Baseline Visual Field Findings in the Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension Treatment Trial (IIHTT)
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PURPOSE. To characterize visual field (VF) loss at the baseline visit and to evaluate VF quality control (QC) procedures in the Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension Treatment Trial (IIHTT).

METHODS. The Visual Field Reading Center (VFRC) evaluated 660 baseline VFs (1320 hemifields) from 165 enrolled patients. Three readers independently classified each superior and inferior hemifield and identified any abnormalities. A subset (20%) of the hemifields was reread to evaluate within- and between-reader agreements. The QC system addressed test parameters, patient data, and shipment errors.

RESULTS. The majority (60%) of the baseline hemifields consisted of localized nerve fiber bundle-type VF loss. Approximately one-third (31.5%) of all the classifications consisted of partial arcuate defects combined with an enlarged blind spot, making this the most common type of hemifield classification. Inferior hemifield loss was greater than superior loss for both study and nonstudy eyes. Reader agreements were >90% for both inferior and superior hemifields for two out of three readers. Test–retest reliability agreement for individual readers was 95% for both hemifields. There were few QC errors with only 5.48 error points per 100-point VF.

CONCLUSIONS. The most common type of IIHTT baseline hemifield abnormality was a localized nerve fiber bundle-like defect. Localized inferior hemifield loss was more common than superior hemifield loss. Quality control and within- and between-reader agreement were excellent for the IIHTT (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01003639).
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Diabetic intracranial hypertension (IIH) is a disorder of elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) of unknown cause.1–4 A variety of pharmacologic and surgical procedures have been suggested to preserve vision, but all these investigations were uncontrolled, nonrandomized, and/or retrospective.5 Well-designed prospective randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials for IIH have not been performed. The Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension Treatment Trial (IIHTT) is a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study seeking to evaluate the efficacy of a weight-reduction and low-sodium diet plus acetazolamide versus the same diet plus placebo in reducing or reversing visual field loss.6–9

The roles of the Visual Field Reading Center (VFRC) at the University of California-Davis in this trial were to provide consistent expert analysis and interpretation of visual field data and to ensure high-quality data for subjects participating in a clinical trial. The latter role was accomplished through the use of technician certification and quality control (QC).

In this paper, we describe the baseline visual field abnormality classifications and QC procedures for 165 subjects from 38 sites who underwent eligibility visual field testing for entry to the IIHTT.

METHODS

The IIHTT is a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with 165 participants who met the modified Dandy criteria for IIH. They were enrolled at 38 Neuro-Ophthalmology Research Disease Investigator Consortium (NORDIC) network sites, having met the IIHTT inclusion criteria as previously published.6–9 The IIHTT followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki; informed consent was obtained from the subjects after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study; the research was approved by the institutional human experimentation committee or institutional review board (IRB); and a Data Safety and Monitoring Committee was in place to monitor the ethical conduct of the study and the accumulation of data for evidence of adverse and beneficial treatment effects.

Major inclusion criteria included diagnosis of IIH at ages 18 to 60 years, presence of bilateral papilledema, reproducible visual field loss with an average perimetric mean deviation (PMD) of −2 dB to −7 dB, elevated ICP, and no other causes of other elevated ICP. Those meeting entry criteria were randomized to one of two treatment groups, either diet + acetazolamide or the diet + placebo, and were followed for 6
Visual Field Testing Inclusion Criteria

