$
\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = \gamma(t) - P(t)G(t), \quad (A2)
$$

The rate of synthesis of cGMP varies as a Hill function of $Ca$,

$$
\gamma = \frac{\gamma_{\text{max}}}{1 + (Ca/K_{GC})^{n}} \quad (A3)
$$

where $K_{GC}$ is the $Ca$ at which cGMP synthesis is half maximal and $n$ is the Hill coefficient. $Ca$ equilibrates as

$$
\frac{dCa(t)}{dt} = q b G(t) - \beta Ca(t), \quad (A4)
$$

where $q$ converts membrane current to change in $Ca$, $b$ (0.551 pA μM$^{-2}$) converts the cube of the cGMP concentration to membrane current and $\beta$ is the rate constant for Na$^{+}$/K$^{+},$Ca$^{2+}$ exchange.

The model nicely reproduced the increase in the single-photon response amplitude as well as the increase in time to peak of the Y99C L52H rod (Fig. 9, dashed lines). Lowered basal PDE activity by three- to fivefold in low-AIPL1 rods had only a small effect on response duration. Inclusion of the slower low-AIPL1 $T_{R}$ gave the full prolongation (Fig. 9, thin black lines). The model did not, however, reproduce the observed amplitude of the low-AIPL1 rod response and the reduction in the slope of the rising phase, unless a decrease in light-activated PDE activity was imposed (Fig. 9, thick black lines).
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