Parallelism for Quantitative Image Analysis of Photoreceptor–Retinal Pigment Epithelium Complex Alterations in Diabetic Macular Edema
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PURPOSE. To propose a new method to quantitatively and comprehensively evaluate photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) imaging in eyes with diabetic macular edema (DME).

METHODS. Spectral-domain OCT images from a consecutive series of 90 eyes in 79 patients with DME and 30 healthy eyes in 30 volunteers were analyzed retrospectively. The subfoveal area covering the photoreceptor layers was skeletonized and the orientation of the segmented lines was termed “parallelism,” which reflects image complexity. Photoreceptor layer status at the fovea was categorized by graders, including continuity of the external limiting membrane (ELM) line, inner segment ellipsoid line, and the presence of hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers. The relationships among parallelism, visual acuity, and photoreceptor layer status were evaluated.

RESULTS. Parallelism was significantly lower in eyes with DME than in normal eyes ($P < 0.0001$), and correlated strongly with visual acuity in eyes with DME ($R = 0.592; P < 0.0001$). Eyes with an intact inner segment ellipsoid line or ELM line had significantly better visual acuity (VA) and higher parallelism than eyes with a discontinuous or absent inner segment ellipsoid line or ELM line. Parallelism was significantly higher ($P < 0.0001$) and logMAR VA significantly better ($P < 0.0001$) in the group without hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers than in the group with hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers.

CONCLUSIONS. Parallelism has the potential to reflect structural changes of the photoreceptor layers in DME.
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Diabetic macular edema (DME) is a leading cause of visual dysfunction in patients with diabetes. The number of patients with diabetic retinopathy is expected to increase over the next few decades; therefore, a further revitalization of research and development on efficient and high-quality evaluation techniques for DME needs to be addressed.1,2

Along with the popularization of optical coherence tomography (OCT) in recent decades, clinicians have been able to detect thickening of the sensory retina and vascular lesions in DME more easily and objectively compared with examination using biomicroscopy only.3–5 In particular, OCT has enabled clinicians to objectively measure retinal thickness for assessments of disease severity or therapy evaluations, and a modest correlation between OCT-measured central retinal thickness and visual acuity (VA) has been reported in DME to date.4–10

More recently, because of improvements in OCT image quality achieved by developments in both hardware and software, later generations of OCT systems have provided cross-sectional imaging of the retina at a higher resolution, allowing clinicians to analyze smaller structures and the individual retinal layers.11–14 In particular, the external limiting membrane (ELM), which corresponds to the adherens junctions between the Müller cells and photoreceptor cells,15 and the inner segment ellipsoid line16 on the OCT images reportedly provide important information about pathologies of the photoreceptors.17–20 Hyperreflective foci in DME, subclinical findings that are invisible during clinical ophthalmoscopic examinations, are related to foveal photoreceptor damage and concomitant visual disturbance.21–24 A correlation has been established between damage to the foveal photoreceptors and the VA in retinal diseases, including DME, suggesting the clinical relevance of both macular thickening and the photoreceptor damage in DME.25

Several methods, including detection of inner segment ellipsoid line and ELM discontinuities or measurement of photoreceptor outer segment (PROS) lengths, have been reported for qualitative or quantitative evaluations of the photoreceptor layer on OCT images by using graders or software.18–20,26–28 However, alterations of the photoreceptor layer on OCT images of eyes with DME involve not only discontinuities of the inner segment ellipsoid lines and ELM lines, but also transformations of these lines, the presence of hyperreflective foci, and irregular RPE lines; these features are too complicated to evaluate by looking at only one aspect of the alterations.22,23,25 The development of robust algorithms for comprehensive analyses are eagerly anticipated.
In this study, we proposed a new method to quantitatively and comprehensively evaluate the complexity of photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations by using “parallelism,” which we previously reported as a new, robust, and practical parameter of the structural integrity of retinal layers. This parameter reflects how parallel line objects are to each other on OCT images and can be calculated using line segments generated by simply filtering and thresholding the original image. The increased complexity of photoreceptor-RPE complex images as a result of the above-mentioned alterations in DME has the potential to decrease parallelism. The algorithm for calculating parallelism was tested using OCT scans from healthy subjects and patients with DME, and its clinical relevance was explored.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

This was a retrospective, observational, cross-sectional study. All the research and measurements adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the institutional review board at Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine for retrospective review of existing patient data. We retrospectively examined 90 eyes in 79 patients (mean age ± SD, 65.3 ± 8.6 years; range, 35–88 years) with DME who visited Kyoto University Hospital from June 2008 through June 2010. The inclusion criterion was the availability of spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT) images of sufficient quality. All patients had undergone comprehensive ophthalmologic examinations, including measurements of best-corrected VA (BCVA), slit-lamp biomicroscopy, color fundus photography, and SD-OCT. The exclusion criteria included the presence of serous retinal detachment, hard exudates at the fovea, significant media opacities (including cataract or vitreous hemorrhage), and other retinal diseases, such as uveitis or vitreomacular traction syndrome. Data for 30 eyes of 30 volunteers (63.0 ± 9.9 years; range, 38–77 years) were retrospectively collected from our database of healthy volunteers as candidate control eyes.

