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PURPOSE. To seek pathways of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) fate in age-related macular degeneration via a morphology grading system; provide nomenclature, visualization targets, and metrics for clinical imaging and model systems.

METHODS. Donor eyes with geographic atrophy (GA) or choroidal neovascularization (CNV) and one GA eye with previous clinical spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SDOCT) imaging were processed for histology, photodocumented, and annotated at predefined locations. Retinal pigment epithelial cells contained spindle-shaped melanosomes, apposed a basal lamina or basal laminar deposit (BLamD), and exhibited recognizable morphologies. Thicknesses and unbiased estimates of frequencies were obtained.

RESULTS. In 13 GA eyes (449 locations), ‘Shedding,’ ‘Sloughed,’ and ‘Dissociated’ morphologies were abundant; 22.2% of atrophic locations had ‘Dissociated’ RPE. In 39 CNV eyes (1363 locations), 37.5% of locations with fibrovascular/fibrocellular scar had ‘Entombed’ RPE; ‘Sloughed,’ ‘Dissociated,’ and ‘Bilaminar’ morphologies were abundant. Of abnormal RPE, CNV and GA both had ~55% ‘Sloughed’/Intraretinal; with more Intraretinal in CNV (9.5% vs. 1.8%). ‘Shedding’ cells associated with granule aggregations in BLamD. The RPE layer did not thin, and BLamD remained thick, with progression. Granule-containing material consistent with three morphologies correlated to SDOCT hyperreflective foci in the previously examined GA patient.

CONCLUSIONS. Retinal pigment epithelium morphology indicates multiple pathways in GA and CNV. Atrophic/scarred areas have numerous cells capable of transcribing genes and generating imaging signals. Shed granule aggregates, possibly apoptotic, are visible in SDOCT, as are ‘Dissociated’ and ‘Sloughed’ cells. The significance of RPE phenotypes is addressable in longitudinal, high-resolution imaging in clinic populations. Data can motivate future molecular phenotyping studies.
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Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) causes worldwide vision loss, at a high social and economic cost.1–3 A disease of the photoreceptor support system,4 AMD’s pathology is prominent in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and underlying Bruch’s membrane (BrM). The RPE is a monolayer of cuboidal epithelial cells of neuroectodermal origin, dually tasked with maintaining retina apically and choroid basally.5–7 In AMD, internal to the RPE basement membrane is basal laminar deposit (BLamD),8 a thickened layer of extracellular matrix proteins secreted by RPE and associated with disease progression.9 External to the RPE basement membrane are extracellular drusen and basal linear deposits10 that in vivo separate outer retinal cells from vasculature and promote neovascularization; these also are synthesized by RPE. Pigmentary and autofluorescence variations represent clinically detectable RPE decompensation and disease progression.11,12 The RPE is thus a key AMD participant, victim, and reporter of clinically inconspicuous events in BrM.

High-resolution clinical imaging reveals the cellular basis of disease progression as never before.13 Clinically deployed and experimental technologies show the RPE en face14–19 and in cross section with other chorioretinal layers.20,21 A research priority is identifying novel anatomic biomarkers derived from spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SDOCT),22 including components of the hyperreflective band attributed to RPE and BrM. The RPE is revealed in vivo by its abundant...
melanosomes, melanolipofuscin, and lipofuscin granules, all of lysosomal lineage23–25 and all potential subcellular contributors to SDOCT reflectivity.26,27 Yet RPE imaging relies on surprisingly few data about the number, size, shape, and disposition of individual RPE cells and their organelles of imaging significance. Previous morphological studies of RPE in AMD and Stargardt disease, an inherited disorder also featuring abundant RPE lipofuscin, collectively used low-resolution light microscopy, electron microscopy of small series, minimally characterized or insufficiently advanced pathology, and imprecisely specified retinal localizations.28–38 This knowledge gap impedes the full exploitation of RPE-centered imaging technologies.

We hypothesize that the RPE exhibits stereotypic stress responses and death pathways, which if defined, quantify, and followed, provide windows into molecular pathology and points of therapeutic entry. Like others we used grading systems to discretize RPE morphology, compare across eyes and retinal regions, and facilitate quantification.31–33,39–43 Using this approach, we proposed two main pathways: apical (sloughing into subretinal space and intraretinal migration) versus basal (shedding of granules into subjacent BlamD).32 In this report, we describe, illustrate, and account for morphologies of RPE cells in geographic atrophy (GA) and choroidal neovascularization (CNV), the two AMD end stages, using melanosomes, lipofuscin, and BlamD as anatomical markers. A companion report44 focuses on RPE-derived cells, that is, out-of-RPE layer cells containing melanosomes and lacking contact with BlamD. We estimate the frequency of RPE morphologies, quantify RPE and BlamD thicknesses, determine if RPE morphologies are visible by SDOCT, and propose testable hypotheses about RPE fate in AMD, where fates include death, conversion to a cell type not meeting criteria for RPE, and emigration. Collectively, our data support multiple modes of RPE stress response; provide nomenclature, visualization targets, and metrics for clinical imaging and experimental systems; and motivate future molecular phenotyping studies.

**METHODS**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Alabama at Birmingham and the North Shore-LIJ Health System, Inc. It complied with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Tissue Preparation**

Tissues were accessioned, evaluated, and processed for macula-wide, submicrometer sections, as previously described45,45 and with additional details in the Supplementary Material, including links to digital sections from which figures were chosen.

**Annotation and Layer Thickness Measurements**

To permit unbiased estimates for the frequency of each morphology, we annotated sections at locations chosen using systematic sampling. As described previously,45 we employed a custom ImageJ plug-in (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/: provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) that allowed the user to populate a database with classifications and layer thicknesses at predefined locations. Locations were pooled within each of two standard horizontal planes, 2 mm superior to the foveal center (Superior) and through the foveola and optic nerve (Central). Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Material. Frequencies expressed as a function of distance from defined borders in GA and CNV eyes46,46,47 will be reported separately.

