Accurate Imputation-Based Screening of Gln368Ter Myocilin Variant in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma
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PURPOSE. Myocilin (MYOC) is a well-established primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) risk gene, with rare variants known to have high penetrance. The most common clinically relevant risk variant, Gln368Ter, has an allele frequency of 0.1% to 0.3% in populations of European ancestry. Detection of rare MYOC variants has traditionally been conducted using Sanger sequencing. Here we report the use of genotyping arrays and imputation to assess whether rare variants including Gln368Ter can be reliably detected.

METHODS. A total of 1155 cases with advanced POAG and 1992 unscreened controls genotyped on common variant arrays participated in this study. Accuracy of imputation of Gln368Ter variants was compared with direct sequencing. A genome-wide association study was performed using additive model adjusted for sex and the first six principal components.

RESULTS. We found that although the arrays we used were designed to tag common variants, we could reliably impute the Gln368Ter variant (rs74315329). When tested in 1155 POAG cases and 1992 controls, rs74315329 was strongly associated with risk (odds ratio = 15.53, P = 1.07 × 10−9). All POAG samples underwent full sequencing of the MYOC gene, and we found a sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 99.91%, positive predictive value of 95.65%, and negative predictive value of 100% between imputation and sequencing. Gln368Ter was also accurately imputed in a further set of 1801 individuals without POAG. Among the total set of 3793 (1992 + 1801) individuals without POAG, six were predicted (probability > 95%) to carry the risk variant.

CONCLUSIONS. We demonstrate that some clinically important rare variants can be reliably detected using arrays and imputation. These results have important implications for the detection of clinically relevant incidental findings in ongoing and future studies using arrays.
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Glaucoma is a major cause of blindness worldwide. Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man [OMIM] 137760) is the most common subtype of glaucoma, which is characterized by a progressive loss of peripheral vision, although patients may remain undiagnosed until central vision is affected.1,2 Treatment to lower intraocular pressure delays the progression of visual field loss. Several genetic loci have been associated with POAG in linkage and genome-wide association studies (GWAS).3–9 Mutations in the myocilin (MYOC) gene (OMIM 601652) have been reported in different populations and found to account for 2% to 5% of unselected POAG patients.10 Gln368Ter is the most common mutation in populations of European ancestry and confers a high risk of POAG.3,11,12 The Gln368Ter mutation has been observed across multiple populations,11 and was shown to be associated with an average onset of POAG in the fifth and sixth decades.13 Previous studies in 15 Australian families, a large French Canadian family, and two unrelated French Canadian families suggested that this mutation has derived from a common ancestor, showing a founder effect.13,15 Detection of the Gln368Ter mutation is clinically important as it allows for early diagnosis and intervention. However, the risk allele has a frequency of approximately 0.09% to 0.1% among multiple ethnicities (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/7949/; http://exac.broadinstitute.org/variant/1-171605478-G-A [both in the public domain]), 0.1% in the European American population (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/ [in the public domain]), and 0.26% in the 1000 Genomes phase 1 European population. This is remarkably similar to the Gln368Ter frequency of 0.09% found in the Blue Mountains Eye Study consisting predominantly of European Australians.16 Sanger
sequencing is traditionally used to detect this mutation, and it is not directly genotyped on commonly used genotyping arrays.

Genome-wide association studies have identified thousands of common variants (i.e., variants with a minor allele frequency \( \text{MAF} > 1\% \)) associated with human complex diseases.\(^1\) Together the GWAS hits from common variants explain little genetic variance of complex traits, resulting in the “missing heritability” problem.\(^18\)–\(^20\) The heritability of POAG and its endophenotypes may be due to excluding the rare variants (MAF < 1%) from the standard GWAS.\(^18\)–\(^20\) Although next-generation sequencing technologies have enabled efficient identification of rare variants,\(^22\) the cost of sequencing is high, limiting sample size in many situations and leading to low statistical power to identify rare variants associated with complex traits.\(^23\)