**Optical Coherence Tomography**

Spectral-domain OCT (Spectralis; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) images were used for analyses of retinal sectional images of the macula. Sixty-one raster scans (30 × 25 degrees) in high-speed mode and 30-degree cross-hair scans in high-resolution mode were used in this study. Raster scans were used for mean foveal thickness measurement (radius, 500 µm) using built-in software, and cross-sectional images were used for calculation of parallelism. The presumed foveal center was determined as the area lacking inner retinal layers in the macular region and the pathomorphology within a 1-mm area centered at the presumed fovea was assessed for each eye. We evaluated the status of the ELM line, inner segment ellipsoid line at the fovea, and the presence of hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers, as previously described. Eyes in which the inner segment ellipsoid line was detected as a complete line in the fovea were classified as inner segment ellipsoid line(+), and eyes in which the inner segment ellipsoid line was detected as a discontinuous line in the fovea were classified as inner segment ellipsoid line(−); when the inner segment ellipsoid line could not be detected in the fovea, eyes were classified as inner segment ellipsoid line(−−). Each eye was also classified based on the status of the ELM line beneath the fovea by using the same criteria described for the inner segment ellipsoid line (i.e., ELM line[+], ELM line[±], and ELM line[−]). Two experienced examiners (KO, TH) blinded to the clinical findings categorized the status of the outer retinal layers, including continuity of the inner segment ellipsoid line, continuity of the ELM line, and the presence of hyperreflective foci. In cases of disagreement, the results were discussed until consensus was reached. The kappa coefficient was calculated as a measure of agreement between the observers.

**Calculation of Parallelism**

Cross-sectional images at 30 degrees through the fovea were chosen for each eye. To quantitatively evaluate the complexity of photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations, we first cropped a rectangular region of interest (ROI) measuring 1000 × 150 µm covering the photoreceptor-RPE complex at the fovea, and extracted skeletonized images (lines) from the OCT images by applying filtration through a 1- to 2-pixel band-pass filter using an ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) software plug-in Kbi_BandPass (http://hasezawa.ib.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp/zp/Kbi/ImageJKbiPlugins) followed by binarization with intensity thresholding using Otsu’s thresholding method for automatic binarization-level decisions using the plug-in Kbi_ThrOtsu (http://hasezawa.ib.k.u-tokyo.ac.jp/zp/Kbi/ImageJKbiPlugins) (Fig. 1). It is of note that the analysis of parallelism requires only information regarding the orientation of structures in the ROI, which can be obtained using line segments rather than solid lines. The calculation of parallelism from the skeletonized image was fully described in previous articles. Briefly, in digital images, neighboring pixel pairs can be categorized as 0°, 45°, 90°, and 135° with respect to the horizon. In this study, parallelism was defined as follows:

\[
\text{Parallelism} = \frac{n_{0} - n_{90} + n_{135} - n_{45}}{n_{0} + n_{45} + n_{90} + n_{135}},
\]

where \(n_{0}\), \(n_{45}\), \(n_{90}\), and \(n_{135}\) are the numbers of pixel pairs at 0°, 45°, 90°, and 135°, respectively. Parallelism can range from 0 to 1, and images with more parallel line segments have higher parallelism. The mean parallelism values from a horizontal scan and vertical scan were calculated for each eye and used for the analyses. All digital images were processed by a single operator (AU) using ImageJ and a software plug-in.

**Statistical Analysis**

All values are expressed as mean ± SD. All BCVA measurements were converted to logMAR equivalents before statistical analysis. Comparisons between healthy subjects and DME patients with regard to age, logMAR VA, parallelism, and retinal thickness were performed using Student’s t-tests. Comparisons of the logMAR VA values and parallelism of the three groups classified based on the status of the inner segment ellipsoid line or ELM line were carried out using a one-way ANOVA, with post hoc comparisons tested by the Scheffé procedure. Comparisons of the logMAR VA values and parallelism between the two hyperreflective foci groups (foci absent in the outer retinal layers group versus foci present in the outer retinal layers group) were carried out using Student’s t-tests. The relationships between logMAR VA and parallelism, logMAR VA and foveal thickness, and parallelism and foveal thickness were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses, except for the kappa coefficient assessments, were performed using StataView (version 5.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Calculation of the kappa coefficient was performed using SPSS (version 17; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
**RESULTS**

**Assessment of Interobserver Agreement**

The kappa coefficient was 0.704 ($P < 0.001$) for the ELM line, 0.809 ($P < 0.001$) for the inner segment ellipsoid line, and 0.756 ($P < 0.001$) for the presence of hyperreflective foci. The results indicated good interobserver agreement.