We defined RPE as cells containing RPE melanosomes and lipofuscin, internal to basal lamina or BlamD, if present, or BrM if not.48 We defined RPE-derived cells as those with RPE melanosomes and lipofuscin, not adjacent to basal lamina or BlamD, and out of the RPE layer (see our companion paper).44 Retinal pigment epithelium melanosomes are unique due to their spindle shape.24 Lipofuscin granules are recognizable by their size (~1 μm), shape (irregular, potato-like), abundance, and polychromatic coloration imparted by toluidine blue (blue-green, tending toward bronze or brown depending on the eye). By referring to transmission electron microscopy (TEM), it was possible to discriminate melanosomes from the combined population of lipofuscin and melanolipofuscin (LF/LMF) granules (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The RPE layer was defined as the plane of RPE cells located between the subretinal and sub-RPE spaces, which are divided by the RPE if present and BlamD if not. Because the RPE layer is internal to BlamD, which can outlast cells in advanced AMD, the plane of the RPE layer can be defined when cells are absent.8,48 For our grading system we used the term “epithelial” for a continuous cellular layer with junctional complexes linking individual RPE cells to each other. We used the term “nepithelial” for a noncontinuous layer of cells containing RPE melanosomes and for RPE cells and cellular material not adjacent to basal lamina or BlamD. In Table 1, three RPE grades contain epithelial and nepithelial components. Four are epithelial only. Two are nepithelial only. The term “atrophy” signifies absence of pigmented cells.

Retinal pigment epithelium layer thicknesses were measured perpendicular to BrM and excluded apical processes. Thicknesses were recorded where the RPE layer was continuous, including the epithelial components of grades ‘Sloughed’, ‘Shedding’, and ‘Intraretinal’, as defined in Table 1 and described in Results, as well as continuous layers of Entombed in selected locations (additional details in Supplementary Material). In atrophic areas, RPE thickness was set to zero. For thickness measurements, BlamD was identified as previously described8 and both early and late forms were included, if present. Definitions and procedures for nepithelial cells and BlamD are included in the Supplementary Material. Thicknesses measured for RPE and BlamD were each compared between Superior and Central sections, separately in GA and CNV eyes, and then combined because findings were consistent. Because some RPE phenotypes were found very rarely, detailed statistical analysis among grades was not possible.

**Clinicopathologic Correlation**

As previously described,49 a 98-year-old white woman had nonneovascular AMD with subretinal drusenoid deposits (left eye), an acquired vitelliform lesion that collapsed by March 2011 leaving central GA (right eye), pigmentary changes (both eyes), and absence of typical small or large drusen but presence of histologically detectable basal linear deposit and abundant BlamD (both eyes). She underwent multimodal clinical imaging including SDOCT in April 2013 and died in December 2013. Eyes were recovered at 8:55 hours post mortem, preserved, and processed as described above. A sub-RPE neovascularization discovered on histology was likely present and quiescent during the vitelliform collapse. Eye tracking software (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) was used to align in vivo and ex vivo SDOCT scans.49 Histologic sections matched to the scans were digitized using image-stitching software (CellSens; Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) and a ×20 planapochromat objective. Correspondences between histology and SDOCT were verified.
### Table 1. Definitions of RPE Cell and RPE Layer Morphologies; RPE Frequencies and Thicknesses in GA and CNV Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology*</th>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Layer Components†</th>
<th>Description‡</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Thickness Mean ± SD, μm</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Thickness Mean ± SD, μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Nonuniform'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>Slightly nonuniform morphology and pigmentation</td>
<td>80; 17.8</td>
<td>11.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>36; 2.6</td>
<td>10.9 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Very Nonuniform'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>Very nonuniform morphology and pigmentation</td>
<td>143; 31.8</td>
<td>10.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>189; 13.9</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Sloughed'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial, nonepithelial</td>
<td>Intact epithelium with spherical cells sloughed into subretinal space</td>
<td>38; 8.5</td>
<td>17.0 ± 8.1</td>
<td>44; 3.2</td>
<td>18.9 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Shedding'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial, nonepithelial</td>
<td>Intact epithelium with basal shedding of nonnucleated granule aggregates into BLamD</td>
<td>39; 8.7</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>25; 1.8</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Bilaminar'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>Double layers</td>
<td>2; 0.4</td>
<td>19.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>37; 2.7</td>
<td>17.8 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Vacuolated'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>A single large vacuole, sometimes with contents, delimited apically by extremely effaced cytoplasm</td>
<td>1; 0.2</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>5; 0.4</td>
<td>17.4 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Intraretinal'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Epithelial, nonepithelial</td>
<td>Nucleated RPE in neurosensory retina, anterior to external limiting membrane</td>
<td>2; 0.4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 7.2</td>
<td>16; 1.2</td>
<td>11.6 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Dissociated'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Nonepithelial</td>
<td>Nucleated individual RPE in an atrophic area lacking an external limiting membrane, distinguishing this grade from 'Intraretinal'</td>
<td>32; 7.1</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>41; 3.0</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Entombed'</td>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>Nonepithelial</td>
<td>Entombed by fibrovascular/fibrocellular scar, intermingled with other cells and fluid in the same plane</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>302; 22.2</td>
<td>16.6 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Atrophy with BLamD'</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>No pigmented cells; persistent BLamD</td>
<td>86; 19.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>402; 29.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Atrophy without BLamD'</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>No pigmented cells; no BLamD</td>
<td>26; 5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>266; 19.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>449; 100</td>
<td>1363; 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a., not available; 'Entombed' RPE are found in neovascular AMD eyes only.

* Verbal descriptors replace numbered grades used in our previous publications32-35 and at http://projectmacula: 'Nonuniform,' 1; 'Very Nonuniform,' 2; 'Sloughed,' 2A; 'Shedding,' 2B; 'Bilaminar,' 2L; 'Intraretinal,' 3; 'Atrophy with BLamD,' 4; 'Atrophy without BLamD,' 5.

† Epithelial, continuous layer of RPE cells; nonepithelial, noncontinuous layer of RPE cells, not adjacent to basal lamina or BLamD.

‡ Three morphologies ('Subducted,' 'Melanotic,' and 'Entombed,' Fig. 1) are considered RPE derived and are presented in a companion paper.41

---

**Geographic Atrophy, Superior + Central**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Thickness Mean ± SD, μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Choroidal Neovascularization, Superior + Central**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Thickness Mean ± SD, μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by comparing images of entire sections to scans, especially contours of the inner retinal surface and inner nuclear layer (INL) horizontal extent of BLamD and split RPE-BrM band, and the external limiting membrane (ELM) terminations at the GA borders. All scans and sections will be published in a report of neovascular changes in this eye. We use the terminology of Staurenghi et al. for SDOCT bands.

**RESULTS**

Our results are based on 52 human eyes from 51 donors with ex vivo imaging and histopathologic findings consistent with advanced AMD (Supplementary Table S1). A total of 1812 locations were evaluated in 13 eyes with GA (449 locations; 150 Superior and 299 Central) and 39 eyes with choroidal CNV (1363 locations; 452 Superior and 911 Central). To organize the results, Figure 1 is a graphical hypothesis that incorporates all RPE morphologies into pathways that are fully explained in the Discussion.