Participants underwent at least two screening visual field examinations at least 30 minutes apart using the Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm (SITA) Standard 24-2 test pattern on the Humphrey Field Analyzer (HFA; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) II perimeter (Model 750). If one of the visual fields was unreliable or there was a large difference in sensitivity and pattern of visual field loss between the two examinations, a third visual field was performed and the two reliable visual fields that were most similar in sensitivity were retained as the baseline perimetric examinations. Since lowering cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) pressure may transiently improve visual field function, performance of at least one set of screening visual fields was required after the lumbar puncture. All visual fields were required (1) to have adequate gaze tracking (fixation monitoring) and meet reliability standards of fixation loss errors < 33% and false-positive errors < 15%, (2) to have reproducible visual loss on both sets of fields, and (3) to have an average PMD between −2 and −7 dB. Since the frequency of false-negative errors increases with increasing visual field damage, we believe that the false-negative response rate can be a misleading indicator of reliability. Although false-negative rate was not used as a criterion of reliability, it was employed as an indicator of potential difficulties in performing the visual field examination on a particular clinical visit. The eye with the most visual loss based on the worse PMD was designated as the study eye, but both eyes were tested and followed. The two sets of visual fields from the study and nonstudy eyes were averaged for the mean baseline PMD. Visual field examinations from the same participant that differed in PMD by >4 dB were regarded as too variable, requiring an additional visual field test. If the visual field was unreliable or if pattern of the visual field loss was not reproducible, the visual fields were sometimes repeated at the discretion of the VFRC.

Abnormality Classifications

Two of the authors in this study (JLK and CAJ) characterized the types and severity of visual field defects in the Optic Neuritis Treatment Trial (ONTT) and in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Trial (OHTS). As with the ONTT and OHTS, the authors developed a classification system for the IIHTT for the purpose of identifying visual field abnormalities associated with IIH patients observed at baseline. A total of 11 categories representing five general types of IIH visual loss (localized, enlarged blind spot [EBS], diffuse, other, and neurologic-like) were developed to include patterns of visual field loss characteristic of ocular and neurologic-like diseases, as well as patterns that were associated with testing artifact (see Table 1, Supplementary Table S1). The two experienced readers trained a third visual field reader (KEC).

The three readers independently evaluated 660 baseline visual fields (1320 superior and inferior hemifields) from the 165 participants and classified visual field abnormalities into the 11 different monocular categories. An abnormal visual field was defined as having a Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT) outside of normal limits and/or a pattern standard deviation was defined as having a Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT) among at least two of the three readers. If three readers did not agree, then the visual fields were adjudicated by group consensus. After a final classification was made for each visual field, an interreader agreement (agreement among readers for a given hemifield) and test-retest reader agreement (agreement between the first and second reading) were performed. A test-retest reader agreement was determined by reassessing a 20% sample (132 visual fields) from the 660 baseline visual fields. This sample reflected a random distribution of the 11 abnormality classifications. In addition to the agreement among at least two of the three readers, Fleiss κ statistical measure for multiple raters and multiple categories was computed to summarize agreement in the visual ratings of the inferior and superior hemifields. Mean deviation (MD) and PSD ranges were determined, and repeated measures models, accounting for correlation of visual fields coming from the same individuals, were used to assess associations with MD index (severity of loss) and with the inferior and superior hemifield classifications.

Technician Certification

Each clinical site was required to have at least two visual field technicians certified for IIHTT perimetry. The certification process involved a telephone session with a VFRC staff member, after which the candidate submitted two sets of visual fields performed on both eyes of two nonstudy participants. Certification was awarded if these data were successfully submitted per the IIHTT VFRC Operations Manual. To maintain certification, a repeat certification session was required for any technician who did not perform an IIHTT visual field for a period of 1 year.

Quality Control Measures

Between January 2010 and November 2012, 118 certified visual field technicians from 38 clinical sites performed 660 baseline visual fields on 165 participants in the IIHTT. To ensure the validity and integrity of all visual field data collected, the VFRC maintained rigorous QC measures. The IIHTT visual field QC system addressed three areas of clinic performance: test parameter errors, patient data errors, and shipment errors (see Supplementary Table S2). Each visual field was graded on a 100-point scale and processed using the 4th Dimension database software (4D, San Jose, CA, USA). Mean test errors (test parameter errors) are the average error points (out of a...
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RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 165 subjects (161 women, 4 men) were enrolled. The average age of enrollees was 29.2 years, with an average of 14.0 years of education. Subject ethnicity included 65% white, 25% black, 2% Native American, and 8% another race (or did not report a race). Only 5% of the subjects identified family members with IIIH. Other baseline characteristics for the 165 participants are listed in a previous publication.6

Of the 165 subjects enrolled, 55 (32%) subjects required at least three baseline fields for eligibility determination. The additional fields (retests) were performed due to the previous screening having a nonreproducible visual field defect or an unreliable field, or as part of our protocol to perform at least one visual field examination after lumbar puncture. The baseline visual field consisted of the average of two visual field results or (in the case of three baseline visual fields) the average of the two visual fields that were mostly closely matched in terms of severity and pattern of loss.