**Differences in Parallelism Between Healthy Subjects and Patients With DME**

Skeletonized OCT images in cases of DME with disrupted ELM or inner segment ellipsoid lines and hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers showed many line segments in random orientations in the ROI, which have the potential to decrease the parallelism of the images (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, in healthy subjects and cases of DME with less disrupted photoreceptor layers and without hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers, line segments were observed as parallel lines (Figs. 1 and 3). Parallelism was significantly ($P < 0.0001$) lower and logMAR VA was significantly ($P < 0.0001$) worse in eyes with DME than in normal eyes (Table 1). Retinal thicknesses were significantly higher in eyes with DME than in normal eyes ($P < 0.0001$).

**Association Between Parallelism and Photoreceptor Status**

We further investigated how photoreceptor status in DME contributed to parallelism values. There were significant differences among the three inner segment ellipsoid line groups in parallelism ($P < 0.0001$) and logMAR VA ($P < 0.0001$) (Table 2). In the inner segment ellipsoid line(+) group, parallelism was significantly higher and logMAR VA was significantly better than those in either the inner segment ellipsoid line(−) ($P = 0.0077$ and $P = 0.0198$) or inner segment ellipsoid line(±) groups ($P < 0.0001$ for both comparisons).

There also were significant differences among the three ELM line groups in parallelism ($P < 0.0001$) and logMAR VA ($P < 0.0001$). In the ELM line(+) group, parallelism was significantly higher and logMAR VA was significantly better than those in either the ELM line(±) ($P = 0.0001$ and $P = 0.0004$) or ELM line(−) groups ($P < 0.0001$ for both comparisons). Parallelism was significantly higher ($P < 0.0001$) and logMAR VA was significantly better in the group without hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers than in the group with hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers.

**Correlation Among VA, Parallelism, and Foveal Thickness in Patients With DME**

Parallelism correlated well with logMAR VA ($R = -0.592$; $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4). Moreover, foveal thickness correlated significantly with logMAR VA ($R = 0.381$; $P = 0.0002$). Significant negative correlations were observed between parallelism and foveal thickness ($R = -0.316$; $P = 0.0316$).

**DISCUSSION**

This study is based on a methodology according to which photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations in DME are comprehensively quantifiable by interpreting the alterations as changes in image complexity. Parallelism, a parameter reflecting the orientations of lines in the image, was applied as a parameter representing the complexity of photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations, and the results demonstrated that parallelism was clinically relevant in eyes with DME. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that used parallelism as a surrogate marker for photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations in OCT image analysis.

Significant differences between normal eyes and eyes with DME and significant correlations with VA were detected with the use of parallelism. Moreover, eyes with an intact inner segment ellipsoid line or ELM line had significantly higher...
Figure 2. Calculation of parallelism through skeletonizing OCT images of the photoreceptor-RPE complex of a DME patient with hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers. Images are of the left eye of a 65-year-old man with DME. The Snellen equivalent BCVA was 20/50 in this eye. (A) A horizontal line scan of the SD-OCT image through the fovea shows cystoid macular edema and hyperreflective foci (arrows) in both the inner and outer retinal layers. The foveal thickness was 642 μm. (B) A magnified view of the area outlined in black in (A). The ELM line and inner segment ellipsoid line were disrupted and categorized as ELM line(−) and inner segment ellipsoid line(±), respectively. The ELM line sank and deformed toward the RPE (arrow). Hyperreflective foci (arrowheads) are observed in the area where the ELM line and inner segment ellipsoid line are absent, and some were deposited on the RPE. (C) A filtered image of (B) after the application of a band-pass filter for noise reduction and enhancement of the line segments. (D) A binarized image of (C) after using Otsu’s thresholding method for automatic binarization level decisions. (E) A skeletonized image generated from (D). Line segments were observed in random orientations, which contributed to decreased parallelism. The parallelism value calculated for this area was 0.492.