Table 1 lists cell and layer characteristics of RPE morphologies, and Figure 2 illustrates at higher resolution those previously described at lower resolution. In older eyes, RPE is slightly ‘Nonuniform’ in size and pigmentation with BLamD (Fig. 2A), and many cells are ‘Very Nonuniform’ (Fig. 2B). ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ morphologies both have epithelial components of cells overlying BLamD, plus anteriorly placed nonepithelial components: spherical cells desquamated into the subretinal space for ‘Sloughed’ (Fig. 2G), and cells anterior to an intact ELM for ‘Intraretinal’ (Fig. 2E). Density and composition of intracellular granules in the nonepithelial subretinal and intraretinal cells closely resemble those of epithelial cells. ‘Intraretinal’ is often seen near ‘Sloughed’ in the same or nearby histologic sections, and we consider the two a pathogenic continuum. ‘Shedding’ RPE comprises an irregular epithelial layer associated with overlying shed, nonnucleated granule aggregates within a thick continuous BLamD (Fig. 2D). ‘Bilaminar’ RPE comprises double layers of epithelial RPE adherent to early BLamD (Fig. 2I), distinguishable from rearrangement due to damage in processing by the intact tissues around it and repeatability across specimens. ‘Vacuolated’ RPE, newly added, have a single large vacuole delimited apically by extremely effaced cytoplasm (Fig. 2J). In ‘Atrophy with BLamD’, RPE cells have died more recently than in ‘Atrophic without BLamD’ (Figs. 2H, 2K).

We next show examples of newly recognized nonepithelial morphologies (Figs. 2, 3, 4). ‘Dissociated’ RPE comprises separate spherical or ovoid cells with nuclei in the atrophic area (mean diameter 13.7 μm, Fig. 2C). ‘Dissociated’ RPE was found in degenerated outer nuclear layer in 25 locations (34.2%), in the Henle fiber layer in 44 locations (60.3%), and in foveal INL in 4 locations (5.5%). ‘Dissociated’ RPE differs from ‘Intraretinal’ by the absence of ELM and any epithelial RPE. Cells were adherent to BLamD (Figs. 3A, 3B), detached from BLamD (Fig. 3C), or adherent to BrM (Fig. 3D). Frequently, ‘Dissociated’ cells were accompanied by cellular fragments or loose granules seen in the neurosensory retina. Direct and primary contributors to ‘Dissociated’ appear to be the epithelial components of ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ (Figs. 2A, 2B). ‘Sloughed’ RPE can become ‘Intraretinal’. Some enter the lumen of outer retinal tubulation from the subretinal space. The epithelial component of ‘Shedding’ contains intracellular granule aggregations, less readily appreciated in histology, which are released into BLamD and may represent apoptotic bodies. The relationship between ‘Bilaminar’ and ‘Entombed RPE’ is hypothetical, and the fate of rare ‘Vacuolated’ RPE cells is unknown. The term atrophy does not specify the fate of individual cells. Death is one possible outcome. Alternatives are transition to a form that does not meet our criteria for RPE (e.g., loss of granules) and emigration. All morphologies depigment; the principal ones are ‘Entombed’ and ‘Subducted’. The term atrophy does not specify the fate of individual cells. Death is one possible outcome. Alternatives are transition to a form that does not meet our criteria for RPE (e.g., loss of granules) and emigration. All morphologies depigment; the principal ones are ‘Entombed’ and ‘Subducted’. The term atrophy does not specify the fate of individual cells. Death is one possible outcome. Alternatives are transition to a form that does not meet our criteria for RPE (e.g., loss of granules) and emigration. All morphologies depigment; the principal ones are ‘Entombed’ and ‘Subducted’.

---

**FIGURE 1.** Graphical hypothesis of RPE pathways in AMD. The schema omits normal aging changes and begins with ‘Very Nonuniform.’ RPE cells proceed to more advanced epithelial and nonepithelial grades. Three RPE-derived morphologies (grayed out) are reported separately. ‘Dissociated’ and ‘Entombed’ are the final steps before dissolution of the RPE layer (atrophy). ‘Dissociated’ cells likely give rise to cellular fragments and loose granules seen in the neurosensory retina. Direct and primary contributors to ‘Dissociated’ appear to be the epithelial components of ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ and ‘Shedding’. ‘Sloughed’ RPE can become ‘Intraretinal.’ Some enter the lumen of outer retinal tubulation from the subretinal space. The epithelial component of ‘Shedding’ contains intracellular granule aggregations, less readily appreciated in histology, which are released into BLamD and may represent apoptotic bodies. The relationship between ‘Bilaminar’ and ‘Entombed RPE’ is hypothetical, and the fate of rare ‘Vacuolated’ RPE cells is unknown. The term atrophy does not specify the fate of individual cells. Death is one possible outcome. Alternatives are transition to a form that does not meet our criteria for RPE (e.g., loss of granules) and emigration. All morphologies depigment; the principal ones are ‘Entombed’ and ‘Subducted’. The term atrophy does not specify the fate of individual cells. Death is one possible outcome. Alternatives are transition to a form that does not meet our criteria for RPE (e.g., loss of granules) and emigration. All morphologies depigment; the principal ones are ‘Entombed’ and ‘Subducted’.