Visual Field Classification Reader Agreement

Using the complete sample of visual fields, agreement between two of the three readers of ≥91% was obtained for both the superior and inferior hemifields as shown in Table 2. Reader agreement using Fleiss’ κ statistic was in the moderate range for both the superior (κ = 0.65, P < 0.001) and the inferior (κ = 0.65, P < 0.001) hemifields. A 20% sample of the 660 baseline fields (132 visual fields) was reassessed for test–retest agreement. Agreement between two of the three readers of
95% was obtained for both the superior and inferior hemifields (Table 2). Fleiss’ $\kappa$ statistics were reduced slightly but were still in the moderate range (superior: $\kappa = 0.53$, $P < 0.001$; inferior: $\kappa = 0.58$, $P < 0.001$). A total of two or more readers were in agreement with the initial (original) reading 81% of the time as exhibited in Table 2. An overall agreement of 81% was considered good agreement, as these hemifields were cases near the boundaries of various classification criteria. Agreement using Fleiss’ $\kappa$ was substantial when the original read was compared to the second retest read for both hemifields (superior: $\kappa = 0.70$, $P < 0.001$; inferior: $\kappa = 0.71$, $P < 0.001$).

Visual Field Abnormality Characteristics

Of the 660 baseline fields, 482 (73%) met the primary abnormality criteria listed in Supplementary Table S1 (2a, 2b, or both) with a GHT outside of normal limits or PSD < 5%. A total of 178 (27%) fields had normal GHT and PSD indices but contained at least one hemifield that met the secondary abnormality criteria listed in Supplementary Table S1 (2c–f).

For the 1320 hemifields from both the study and nonstudy eyes at baseline, Table 3 and Supplementary Table S3 show that the majority (60%) of the hemifield abnormalities consisted of localized nerve fiber bundle-type loss. A total of 15.2% were due to an EBS; 5.8% were due to diffuse loss (widespread loss); 1.2% consisted of neurologic-like loss (vertical step and quadrant); 6.1% were due to other abnormalities (paracentral, superior and inferior depression, and partial peripheral rim); and 11.8% of the hemifields were classified as normal.

The hemifield abnormality classifications were also evaluated separately for the study and the nonstudy eye. For the 660 hemifields from the study eye at baseline, 71.5% of the hemifield abnormalities consisted of localized nerve fiber bundle-type loss; 11.8% were due to an EBS; 5.5% were due to diffuse loss; 1.3% were due to neurologic-like loss; 5.5% were due to other abnormalities; and 4.4% of the hemifields were classified as normal (Table 3). For the 660 hemifields from the nonstudy eye at baseline, 48.2% of the hemifield abnormalities consisted of localized optic nerve visual field loss; 18.6% were due to an EBS; 6.1% were due to diffuse loss; 1.1% were due to neurologic-like loss; 6.8% were due to other abnormalities; and 19.2% of the hemifields were classified as normal (Table 3).

Table 4 shows localized nerve fiber bundle visual field loss (with and without an EBS) as the most common type of abnormality with inferior localized nerve fiber bundle defects greater than superior for both study and nonstudy eye, respectively ($P < 0.001$). Examples of the most common type of hemifield abnormalities are shown in the Figure.