Genotype imputation is a less expensive approach to impute genotypes of untyped genetic variants. However, one study showed that the proportion of well-imputed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (imputation quality score \([\text{INFO}] > 0.4\) ) was only 69%, 60%, and 49% for SNPs with MAF from 0.3% to 5% for individuals genotyped on Omni1M, HumanHap 610, and Illumina 317k arrays, respectively, where 1000 Genomes pilot was used as the reference panel for imputation.\(^24\) However, none of the very rare variants (MAF < 0.3%) were well imputed.\(^25\) In addition, given that statistical power is proportional to allele frequency and imputation quality, standard GWAS may be underpowered to test low-frequency imputed variants. Methods for association analysis of imputed rare variants are mainly based on combining information across the rare variants within a gene or pathway while accounting for genotype uncertainty due to the imputation.\(^25\)–\(^26\) Thus, it remains unclear whether variants with MAF < 0.5% can be accurately imputed and used in GWAS.

We previously performed a GWAS for POAG using the variants with MAF > 1% imputed to the 1000 Genomes phase 1.\(^2\) and in this present study, we explicitly considered the accuracy of imputing rare variants (MAF < 1%) including the Gln368Ter mutation using common variants captured on genotyping arrays. We then investigated whether it is possible to detect the previously established association of the Gln368Ter mutation with POAG from imputed data using a standard GWAS, and whether we can detect other GWAS hits for POAG using imputed rare variants.

**METHODS**

**Study Design**

In total, 1155 cases with advanced POAG from the Australian and New Zealand Registry of Advanced Glaucoma (ANZRAG) were available for this study, of whom 618 were genotyped on Illumina Omni1M and 537 were genotyped on Illumina OmniExpress array. Controls included 1992 individuals drawn from the Australian Cancer Study (225 esophageal cancer cases, 317 Barrett’s esophagus cases, and 552 controls genotyped on Illumina HumanOmni1-Quad) or from a study of inflammatory bowel diseases (303 cases and 595 controls genotyped on Illumina HumanOmniExpressExome). The cohort detail and diagnostic criteria have been previously published.\(^7\)–\(^27\) The data from cases and controls were merged and cleaned (see details below), and the overlapping SNPs between the arrays were used as the basis of imputation to the 1000 Genomes phase 1 reference panel and subsequent GWAS.

**Quality Control (QC)**

The QC was performed in PLINK\(^28\) (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/ [in the public domain]) by removing individuals with more than 5% missing genotypes, SNPs with call rate < 97%, MAF < 1%, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium \( P < 0.0001 \) in controls and \( P < 5 \times 10^{-10} \) in cases. The same QC protocol was used before merging the cases and controls to avoid mismatches between the merged datasets. Following merging, the genotypes for 569,249 SNPs common to the arrays were used for subsequent analyses. The autosomal markers were used to compute identity by descent in PLINK, with one of each pair of individuals with relatedness of >0.2 removed. The smartpca package from EIGENSOFT software (http://www.hspharvard.edu/alkes-price/software/ [in the public domain]) was used to compute principal components for all participants and reference samples of known northern/western European ancestry (1000 Genomes British, CEU [Utah Residents with Northern and Western European Ancestry], Finland participants).\(^29\)–\(^30\) Ancestry outliers with PC1 or PC2 values > 6 standard deviations from the known northern/western European ancestry group were excluded.

**Imputation**

We used IMPUTE2\(^31\) to perform imputation with the 1000 Genomes phase 1\(^32\) (March 2012 release) as the reference panel. The worldwide reference panel was used, with SNPs with a MAF < 0.1% in Europeans filtered out. Imputation was performed in 1-Mb sections with the recommended settings for IMPUTE2 including a 250-kb buffer flanking imputation sections and the effective size of the sampled population as 20,000.\(^31\) Imputation quality can be objectively assessed by the average concordance between input SNPs genotypes and their “best guess” genotypes imputed from the surrounding SNPs; we achieved a very acceptable >0.95 across the genome. Single nucleotide polymorphisms with an INFO < 0.4 were discarded. The imputation maximum posterior probability was used to assign the best guess imputed genotypes for rs74315329 and two SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with rs74315329 (measurement of the degree to which alleles at two genetic loci are associated, where \( r^2 \) = 0 indicates independent alleles and \( r^2 = 1 \) indicates completely correlated alleles), rs187423359, and rs182584379 (\( r^2 = 0.5 \) with rs74315329), with setting the threshold of calling genotypes to 0.6.