---

**TABLE 1.** Cell and layer characteristics of RPE morphologies.

---

**FIGURE 2.** Higher resolution illustrations of previously described RPE morphologies. ‘Sloughed’ RPE comprises epithelial cells overlying BLamD (Fig. 2G). ‘Intraretinal’ RPE is anterior to the ELM (Fig. 2E). ‘Shedding’ RPE contains intracellular granule aggregations (Fig. 2D). ‘Bilaminar’ RPE comprises double layers of epithelial RPE adherent to early BLamD (Fig. 2I). ‘Vacuolated’ RPE have a single large vacuole (Fig. 2J). ‘Dissociated’ RPE comprise separate spherical or ovoid cells with nuclei in the atrophic area (mean diameter 13.7 μm, Fig. 2C). ‘Dissociated’ RPE cells were adherent to BLamD (Figs. 3A, 3B), detached from BLamD (Fig. 3C), or adherent to BrM (Fig. 3D). Frequently, ‘Dissociated’ cells were accompanied by cellular fragments or loose granules seen in the neurosensory retina.
**FIGURE 2.** Grades of RPE morphology in late AMD. Submicrometer epoxy resin sections were stained with toluidine blue. Epithelial RPE and RPE morphologies with epithelial components (A, B, D, E, G, J); nonepithelial (noncontinuous) morphologies (C, F); atrophic RPE (H, K). (A) ‘Nonuniform’ RPE: slightly nonuniform morphology and pigmentation with small patches of early BLamD. (B) ‘Very Nonuniform’ RPE: more nonuniformity in shape and pigmentation; melanosomes within apical processes (pink arrowhead). Subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD) localize to RPE apical aspect. (C) ‘Dissociated’ RPE: individual RPE cells with or without nuclei in atrophic area, adherent to early BLamD. Some RPE granules are translocated among HFL fibers. (D) ‘Shedding’ RPE: basal translocation of shed RPE fragments into a thick continuous layer of BLamD (late and early forms shown by large and small yellow arrowheads, respectively); BLinD (black arrowheads). (E) ‘Intraretinal’ RPE: anterior migration through ELM. Epithelial component remains atop BLamD (bottom), which in turn overlies an artifactually empty soft druse. Photoreceptors have degenerated. Retina is artifactually detached. (F) Cells ‘Entombed’ by a subretinal scar (s) together with nonpigmented cells. Persistent BLamD divides subretinal fibrocellular scar in the subretinal space from fibrovascular scar (Fv.s) in sub-RPE space. (G) ‘Sloughed’ RPE: release of spherical cells into the subretinal space from fibrovascular scar (Fv.s) in sub-RPE space. (H) ‘Atrophy with BLamD’: absent RPE and persistent BLamD. Photoreceptors have atrophied. ELM delimits end-stage outer retinal tubulation. (I) ‘Bilaminar’: double layers of epithelial RPE (delimited by dotted line) adherent to BLamD. (J) ‘Vacuolated’ RPE: cells with a single large vacuole delimited apically by extremely effaced cytoplasm. (K) ‘Atrophy without BLamD’: absent RPE, absent BLamD. Photoreceptors have atrophied. Yellow arrowheads: BLamD; red arrowheads: calcification in BrM; green arrowheads: ELM, BLamD, basal laminar deposits; BLinD, basal linear deposits; ELM, external limiting membrane; HFL, Henle fiber layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium.
individual granules within retinal layers and granule aggregates within subjacent BlamD (Fig. 3). ‘Entombed’ RPE is buried by subretinal scars (Figs. 2F, 4); in 213 (71%) locations in CNV eyes, a sub-RPE scar was also present. ‘Entombed’ RPE cells were rectangular in cross section, not always continuous, often adjacent to cells with insufficient granules to qualify as RPE (Fig. 4A), arranged with similar cells in a double layer (Figs. 4B, 4C), or enveloped by thin basement membrane material. They could also be interposed with extracellular fibrin (Fig. 4C) and extracellular or intracellular fluid (Fig. 4D). Some cells contained spherical as well as spindle-shaped melanosomes. The distribution of RPE grades by sections and by diagnostic category is presented in Figure 5 and Table 1, and frequencies normalized by total and abnormal grades are presented in Table 2. Because atrophy and scar were mainly centrally located in both GA and CNV eyes, advanced RPE changes were more apparent in Central than Superior sections. In CNV eyes this regional difference was less evident because atrophy was larger. Combining data from Central and Superior sections, ‘Atrophy with BlamD’ was more frequent than ‘Atrophy without BlamD’ and overall more abundant in CNV eyes than GA eyes (‘with’ and ‘without’: 19.3% and 5.8% for GA; 29.5% and 19.5% for CNV). If the ‘Dissociated’ grade is pooled with ‘Atrophy with BlamD’ and ‘Atrophy without BlamD,’ ‘Dissociated’ cells were found at 22.2% of all locations with atrophy in GA eyes and at 5.8% of all locations with atrophy in CNV eyes. Considering only abnormal RPE grades (Table 2), we found that ‘Shedding’ (34.2%), ‘Sloughed’ (33.3%), and ‘Dissociated’ (28.1%) were the most prevalent RPE morphologies in GA eyes. ‘Entombed’ RPE was a major finding in CNV eyes: 37.3% of locations with scar had ‘Entombed’ RPE (not shown), and 64.3% of all abnormal RPE cells were ‘Entombed’ (Table 2). If only the six abnormal grades common to both CNV and GA are considered, ‘Sloughed’ (26.2%), ‘Dissociated’ (24.4%), and ‘Blaminar’ (22.0%) represent the most frequent RPE grades in CNV eyes. The frequency of ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ together was similar in GA and CNV (35.1% and 35.7%, respectively). Yet CNV eyes had far more ‘Intraretinal’ (9.5%) and fewer ‘Sloughed’ cells (26.2%) than GA eyes (1.8% and 33.3%, respectively). ‘Vacuolated’ RPE was uncommon in both GA and CNV (0.9% and 3.0%, respectively). The thickness of ‘Nonuniform’ RPE was 11.7 ± 2.6 μm in GA eyes and 10.9 ± 2.4 μm in CNV eyes. Of note, RPE thickness did not decrease from ‘Nonuniform’ RPE through more abnormal RPE grades in either GA or CNV eyes. Thickness of ‘Entombed’ RPE was almost 1.5-fold higher than that of ‘Nonuniform’ RPE; in GA eyes, mean thickness of ‘Nonuniform’ RPE was 11.7 ± 2.6 μm and 10.9 ± 2.4 μm in CNV eyes. Consideration only abnormal RPE grades (Table 2), we found that ‘Shedding’ (34.2%), ‘Sloughed’ (33.3%), and ‘Dissociated’ (28.1%) were the most prevalent RPE morphologies in GA eyes. ‘Entombed’ RPE was a major finding in CNV eyes: 37.3% of locations with scar had ‘Entombed’ RPE (not shown), and 64.3% of all abnormal RPE cells were ‘Entombed’ (Table 2). If only the six abnormal grades common to both CNV and GA are considered, ‘Sloughed’ (26.2%), ‘Dissociated’ (24.4%), and ‘Blaminar’ (22.0%) represent the most frequent RPE grades in CNV eyes. The frequency of ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ together was similar in GA and CNV (35.1% and 35.7%, respectively). Yet CNV eyes had far more ‘Intraretinal’ (9.5%) and fewer ‘Sloughed’ cells (26.2%) than GA eyes (1.8% and 33.3%, respectively). ‘Vacuolated’ RPE was uncommon in both GA and CNV (0.9% and 3.0%, respectively).