Summaries for the MD index are as follows: mean, −2.90 dB; 25th percentile, −5.71 dB; median, −2.74 dB; 75th percentile, −2.04 dB; range, −7.6 to 1.68 dB; 95% confidence interval for the mean (from repeated measures model), −3.06 to 2.75 dB; 5th percentile of the data, −5.56 dB; and 95th percentile of the data, −0.77 dB. Age and race/ethnicity were not significantly associated with the visual field MD index. Severity of visual field classifications was increased in the left eye relative to the right eye ($P < 0.001$) and in visual fields with defects relative to normal fields ($P < 0.001$) in both the superior and inferior hemispheres. Thus, the left eyes, which were the majority (62%) of the study eyes, had a greater amount of visual field loss compared to the right eyes. When predicting classifications in either hemifield, there was no difference by eye ($P > 0.1$), race/ethnicity ($P > 0.4$), or age ($P > 0.2$).

Visual Field Quality Control

A total of 214 (32%) of the 660 baseline visual fields were performed without any test, patient data, or shipment errors. The 450 (68%) remaining baseline fields had one or more errors or a combination of errors producing an average of only 5.48 error points per 100-point visual field, reflecting minor QC errors. The findings in Supplementary Table S4 indicate that there was outstanding adherence to the protocol and testing procedures, providing the highest quality of visual field data.

Discussion

Baseline perimetry of subjects in the IIHTT demonstrates that patients with IIH and mild visual loss have optic nerve-related visual field deficits in localized nerve fiber bundle-like patterns (Table 3, Fig.). The inferior hemifields exhibit more abnormalities than the superior hemifields (Table 4), with the most common pattern being a partial inferior arcuate defect with an EBS (Fig.). Isolated central and cecocentral scotomas as a visual field defect were rare at baseline examination in our subjects.

A number of previous investigators have developed systems for classifying the severity and pattern of glaucomatous visual field loss.15–20 Three of the authors (JLK, CAJ, KEC) have reported classifications of visual field defects in the ONTT12 and the OHTS.13 In the OHTT, over 90% of the abnormal baseline visual fields exhibited central and cecocentral scotomas, in addition to over 20% consisting of localized...
nerve fiber bundle-type loss. At 1 year, over 50% of the abnormal visual field results reverted back to normal. However, of the remaining abnormalities, >25% of the visual field defects still consisted of localized nerve fiber optic nerve loss.12,30,31 In the OHTS, entrance criteria required all baseline visual fields to be normal. Over the 8-year study duration, the same three authors reviewed approximately 40,000 visual fields and determined that only 2500 (6.25%) were abnormal. Also, as one might expect, over 50% of the visual field abnormalities consisted of localized nerve fiber bundle-type loss. This is to be expected in ocular hypertensive patients who eventually convert to glaucoma. Visual acuity and central vision were expected in ocular hypertensive patients who eventually consisted of localized nerve fiber optic nerve loss.12,30,31 In the OHTS, entrance criteria required all baseline visual fields to be normal. Over the 8-year study duration, the same three authors reviewed approximately 40,000 visual fields and determined that only 2500 (6.25%) were abnormal. Also, as one might expect, over 50% of the visual field abnormalities consisted of localized nerve fiber bundle-type loss. This is to be expected in ocular hypertensive patients who eventually convert to glaucoma. Visual acuity and central vision were unaffected in the OHTS patients, in contrast to the ONTT patients. Past studies examining glucomatous visual loss have reported that approximately 60% of the visual fields contained inferior retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) deficits and 40% contained superior RNFL deficits,52 although this finding has been disputed.33,34 When the visual field loss in the IIHTT was compared to that in the OHTS, the loss in patients with IIH was similar to the loss in patients with glaucoma in that similar nerve fiber bundle-like defects were present. However, the frequently present EBS and occasional loss in the central 10° due to neurosensory detachments or choroidal folds are not glaucoma-like.

The IIHTT enrolled patients with IIH and mild visual field loss. Thus the visual field information from this trial cannot be generalized to what might be seen in patients with more severe optic nerve damage from IIH.

