Macular Atrophy Development and Subretinal Drusenoid Deposits in Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Treated Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Anna V. Zarubina,1 Orly Gal-Or,2,3 Carrie E. Huisingh,1 Cynthia Owsley,1 and K. Bailey Freund2,4

1Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States
2Vitreous Retina Macula Consultants of New York, New York, New York, United States
3Rabin Medical Center, Petach-Tikva, Israel
4Department of Ophthalmology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York, United States

Correspondence: K. Bailey Freund, Vitreous Retina Macula Consultants of New York, 460 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10022, USA; kbfnyf@aol.com.

Submitted: June 7, 2017
Accepted: October 29, 2017
Citation: Zarubina AV, Gal-Or O, Huisingh CE, Owsley C, Freund KB. Macular atrophy development and subretinal drusenoid deposits in anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treated age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017;58:6038–6045. DOI:10.1167/iovs.17-22378

Purpose. To explore the association between presence of subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD) at baseline in eyes with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) with the development of macular atrophy (MA) during anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy.

Methods. There were 74 eyes without pre-existing MA receiving anti-VEGF therapy for nAMD for 2 years or longer analyzed. At least two image modalities that included spectral-domain optical coherence tomography, near-infrared reflectance, fluorescein angiography, and color fundus photos were used to assess for SDD presence, phenotype (dot and ribbon), and location, neovascularization type, and MA. Logistic regression models using generalized estimating equations assessed the association between SDD and the development of MA adjusting for age, neovascularization type, and choroidal thickness.

Results. SDD were present in 46 eyes (63%) at baseline. MA developed in 38 eyes (51%) during the mean of 4.7 ± 1.2 years of follow-up. Compared with eyes without SDD, those with SDD at baseline were 3.0 times (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1–8.5, P = 0.0343) more likely to develop MA. Eyes with SDD present in the inferior macula and inferior extramacular fields at baseline were 3.0 times and 6.5 times more likely to develop MA at follow-up than eyes without SDD in these locations (95% CI 1.0–8.9, P = 0.0461 and 95% CI 1.3–32.4, P = 0.0218, respectively). MA development was not associated with a specific SDD phenotype.

Conclusions. MA frequently developed in eyes during anti-VEGF treatment. SDD were independently associated with MA development. The extension of SDD into the inferior fundus, particularly in the inferior extramacular field, conferred higher odds of subsequent MA development.
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subretinal deposits and macular atrophy. selves are able to disrupt metabolic pathways needed for photoreceptor and RPE survival resulting in their loss and subsequent CC atrophy.26 Despite the similarities in conditions under which MA and SDD are observed, relationships between these two entities remain poorly understood.

The goal of this study is to explore the relationship between SDD morphology and localization and MA development in patients undergoing intravitral anti-VEGF therapy for nAMD.

METHODS
This study design was approved by Western institutional review board (Olympia, WA, USA). It complied with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants
Data collection and imaging analysis were described in detail previously.14 In brief, data from 82 consecutive patients (88 eyes) were retrospectively collected. Among those, 71 patients (74 eyes) met the inclusion criteria below. All patients were followed by a single physician (KBF) at two offices of the Vitreous Retina Macula Consultants of New York (New York, NY, USA).

Inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: (1) 50 years and older, (2) any untreated NV lesion type in one or both eyes at baseline, (3) administration of any anti-VEGF agent (ranibizumab, bevacizumab, aflibercept) on a continuous treat-and-extend regimen (TER) for at least 2 years before this review, and (4) availability of eye-tracked spectral-domain (SD) OCT B-scans, near-infrared reflectance (NIR), color digital fundus photographs (CFP), and fluorescein angiography (FA) at baseline and SD-OCT B-scans and NIR at the last follow-up visit.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) any previous treatment for NV (photodynamic therapy, intravitreal steroids or anti-VEGF thermal laser), (2) presence of GA, MA secondary to NV, subfoveal fibrosis, RPE tears, and NV secondary to maculopathies other than AMD at baseline, and (3) the spherical equivalent exceeding the range of ± 2 diopters (D).