**Statistical Analysis**

Of the SNPs with INFO > 0.4 from imputation, only very well-imputed SNPs (INFO > 0.8) were carried forward for association analysis. SNPTEST\(^33\),\(^34\) was used to perform association testing on the imputed data using additive model (frequentist 1) and full dosage scores (-method expected).
adjusting for sex and the first six principal components. Genomic inflation factor lambda (λ) was calculated to investigate the presence of population stratification and inflation. The P values were corrected for genomic inflation factor λ (1.06) by dividing the χ² values by 1.06.

Sequencing
We screened the POAG cases in this study for the Gln368Ter mutation using direct sequencing as previously described.12,35,36

Genotyping and Imputation for the Twin 610K Study
To assess imputation quality using the HumanHap610 array we examined 1801 unrelated individuals genotyped on the Illumina HumanHap610 array from the Brisbane Adolescent Twin Study.37,38 Following cleaning, 504,071 SNPs were available for imputation. Imputation was performed as for the POAG cohorts above.

RESULTS
Genotype data from 1155 individuals with advanced POAG from the ANZRAG and 1992 controls were combined and cleaned, and 569,249 SNPs were used as the base of imputation to the 1000 Genomes phase I reference panel. A panethnicity reference panel was used, with SNPs with a MAF < 0.1% in European 1000 Genomes samples filtered out to exclude the singleton or monomorphic SNPs. In total, 5,537,665 SNPs were imputed with MAF < 1%, of which 3,260,097 (59%) were imputed with an acceptable imputation quality (INFO > 0.4). This ratio of SNPs with INFO > 0.4 drops marginally to 53% (466,199 from 876,619 SNPs) for the SNPs with MAF < 0.1% (allele frequencies reported here are in the imputed samples). The proportion of well-imputed SNPs with INFO > 0.8 was lower at 11% (615,714 SNPs) for SNPs with MAF < 1%, and 4% (35,512 SNPs) for the SNPs with MAF < 0.1%. These data suggest that a high proportion of acceptable quality SNPs were imputed in this study, even for SNPs with MAF < 0.1%

The Gln368Ter (rs74315329) rare variant (MAF = 0.1% in our controls, MAF = 1.2% in our cases estimated from the imputation data) had a high imputation quality (INFO = 0.93). The imputation maximum posterior probability (posterior probabilities for each of the three genotypes of a SNP in the imputation results for rs74315329, the results were not identical because those SNPs are not in complete LD with rs74315329 (r² = 0.5).

We also investigated whether rs74315329 can be imputed accurately using the other commonly used genotyping arrays. rs74315329 was well imputed with INFO = 0.85 in 1801 individuals of European descent (all unscreened for POAG) genotyped on the Illumina HumanHap610 array, with 1000 Genomes phase I as the reference panel. Three individuals in this dataset were carriers of the risk allele with high confidence (imputation posterior probability of 100%). The lower imputation accuracy for rs74315329 in that study may be due in part to a lower frequency of the risk allele in individuals without POAG (frequency of 0.1% estimated from the imputed data in this dataset compared with 0.5% in the ANZRAG dataset), or may be due to lower SNP coverage on the HumanHap610 array. However, while lower, INFO 0.85 still represents high-quality imputation, and suggests that the HumanHap610 arrays, in addition to Omni1M, OmniExpress HumanOmni1-Quad, and HumanOmniExpressExome arrays, can be used for imputation of rs74315329 Gln368Ter.

The other POAG-associated MYOC variants (http://www. omim.org/entry/601652 [in the public domain]) either were monomorphic in 1000 Genomes phase I European population and were filtered out during imputation or were not present in the reference panel. Thus, this study could not investigate the imputation of other POAG-associated MYOC variants.

Association analysis for the imputed variants was performed using an additive model adjusted for the sex and the first six principal components. The genomic inflation factor λ was 1.06 after including sex and the first six principal components as covariates. The P values obtained from the association analysis were corrected for the genomic inflation factor λ. In addition to the common variants previously reported,7 the only genome-wide significant rare variant (MAF < 1%) associated with POAG in this study was rs74315329, the Gln368Ter mutation (odds ratio = 15.53 and P = 1.07 × 10⁻⁸).