Figure 6 and Tables 1 and 3 show thicknesses of epithelial RPE and BlamD. Table 3 additionally shows frequency of BlamD occurrence. Mean thickness of ‘Nonuniform’ RPE was 11.7 ± 2.6 μm in GA eyes and 10.9 ± 2.4 μm in CNV eyes. Of note, RPE thickness did not decrease from ‘Nonuniform’ RPE through more abnormal RPE grades in either GA or CNV eyes. Thickness of ‘Entombed’ RPE was almost 1.5-fold higher than that of ‘Nonuniform’ RPE, because it often contained two layers of similar cells. Relative to ‘Nonuniform’ RPE, ‘Shedding’ RPE had 9- to 10-fold thicker BlamD in both GA and CNV eyes, although variability was high. Advanced RPE morphologies were highly associated with BlamD (frequency of occurrence for ‘Dissociated,’ 97%–100% in GA and CNV; ‘Entombed,’ 78% in CNV). BlamD persisted in 77% of atrophic locations in GA eyes (mean thickness, 4.7 ± 3.5 μm) and in 60% of atrophic locations in CNV eyes (7.2 ± 6.7 μm).
The in vivo visibility of distinctive RPE morphologies by SDOCT imaging was addressed in one case of direct clinicopathologic correlation.49 The right eye of a 98-year-old white woman with advanced AMD came to histopathology 8 months after multimodal clinical imaging. Because pathology findings were consistent over an extended area and this section matched the corresponding SDOCT scan better than all others, SDOCT correlates for several RPE morphologies were apparent (Fig. 7), even if specific individual cells and granule aggregates could not be linked to specific hyperreflective foci. Figure 7 illustrates imaging–histology correlations for ‘Dissociated’ cells on BLamD (Figs. 7E–G), ‘Dissociated’ cells within the INL (Fig. 7F), material shed from ‘Shedding’ (Fig. 7E), and epithelial and nonepithelial components of ‘Sloughed’ (Fig. 7G). A fibrovascular scar with hyperreflectivity and possible lamellar substructure is external to a thick and relatively less reflective BLamD. The RPE-BrM band is split by the BLamD-scar combination.8

DISCUSSION

In the most extensive survey of RPE morphology in late AMD eyes to date, we capitalized on the ability to distinguish organelles over large tissue expanses, the availability of 52 late AMD eyes, unbiased systematic sampling, and a focus on cardinal features of RPE ultrastructure, particularly spindle-shaped melanosomes. We observed grades seen at lower resolution in smaller series of early and late AMD eyes31–33,39–43 and defined new RPE grades. Grades were found in both GA and CNV eyes, in different proportions, consistent with a defined repertoire of stress responses accessible by a single histologic grading system. Because contemporary SDOCT provides exquisite structural detail, clinical interpretation is best served by morphological descriptions that are pegged to precise retinal locations, comprehensive, quantitative, and digitally available. From numerous short postmortem eyes, we provided views that were high magnification, high resolution, color, and panoramic, with the original histology accessible online. From the current perspective, we could recognize the same RPE morphologies in previous publications (Table 4). Our clinicopathologic correlation, taken with published histology and clinical imaging (Table 4), suggests that many histologic RPE grades are transferrable to SDOCT.

Our survey, intended primarily as a comprehensive context for clinical imaging, is the first to our knowledge to systematize RPE morphology as hypotheses about major biologic processes testable by future research. These data provide a firm structural basis for future molecular phenotyping. Age-related macular degeneration was advanced in our study eyes, yet data are relevant to questions of pathogenesis. All eyes exhibited areas of early- and intermediate-stage disease outside the main atrophic areas. Further, many morphologies were previously described in early AMD eyes. Finally, the availability of numerous SDOCT volumes of advanced AMD eyes, collected under standardized conditions in clinical trials and in practices with longstanding SDOCT use, means that end stages can be.
track backward to impart new significance to earlier stages.\textsuperscript{50,53–57} Atrophy is absence of a pigmented cell layer that can be explained by death, transdifferentiation to a cell type not meeting our criteria for RPE, or emigration. In Figure 1 and as detailed below, ‘Dissociated’ and ‘Entombed’ appear to be final steps before the RPE layer disappears. Only ‘Shedding’ seems to be actually dying. Some morphologies like ‘Sloughing’/‘Intraretinal’ and others presented in the companion paper\textsuperscript{44} suggest transdifferentiation with acquisition of new cellular behaviors. We discuss each RPE phenotype in turn, starting with cells newly described at end-stage AMD.

The definitions of GA in color fundus photography, SDOCT, and fundus autofluorescence\textsuperscript{58–60} all imply absence of differentiated RPE, yet within the atrophic zone we found many granule-rich ‘Dissociated’ RPE cells, usually accompanied by BLamD. Of atrophic locations in GA eyes, a sizeable minority (22.2\%) had ‘Dissociated’ RPE, 4-fold higher than in CNV eyes. ‘Dissociated’ cells are a likely source of cellular fragments and single granules, particularly in the Henle fiber layer, that manifest as autofluorescent debris.\textsuperscript{33} The most plausible predecessors of ‘Dissociated’ RPE are the epithelial components of ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’ and others presented in the companion paper\textsuperscript{44} suggest transdifferentiation with acquisition of new cellular behaviors. We discuss each RPE phenotype in turn, starting with cells newly described at end-stage AMD.

The definitions of GA in color fundus photography, SDOCT, and fundus autofluorescence\textsuperscript{58–60} all imply absence of differentiated RPE, yet within the atrophic zone we found many granule-rich ‘Dissociated’ RPE cells, usually accompanied by BLamD. Of atrophic locations in GA eyes, a sizeable minority (22.2\%) had ‘Dissociated’ RPE, 4-fold higher than in CNV eyes. ‘Dissociated’ cells are a likely source of cellular fragments and single granules, particularly in the Henle fiber layer, that manifest as autofluorescent debris.\textsuperscript{33} The most plausible predecessors of ‘Dissociated’ RPE are the epithelial components of ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’ and ‘Shedding’ (Fig. 1), which like ‘Dissociated’ sit atop BLamD and could eventually break up as cells or fragments exit the layer (see below). Clinical SDOCT observations (Table 4) of an elevated RPE-BrM band manifesting as a hyperreflective ‘dotted line throughout the atro-
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**FIGURE 5.** Distribution of RPE grades in eyes with GA and choroidal neovascularization. Superior and Central sections of GA eyes (n = 13) and CNV eyes (n = 39). The Superior section is located 2 mm superior to the Central section. RPE morphologies are indicated by colored bars: Epithelial (blue), Nonepithelial (green), and Atrophic (orange). The numbers atop each column represent the number of affected locations. RPE morphologies in 150 Superior and 299 Central locations of eyes with GA show many locations with ‘Dissociated’ and ‘Atrophy with BLamD’. RPE morphologies in 452 Superior and 911 Central locations in eyes with CNV show many locations with ‘Entombed RPE’ and ‘Atrophy’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPE Grade</th>
<th>Referenced to Total, N = 449</th>
<th>Referenced to 6 Grades, N = 114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Sloughed’</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Shedding’</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Blaminar’</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Vacuolated’</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Intraretinal’</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Dissociated’</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Entombed’</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a., not available; ‘Entombed’ RPE are found in neovascular AMD eyes only.