Figure 3. Calculation of parallelism through skeletonizing OCT images of the photoreceptor-RPE complex of a DME patient without hyperreflective foci in the outer retinal layers. Images are of the left eye of a 74-year-old man with DME. The Snellen equivalent BCVA was 20/25 in this eye. (A) A horizontal line scan of the SD-OCT image through the fovea shows cystoid macular edema and hyperreflective foci (arrows) in the inner retinal layers, but not in the outer retinal layers. The foveal thickness was 440 μm. (B) A magnified view of the area outlined in black in (A). The inner segment ellipsoid line was disrupted and categorized as ELM line(+) and inner segment ellipsoid line(±). Neither ELM line deformation nor hyperreflective foci are observed in the image. (C) The filtered image from (B) after the application of a band-pass filter for noise reduction and enhancement of the line segments. (D) A binarized image of (C) after using Otsu’s thresholding method for automatic binarization level decisions. (E) A skeletonized image generated from (D). Line segments are depicted as almost parallel lines, and the parallelism calculated for this area was 0.689.
Table 1. Differences in Parallelism Between Healthy Subjects and Patients With DME in OCT Images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Normal Eyes</th>
<th>Eyes With DME</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. eyes/patients</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>90/79</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/women</td>
<td>16/14</td>
<td>49/41</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>63.0 ± 9.9</td>
<td>65.3 ± 8.6</td>
<td>0.2140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA, logMAR</td>
<td>−0.153 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.360 ± 0.104</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallelism</td>
<td>0.745 ± 0.082</td>
<td>0.572 ± 0.151</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal thickness</td>
<td>259 ± 17</td>
<td>474 ± 117</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Association Between Photoreceptor Status and Parallelism of Photoreceptor-RPE Complex in OCT Images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>VA, logMAR</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner segment ellipsoid line(+)</td>
<td>0.715 ± 0.078</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner segment ellipsoid line(±), n = 55</td>
<td>0.598 ± 0.125</td>
<td>0.300 ± 0.234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner segment ellipsoid line(−), n = 22</td>
<td>0.422 ± 0.119</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.680 ± 0.347</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELM line(+)</td>
<td>0.679 ± 0.100</td>
<td>0.176 ± 0.220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELM line(±), n = 48</td>
<td>0.520 ± 0.136</td>
<td>0.421 ± 0.298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELM line(−), n = 7</td>
<td>0.396 ± 0.102</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.862 ± 0.256</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperreflective foci in outer retinal layers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent, n = 49</td>
<td>0.644 ± 0.131</td>
<td>0.206 ± 0.228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present, n = 41</td>
<td>0.486 ± 0.126</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.543 ± 0.325</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is the case with parallelism, because PROS measurements have the potential to measure the deformation of lines as increased and decreased thicknesses. However, thickness measurements of small objects on OCT images require careful attention, in that the measurements may contain potential inaccuracies. Parallelism, which is based on a simple filtering process, is faithful to the original image and avoids problems associated with segmentation failure and picture interpolation to create solid segmentation lines.

Retinal thickness is a well-accepted parameter related to visual impairment in DME. In this study, parallelism showed a significant negative correlation with retinal thickness. This result supports the finding previously reported by Murakami et al. in which several relationships between the characteristics of the cystoid spaces and the photoreceptor changes beneath them were shown in DME for the first time. Parallelism, along with retinal thickness that can be calculated automatically with fewer segmentation errors, has the potential to shed light on the mechanism of photoreceptor changes in DME in future studies.

Our study has the following limitations: (1) We evaluated only horizontal and vertical scans for each subject without using the 3-dimensional scanning mode for full delineation. (2) This study was cross-sectional and retrospective in nature. Another longitudinal study should be planned in the future. (3) Parallelism is solely a marker for complexity. Considering that shape and size of the objects in the image depend on a degree of filtering, multiple objects can be extracted to produce a single fused object. Although the preprocessing methods were performed for all OCT images uniformly and the outcome of the current study was not considered to be biased, further improvement of the filtering process to bring computer recognition closer to human recognition is warranted.

In conclusion, parallelism is proposed as a new practical parameter for the complexity of photoreceptor-RPE complex alterations in DME. Parallelism was significantly lower in eyes with DME than in normal eyes, and correlated strongly with VA in eyes with DME. This parameter has the potential to reflect structural changes in photoreceptor layers, which include not only discontinuity of inner segment ellipsoid lines or ELM lines, but also other changes, such as the presence of hyperreflective foci.
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**FIGURE 4.** Correlations among VA, parallelism, and foveal thickness in patients with DME. (A) A significant negative correlation was shown between parallelism and logMAR VA ($R = -0.592; P < 0.0001$). (B) Foveal thickness demonstrated a modest correlation with logMAR VA ($R = 0.381; P = 0.0002$). (C) Significant negative correlations were found between parallelism and foveal thickness ($R = -0.316; P = 0.0516$).