DISCUSSION
In this study we report the accurate imputation of a rare variant (Gln368Ter mutation in the myocilin gene [MYOC] with MAF = 0.5% in our study population) imputed to the 1000 Genomes phase I reference panel. The imputed variant was successfully used in a GWAS to detect an association with POAG using standard allelic association analysis. This study suggests that rare variants can be accurately imputed using dense reference panels such as the 1000 Genomes project data.
### TABLE 1. Imputation Maximum Posterior Probability, Best Guess Imputed Genotypes, and Genotypes From Direct Sequencing for rs74315329, rs187423359, and rs182384379

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual ID</th>
<th>POAG</th>
<th>rs74315329* Imputed Genotypes†</th>
<th>rs74315329 Genotypes From Direct Sequencing</th>
<th>rs187423359* Imputed Genotypes‡</th>
<th>rs187423359 Genotypes From Direct Sequencing</th>
<th>rs182384379* Imputed Genotypes‡</th>
<th>rs182384379 Genotypes From Direct Sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AG-107</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG1176</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG1355</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-156</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG1408</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG1342</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-301</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-315</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-542</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-697</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-720</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas2-3-21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas2-229-2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas2-248</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas537-4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas4-10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas4-1547</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gvic11-1b</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gvic12-2-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gvic13-1-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-653</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-2-1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-2-4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gvic12-41b</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG08-57</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG13-15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG-95</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG07-92</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG13-83</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG07-30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gtas10-158b</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171401</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251442</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687-001</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251270</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON_3972</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMC_758-001</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table shows the imputation maximum posterior probability for the individuals with posterior probability of more than 0 for the heterozygous genotypes of rs74315329 and two other proxy SNPs (rs187423359 and rs182384379). The best guess imputed genotypes have been also shown for these SNPs (calling threshold was set to 0.6). The genotypes of rs74315329 obtained through direct sequencing are also presented. Allele G is the wild-type allele, and A is the POAG risk allele for rs74315329. NA, not available.

* The Gln368Ter variant.
† Best guess imputed genotypes obtained from the imputation maximum posterior probabilities with the threshold of calling genotypes set to 0.6.
‡ Imputation maximum posterior probabilities for the heterozygous genotypes of the respective variants.

### TABLE 2. Accuracy of Imputation Compared With Direct Sequencing for the Individuals With Imputation Posterior Probabilities > 0.9 for Gln368Ter Variant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequencing Imputation</th>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>Noncarrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrier</td>
<td>True positive, TP = 22</td>
<td>False positive, FP = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noncarrier</td>
<td>False negative, FN = 0</td>
<td>True negative, TN = 1124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%Sensitivity = 100*(TP/TP + FN) = 100*(22/22) = 100%. %Specificity = 100*(TN/TN + FN) = 100*(1124/1125) = 99.91%. %Positive predictive value = 100*(TP/TP + FP) = 100*(22/22) = 100%. %Negative predictive value = 100*(TN/TN + FN) = 100*(1124/1124) = 100%.

and high-coverage microarrays such as HumanHap610, OmniExpress, Omni1M, HumanOmniExpressExome, and Human-Omni-Quad. Imputation of rare variants is currently far more cost-effective than sequencing methods for genotyping a large numbers of variants. This in its own right is important given the prohibitive costs of whole-genome sequencing and the resultant small sample sizes, which are poorly powered to detect an association with complex traits. Although detecting associations of imputed rare variants by single variant tests in standard GWAS may not be powerful due to the low allele frequency and low imputation accuracy, the results of this study suggest that some clinically important rare variants can be imputed with high accuracy to detect an association with complex traits in standard GWAS.
This study used a mix of arrays with the sets genotyped separately; they were combined and thinned to a common set of SNPs with appropriate QC. We were able to accurately impute Gln368Ter with SNPs from the intersection of a number of arrays, suggesting that the method to impute Gln368Ter might be robust to array choice.