Mechanistically heterogeneous category includes RPE cells thought to be degenerating (‘Shedding’), nonepithelial (‘Dissociated’ and ‘Entombed’), and transdifferentiating (nonepithelial) components of ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’, which appear to be migratory, and others for which mechanisms and functional significance are currently unknown (‘Blaminar’, ‘Vacuolated’).
and dots on BrM itself are consistent with ‘Dissociated’ cells. Direct evidence of a transition between ‘Sloughed’ and ‘Dissociated’ is revealed by SDOCT imaging over 13 months in a ‘diffuse trickling’ (multilobular) GA eye (Fig. 662; Fleckenstein M, written communication, 2014). Our observations confirm and extend histologic illustrations and descriptions of ‘melanin dispersion inside areas of GA’ revealed by adaptive optics assisted near-infrared reflectance imaging. Also seen with this technology were clumps, 30 to 40 μm in diameter, apparently motile on a time course of weeks. These were attributed to extracellular or intracellular melanosomes (within dysmorphic RPE, Müller cells, macrophages, or microglia). ‘Dissociated’ RPE is the leading histologic correlate for this remarkable phenomenon.

Illustrated in previous histology, ‘Entombed’ RPE appears at 37.3% of locations with fibrovascular and fibrocellular scar (Table 4). Originally called entrapped, these cells are herein named ‘Entombed’ in distinction to entrapment sites (incipient drusen) on inner BrM. ‘Entombed’ RPE, frequently a double layer, may signify RPE folding back on itself as CNV breaks through to the subretinal space, so that apical surfaces appose, or RPE tears as the scar contracts, so that basal surfaces also might appose. Although SDOCT can disclose abundant detail in subretinal fibrovascular material, signatures consistent with ‘Entombed’ RPE remain to be defined. Polarization-sensitive OCT, however, reveals a discontinuous line of residual polarization scramblers in these locations (Table 4). ‘Entombed’ RPE expresses RPE markers and, consistent with its granule population, exhibits histologic autofluorescence and thus possibly fundus autofluorescence signal as well. Our companion paper shows evidence for transdifferentiation of ‘Entombed’ to ‘Melanotic’ cells. Functional capacities, life cycle, and role of ‘Entombed’ RPE in antivascular endothelial growth factor therapy, if any, remain to be learned.

### Table 3. Thicknesses of RPE and Frequencies of BLamD, by RPE grade in GA and CNV Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPE Grade</th>
<th>Locations With BLamD, No./Total at Grade, %</th>
<th>Mean Thickness, μm ± SD</th>
<th>Locations With BLamD, No./Total at Grade, %</th>
<th>Mean Thickness, μm ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Nonuniform’</td>
<td>40/80, 50</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>16/36, 44</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Nonuniform’</td>
<td>127/143, 89</td>
<td>6.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>138/189, 73</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Sloughed’</td>
<td>33/38, 87</td>
<td>5.9 ± 7.3</td>
<td>37/44, 84</td>
<td>6.0 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Shedding’</td>
<td>39/39, 100</td>
<td>10.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>25/25, 100</td>
<td>12.6 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Bilaminar’</td>
<td>1/2, 50</td>
<td>11.8 ± 16.6</td>
<td>29/37, 78</td>
<td>4.4 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Vacuolated’</td>
<td>0/1, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4/5, 80</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Intraretinal’</td>
<td>2/2, 100</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>15/16, 94</td>
<td>7.4 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Dissociated’</td>
<td>31/32, 97</td>
<td>5.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>41/41, 100</td>
<td>9.0 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Entombed’</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>235/302, 78</td>
<td>4.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Atrophy with BLamD’</td>
<td>86/86, 100</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.5</td>
<td>402/402, 100</td>
<td>7.2 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Atrophy without BLamD’</td>
<td>0/26, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/266, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a., not available; ‘Entombed’ RPE are found in neovascular AMD eyes only.
FIGURE 7. Clinical-pathologic correlation of RPE morphologies. The right eye of a 98-year-old white woman with advanced AMD (both GA and CNV) was clinically examined with SDOCT imaging 8 months before death. (A, B) In vivo SDOCT scans match histology (C, D), respectively. GA borders are defined by the end of curved ELM (green dots) in (A, C). Bar in (A) applies to both. (A) Increased choroidal reflectivity in GA and hyperreflective scar over BrM. A dotted hyperreflective line crosses GA (pink arrowheads). It is separated from the scar by a hyperreflective layer (yellow asterisk) containing additional hyperreflective dots (yellow arrowhead). (B) Hyperreflective dots (blue arrowhead) over a continuous RPE-BrM complex band (green arrowhead) outside GA and individual hyperreflective dots inside GA (pink arrowhead). The ELM band is visible. (C, D) Low magnification of different histologic sections from this eye that match SDOCT scans in (A, B). Bar in (C) applies to both. (C) Central GA and sub-RPE fibrovascular scar. Multiple Dissociated RPE cells overlie very thick BLamD containing granule aggregates (E, F). Inner retinal layers are continuous outside the gap at the foveal depression. (D) GA border. 'Nonuniform' and 'Sloughed' RPE outside GA, two 'Dissociated' RPE cells inside GA. (E-G) High magnification of histology of (A, B). BLamD, yellow asterisk; f.v.s, fibrovascular scar. Bar in (E) applies to all. It was possible to match classes of hyperreflective spots to classes of cells, if not individual cells and spots. However, the configurations of spots in (A) and cells in (E-G) are not identical. (E) 'Dissociated' nucleated RPE cells (pink arrowhead) on thick early and late BLamD with granule aggregates and two granule-containing cells (a rare finding, yellow arrowhead). (F) 'Dissociated' RPE cells (pink arrowhead) lying on BLamD and 'Dissociated' RPE cells migrating toward the INL. 'Shedding' RPE inside BLamD (yellow arrowhead). With ELM absent, these cells are not called 'Intraretinal.' Pigmented cells located within the scar are 'Subducted', their reflectivity may be indistinguishable from that of the surrounding scar. (G) Epithelial (green arrowhead) and nonepithelial (blue arrowhead) cells of the 'Sloughed' morphology, in the subretinal space outside GA. Two 'Dissociated' RPE cells are seen inside GA (pink arrowhead).