However, another study by Wall and George1 prospectively evaluated 50 consecutive IIH patients with both manual Goldmann kinetic and threshold static automated perimetry at each visit and enrolled subjects with all degrees of damage. The IIH patients had all levels of optic nerve damage from none to severe. The authors found visual loss in at least one eye in 96% of patients with Goldmann perimetry and in 92% with automated perimetry. Similar to observations in the IIHTT cohort, they commonly found visual field constriction and loss of inferonasal portions of the visual field with arcuate defects, nasal step defects, and combinations of both. Altitudinal defects were uncommon, and temporal sector defects were rare. Fourteen percent of the patients had central or paracentral defects with automated perimetry. It appears that cases with more severe damage have morphology similar to that seen in the IIHTT.

There is considerable evidence that the optic nerve head is the main site of damage for papilledema based on the patterns of visual loss that are similar to those seen in glaucoma.1 The primary insult in papilledema is a slowing of axonal transport due to elevated CSF pressure that is transmitted down the optic nerve sheath.35 This elevated CSF pressure disturbs the normal gradient between IOP and retrolaminar pressure and results in increased tissue pressure within the optic nerve, interfering with axoplasmic flow and producing flow stasis. Hayreh and Tso36-41 showed that reduction of both slow and fast axoplasmic transport resulted in intra-axonal edema. Ischemia is also a possible contributing factor, as Hayreh showed delays in prelaminar arterial filling on fluorescein angiography.56 We believe that the main mechanism of visual loss in papilledema is axoplasmic flow stasis leading to high pressure within the optic nerve head with resultant intracranial optic nerve ischemia. Other mechanisms causing visual loss are neurosensory retinal detachments from fluid tracking from the optic disc to the fovea, macular exudates or hemorrhage, hyperopic shifts related to optic nerve sheath-related globe flattening with elevation of peripapillary retina from papilledema (EBS), and choroidal folds.40,41

We found that the eye with the most damage was the left eye in 62% of cases. We are not certain of the reason for this, but preferred sleeping position has been found to correlate with the presence of greater visual field loss (determined by a worse MD) in glaucoma.42 It has been suggested that the left-sided sleeping preference promotes gastric emptying and less gastric discomfort that might be important in obesity. We found

### Table 3. IIHTT Hemifield Abnormality Classification Frequency for the Study and Nonstudy Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Study Eye Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Nonstudy Eye Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Both Eyes Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Localized nerve fiber bundle (NFB) defects,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with and without an enlarged blind spot (EBS)</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS, no localized NFB defects</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic-like</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Visual Field Defects of the Study and Nonstudy Eyes of IIHTT Subjects at Study Entry by Superior and Inferior Hemifield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Study Eye</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Fellow Eye</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Localized nerve fiber bundle (NFB) defects,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with and without an enlarged blind spot (EBS)</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS, no localized NFB defects</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic-like</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE. Examples of the most common types of IIHTT baseline visual field abnormalities.
no differences in visual field classification group when analyzing by eye, race/ethnicity, or age.

We were able to obtain high-quality perimeter data from the IIHTT. Technicians who are trained and certified in a standard fashion generally produce reliable, high-quality data by reducing errors and have less artifactual data related to testing conditions, patient cooperation, and performance problems.53–45 Sites received frequent feedback from the VFRC; similar feedback in the ONTT was time linked to improvement in QC measures.12 Supplementary Tables S2 and S4 demonstrate minimal testing errors and unreliability rates in the IIHTT for visual field testing. Similar to the situation with ONTT and OHTS, we believe that the use of visual field QC and high-quality study investigators are essential for any clinical trial using perimetry as an outcome measure.

In summary, the majority (60%) of the baseline visual fields contained localized nerve fiber bundle defects. A partial arcuate abnormality combined with an EBS was the most common type of hemifield classification for both the study eye and nonstudy eye. There was a higher occurrence of visual field defects in the inferior hemifield and greater visual field loss in the left eye. Similarly to what has been seen in prior studies, nerve fiber bundle-like defects, similar to glaucoma, are characteristic for optic nerve damage from increased intracranial pressure.
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