Could this approach be used for screening other pathogenic variants with lower MAF? Imputation effectiveness is dependent on the existence of a haplotype that tags the target SNP, that haplotype being properly captured/characterized in the reference panel, and the genotyping array containing SNPs in that haplotype. Thus, while imputation difficulty is inversely proportional to MAF (as linkage disequilibrium is limited by the relative allele frequency difference, and rarer SNPs have a smaller range of allele frequencies that can tag them), there isn’t a simple cut off. Also the rarer the target SNP, the larger the reference panel required to capture the correct haplotypes to impute it, should such a haplotype exist. Accordingly, the lower limit of this approach is proportional to the MAF, the size of the reference panel, and the SNP array coverage.

It was demonstrated previously that using dense genotyping arrays (such as Illumina Omin1M and HumanHap 610 arrays) and dense reference panels (such as 1000 Genomes) will increase the accuracy of imputation for common and rare variants.24 While none of the rare variants with MAF < 0.3% were well imputed (INFO > 0.4) in that study, we could accurately impute 53% of rare variants with MAF < 0.1% (INFO > 0.4). The likely reason for this poor imputation of rare variants with MAF < 0.3% could be the smaller sample size used for imputation (153 individuals versus 3147 individuals used in the ANZRAG dataset) as well as the greater coverage in the 1000 Genomes phase 1 release. These data suggest that using dense reference panels and genotyping arrays along with a large number of people for imputation can improve the imputation accuracy of rare variants.

HapMap-based imputation has a higher proportion of well-imputed rare SNPs than 1000 Genomes pilot (not phase 1) imputation.24 This may be due to the larger number of rare variants (including very rare variants with MAF < 0.3%) in the 1000 Genomes panel compared to the HapMap panel, which in turn may result in an overall reduction in the proportion of well-imputed rare variants. On the other hand, the 1000 Genomes pilot reference panel contains a relatively small population (60 CEU [Utah Residents with Northern and Western European Ancestry] individuals, 62 Han Chinese in Beijing + Japanese in Tokyo (CHB+JPT) individuals, and 59 Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria (YRI) individuals) compared to the following release (phase 1) of the 1000 Genomes data. Moreover, since genotypes in 1000 Genomes have been derived using low pass sequencing, the genotyping quality of the reference panel may be lower for very rare SNPs. However, 1000 Genomes may be a better source for imputation of rare variants compared to HapMap due to the increased density and inclusion of a larger number of rare variants.24

Screening variants associated with complex traits can be clinically important for prediction of risk and diagnosis and treatment. Here, we could accurately screen the Gln368Ter mutation in the MYOC gene, which is associated with POAG, by imputing this mutation using genotypes available on common genotyping arrays. The penetrance of the Gln368Ter mutation is high and increases with aging.39-41 The frequency of this mutation has been estimated to be 0.1% to 0.3% in the European population, which means that at least 2 people in every 1000 are expected to be carriers. As such this represents a relatively high number of people at risk who can be accurately screened for the mutation using a relatively cheap array typing. We have found that detecting the Gln368Ter MYOC mutation using imputation can accurately identify people at high risk of developing POAG. This can in turn result in early diagnosis and timely treatment, thereby preventing the development of irreversible blindness. Of the total of 3793 individuals without POAG in this study, 6 people were found with high confidence (imputation posterior probability > 0.95) to carry the Gln368Ter mutation. These people are at high risk of developing POAG later in their life. Similarly, a large number of individuals have had their genome scanned using arrays (e.g., almost a million 23andMe customers); being able to predict which of those individuals carry a high-risk MYOC mutation would be of considerable significance as it would lead directly to many individuals seeking appropriate clinical advice.

One limitation of this study is that we did not use other reference panels or imputation tools to compare the results and investigate whether high accuracy of imputation will also be obtained using those panels and tools. In addition, although we validated the imputation results for the Gln368Ter variant using Sanger sequencing in the 1155 POAG cases, we did not have DNA available for the controls and hence did not Sanger sequence controls to verify any controls that were carriers.

In summary, we showed that imputation using common SNPs directly genotyped on genotyping arrays could be an accurate and less expensive (compared to direct sequencing) approach for detecting some clinically important rare variants such as Gln368Ter. These results are clinically important in terms of early detection and treatment of patients at high risk.
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