Previously described32-35 'Sloughed' and 'Intraretinal' morphologies appear to be two phases of a continuum featuring nonepithelial cells internal to an epithelial layer that then apparently disintegrates (see above). Because we found in the subretinal space almost exclusively fully pigment-containing cells, and because 'Sloughed'/‘Intraretinal’ cells were packed with RPE granules of morphology and packing density similar to subjacent epithelial RPE, we considered them RPE derived. The identity of pigmented cells in the subretinal space has been debated for decades, with the two leading contenders RPE and monocye-derived macrophages/microglia that phagocytized RPE and retained telltale melanosomes.17,72-74 Investigators using single-section TEM to visualize these cells in experimental thermal injury and retinal detachment considered them RPE derived because of numerous intracellular granules nondelimited by a phagosome membrane, abundant smooth endoplasmic reticulum, and loss of apical microvilli and junctional complexes in transitioning to nonepithelial.75-78

Recent evidence indicates that numerous lbα1-immunoreactive microglia reside in the subretinal space of aged mice79-85 and appear also in human retinal degenerations including AMD.79,86,87 Our technique was not optimized for detecting widely scattered, small-body microglia, although we certainly sought such cells, as they can be recognized without selective labels.88 'Sloughed' RPE has been pictured and described in mouse models of iron overload and mitochondrial dysregulation89,90 consistent with a stereotypic response repertoire. ‘Intraretinal’ RPE was not reported, however, suggesting that signals prompting inward migration (e.g., vitreous factors91-95 and coordinated opening of the ELM remain to be determined. Interestingly, in a mouse with RPE-specific expression of Cre, subretinal melanosome-bearing cells were Cre-immunoreactive and considered definitive RPE origin; the same study also showed a macrophage marker in 2% of epithelial RPE.96 We hypothesize that ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’ cells are RPE originated and transdifferentiate to a migratory and possibly
phagocytic phenotype, and that in addition to retaining abundant melanosomes they express markers consistent with newly acquired behaviors. The current data cannot conclusively distinguish between RPE transdifferentiation in this manner and an invasion of phagocytes, although we suggest that the latter would contain variable granule composition and concentration reflecting variable times post phagocytosis. Quantitative comparison of multiple markers and ultrastructural features in a statistically robust sample of ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’ cells, epithelial RPE, and monocytes is needed to resolve these questions; such studies are planned.

‘Sloughed’ and ‘Intraretinal’ morphologies are excellent candidates for the subretinal and intraretinal hyperreflective foci repeatedly observed on SDOCT (Table 4) and interpreted variably as “dead RPE and melanin-containing macrophages,”\(^9\) “activated RPE cells,”\(^9\) and “inflammatory cells (e.g., retinal microglia).”\(^7\)\(^4\) Multimodal clinical imaging reveals the dynamism and prognostic importance of these cells. Hyperreflective spots are related to hyperpigmentation revealed by color photography\(^4,9,6\); they migrate into inner retinal layers,\(^9,9,95\) and their quantity and density increase first perifoveally and then focally in a 2-year period marked by GA incidence.\(^9\) Of interest was the high proportion of ‘Intraretinal’ cells in CNV eyes relative to GA eyes, due to either factors promoting intraretinal migration or additional cellular sources beyond ‘Sloughed.’ The basis of intraretinal hyperreflective foci in late AMD is multifactorial, and determining what cells contribute is an important research goal.

‘Shedding’ RPE was first proposed as a major RPE progression pathway by our group,\(^2,33\) and these and separately reported data\(^2,2\) suggest that ‘Shedding’ is undergoing cellular fragmentation. The basolaterally shed granules usually do not scatter, suggesting that cohesion is actively maintained, perhaps with an enclosing cytosol gel. In separate studies, we will show that RPE lipofuscin redistributes intracellularly in AMD by forming aggregations 2 to 20 µm in diameter containing autofluorescent LF/MLF surrounded by cytoplasm, events more readily seen in en face flat mounts than in cross-sectional histology.\(^5\) These intracellular aggregates are credible forerunners of the aggregates released into BLamD. Our observations do not contradict those of Burns and Feeney-Burns,\(^7\) who showed RPE cytoplasm lacking lipofuscin shed into small drusen without BLamD. Seminal ultrastructural studies described apoptotic bodies in cells fated for regulated cell death as membrane-delimited inclusions condensed by extrusion of water, sometimes containing nuclear chromatin but largely reflecting composition of the local cytoplasm.\(^9\) Given the huge number of LF/MLF granules in aged human RPE,\(^5\) it is not surprising that apoptotic bodies could be concentrations of these organelles. In FAS ligand (tumor necrosis superfamily member 6)-triggered apoptosis, activated caspases-8 and -3 are widely considered initiator and executor mechanisms,\(^9\) respectively, and the RPE layer in GA exhibits immunoreactivity for both.\(^10,101\) If these proteins should localize to specific cellular phenotypes, then it may be possible to monitor apoptosis and the effect of cytoprotective agents in vivo via the SDOCT signal of shed granule aggregates. Our direct clinicopathologic correlation and published SDOCT images of the GA junctional zone (Table 4) illustrate hyperreflective dots within thick BLamD,\(^8\) enhancing the prospects of in vivo monitoring. The ‘Shedding’ phenotype may appear in the apoE4 transgenic mouse\(^10,2\) yet has not appeared in other mouse strains with thick BLamD.\(^103-105\)

Several minority RPE morphologies await further exploration. First described by our group in GA,\(^2,33\) ‘Bilaminar’ RPE exhibit superimposed cytосkeletal arrays (Supplementary Fig. S2). Limited data suggest that the outer layer may be partly dedifferentiated with regard to protein expression.\(^2\) Like ‘Entombed’ RPE, also often double-layered, ‘Bilaminar’ RPE was found more frequently in eyes with CNV than in GA eyes, suggesting a relationship. Yet we did not observe interpretable transitions between ‘Bilaminar’ and ‘Entombed,’ perhaps due to the timing of our observations relative to CNV initiation. Another minority morphology was ‘Vacuolated,’ previously reported over small drusen\(^106\) and in mouse models exhibiting vacuoles either within the RPE monolayer\(^106,101,107\) or intruding

### Table 4. Previous Literature Showing RPE Morphologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Histology References</th>
<th>SDOCT References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Nonuniform’</td>
<td>Fig. 4(^2)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Very Nonuniform’</td>
<td>Figs. 41, 48(^6); Fig. 17(^2,9); Figs. 22, 150; Fig. 2(^14); Figs. 24, 152; Figs. 3, 5(^4)</td>
<td>Fig. 5A(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Sloughed’</td>
<td>Figs. 3, 8(^3); Figs. 5, 9(^1); Figs. 12, 16(^2); Fig. 6(^1); Figs. 2, 14(^3); Figs. 3, 32; Figs. 4, 14(^1); Figs. 5, 14(^1)</td>
<td>Fig. 4(^1); Fig. 6(^2); Fig. 2(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Shedding’</td>
<td>Fig. 12(^2); Figs. 1, 2(^3); Fig. 3(^2); Fig. 5(^3)</td>
<td>Figs. 4(^1); 5D, 5F(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Bilaminar’</td>
<td>Figs. 3, 5(^2); Figs. 1, 4 (TEM)(^6); Fig. 20(^2); Figs. 2, 11(^4); Fig. 5(^3); Fig. 3(^2); Fig. 5(^3)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Vacuolated’</td>
<td>Figs. 2, 4(^2)</td>
<td>§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Intraretinal’</td>
<td>Figs. 20, 26(^2); Fig. 8(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Dissociated’</td>
<td>Figs. 20, 26(^2); Fig. 8(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Entombed’</td>
<td>Figs. 44, 50(^A); Fig. 6(^6); Fig. 18(^6); Figs. 9(^7); Fig. 5(^1); Figs. 1, 4(^4,4); Figs. 20(^1); Fig. 13(^3); Figs. 2, 11(^1); Figs. 3, 13(^1); Figs. 5(^1); Figs. 4, 4(^C); Figs. 4, 4(^C); Fig. 21(^3); Figs. 33, 2, 11(^1)</td>
<td>Fig. 8(^1); Fig. 8(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Atrophy with BLamD’</td>
<td>Fig. 45(^3); Figs. 2(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Atrophy without BLamD’</td>
<td>Figs. 5, 9(^7); Figs. 12, 16(^2); Fig. 6(^1); Figs. 2, 14(^3); Figs. 3, 32; Figs. 4, 14(^1); Figs. 5, 14(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published images were assigned to specific grades by authors ECZ and CAC using the grading system in the current paper.

- * Not usually specified.
- † Not identified yet.
- ‡ Previously seen only in mice; see text.
- § Not identified yet.
- || No interpretable images.
into the layer of outer segments.84,88,108 Separately we describe in AMD eyes mushroom-shaped cells with a stem in the RPE layer and a cap of autofluorescent lipofuscin granules extending into the layer of outer segments.52 These rare cells may or may not correspond to ‘Vacuolated’ RPE. More data are needed to deconstruct the heterogeneous ‘Vacuolated’ category, to determine if they are truly rare or just a transient phase, and to identify in vivo imaging correlates.

In quantifying RPE thickness in AMD systematically for the first time, we found that the RPE layer became highly variable and overall thicker as cells became less epithelial in both GA and CNV eyes, despite small numbers at some grades. Our mean thickness for ‘Nonuniform’ RPE was 11.7 ± 2.6 µm and 10.9 ± 2.4 µm in GA and CNV eyes, respectively, agreeing with 11.3 ± 1.4 µm reported by Spraul et al. and thinner than normal aged eyes.109 The compact shape of healthy RPE is energetically efficient, and individual cells thin as the RPE layer effaces over apices of hard drusen.7,110 Existing histopathology111,112 shows maintained or increased RPE thickness at the GA border, contrary to a recent SDOCT interpretation.112 The large size of nonepithelial cells pertains to whether these cells are hyperplastic (i.e., proliferating) or hypertrophic.113 We favor hypertrophy, as we utilized fine detail of nuclear chromatin to focus images, and no mitotic figures were encountered, suggesting that any cell division occurs rarely. Further, the nonepithelial component of ‘Intraretinal’ appeared larger (i.e., hypertrophic) than its epithelial counterpart (Fig. 2E). Because larger cells are encountered more frequently than smaller cells in a given histologic section, they could be perceived as proliferating. Finally, RPE layer thickening with disease severity can help explain the poor and even negative predictive value of fundus hyperautofluorescence for progression at a cellular level at GA borders,114,115 where cells are thick or stacked.55 A thicker layer represents a greater workload for cells with clearance capacity like Müller cells or microglia, thus delaying the onset of atrophy.

BLamD thickness is maintained even as the RPE degenerates. BLamD forms small pockets between the RPE and its basal lamina in many older normal eyes, and a continuous layer in AMD eyes.9,99,116,117 BLamD is a frequently overlooked portion of AMD pathology118,119 despite being a marker of progression risk and RPE stress.59 We propose that thick BLamD constitutes a fourth form of RPE detachment, along with drusenoid, serous, and fibrovascular. We found that in CNV eyes, BLamD associated with ‘Dissociated’ RPE was thicker than that associated with ‘Entombed’ RPE (Fig. 6), suggesting that ‘Dissociated’ RPE, replete with RPE granules although degenerating, are more capable of maintaining BLamD than ‘Entombed’ RPE, with fewer granules. Thick, intrinsically autofluorescent BLamD120 could account for atrophy appearing homogeneously gray rather than black in autofluorescence imaging of rapidly progressing GA.52,121,122 It is further possible that ‘Dissociated’ RPE will be detectable as punctate hyperautofluorescent foci on that background.

In conclusion, our survey of RPE morphology is analogous to reconstituting evolution from the fossil record and should be viewed in light of limitations. These include definitions restricted to perikaryal morphology due to the delicacy of apical processes,123 limited clinical histories, nongeneralizability to the overall population due to the choice of eyes and sampling methods, and lack of molecular phenotyping due to glutaraldehyde fixation (which is perhaps addressable124). Further, the ‘Nonuniform’ category likely includes subcategories that will be better defined in en face view.55 Nevertheless, by providing RPE visualization targets to inform image interpretation and instrumentation design, we empower the testing of our overall hypothesis (Fig. 1) in large populations of longitudinally imaged patients.56,125-127 Knowledge of temporalrelationships and clinical outcomes will clarify whether the proposed phenotypes represent harmful or beneficial cellular responses and whether as anatomical biomarkers they provide prognostic value. In the near term, by demonstrating the extent of RPE responses to microenvironmental stressors, we provide readouts and quantitative benchmarks for eliciting similar responses in experimental systems, as well as motivation for high-resolution immunohistochemistry of appropriately preserved new tissues. Animal models of the ‘Sloughed’/‘Intraretinal’ and ‘Shedding’ phenotypes should prove highly informative. Further, as cytoprotective or trophic RPE support is contemplated for AMD,128,129 multiple stress responses strongly motivate better understanding of the complex microenvironments that will be encountered by these cells. Finally, by indicating how many cells along different pathways may be responsive to specific interventions, our data can inform therapeutic strategies.
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