Basic demographics (age, sex, and race), as well as information about smoking status (ever or never smoker), and comorbidities (cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia/hyperlipidemia) were collected as part of routine self-reported medical history at baseline.

Imaging Modalities
Eye-tracked SD-OCT images were obtained with the Spectralis HRA + OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Inc., Franklin, MA, USA). Macula volumes were centered over the fovea with at least 19 horizontal B-scans across an area of 20° × 15°. NIR (λ = 830 nm) images with 30° field of view centered over the macula were acquired with the confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA + OCT). These images were analyzed with the AutoRescan function of the Spectralis software that allowed spatial point-to-point correlation between SD-OCT and NIR. CFP with 50° view centered over the macula were taken with the Topcon TRC × 501 fundus camera (Topcon Imagemet, Tokyo, Japan). FA images were obtained with either the confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Spectralis HRA + OCT) or the Topcon TRC × 501 fundus camera. All images were acquired after pupil dilation.

Image Processing and Grading
The grading of NV lesion types, MA detection, and the measurement of subfoveal choroidal thickness (SCT) were assessed at baseline and described in detail previously.14 In brief, the anatomic classification of NV was defined as type 1 (sub-RPE), type 2 (subretinal), or type 3 (intraretinal/retinal angiomato- nous proliferation) based on grading of SD-OCT and FA images at baseline.14,24 When multiple NV types were present in one eye, the lesion was classified as “mixed” type, but with each constituent type specified. MA was considered present when: (1) on NIR there was a hyperreflective area with a sharp border spanning at least 250 μm in the maximum linear dimension and not adjacent to peripapillary chorioretinal atrophy, and (2) on SD-OCT this area corresponded to the degeneration of RPE and outer retina with hypertransmission into the choroid.14,25 MA grading was performed at both baseline and follow-up visits. Using calipers available in the SD-OCT review software, SCT was measured under the fovea at a single location between the outer border of RPE/Bruch’s membrane complex and the choroidal-scleral junction on the foveal SD-OCT B-scan at both baseline and follow-up visits.12 The type of NV and MA area were assessed by two independent graders blinded to patients’ data followed by an open adjudication with a senior grader (KBF) in cases of disagreement.

Separate analyses were performed for three categories of SDD: any NV phenotype, ribbon phenotype SDD, and dot phenotype SDD. The grading of SDD and their phenotypes were assessed using SD-OCT, NIR, and CFP based on the multimodal approach described previously.26,27 In brief, lesions were required to be confirmed by SD-OCT and at least one en face modality or shown by two en face modalities, when lesions were outside the SD-OCT volume. The characteristics of SDD and their two phenotypes were assessed with previously described definitions.15,26 Briefly, dots form sharp peaks or domes of hyperreflective material in the subretinal space seen on SD-OCT that correspond to discrete yellowish-gray and hyporeflective dots on CFP and NIR, respectively. Ribbons form broad or rounded hyperreflective elevations in subretinal space seen on SD-OCT that correspond to interlocking yellowish-gray and faint hyporeflective ribbons on CFP and NIR, respectively. Five or more lesions of a particular phenotype detected within one of the prespecified locations described below were required for such a phenotype to be considered present in this location.26 For SDD of any phenotype category, ≥ 5 lesions of any phenotype had to be present in one such location.27,28 For the purposes of this study, we identified locations based on the retinal anatomy: macula or extramacular region.26 The macula was defined as a 6000-μm diameter circle centered at the fovea as determined by the central horizontal SD-OCT B-scan. The extramacular region was defined as the area outside of this 6000-μm diameter circle. The macula and extramacular regions were divided into “superior” and “inferior” areas by the foveal horizontal SD-OCT B-scan (Fig. 1). Thus, a total of four retinal locations were assessed for lesions by the graders: superior macula, superior extramacular, inferior macula, and inferior extramacular. SDD were considered to be present at the eye-level when ≥ 5 of any phenotype were present within ≥ 1 of the prespecified locations. SDD of the ribbon or dot phenotype were considered to be present at the eye-level if SDD of the respective phenotype were present within ≥ 1 of the prespecified locations.

SDD grading was performed at baseline by two independent graders (AVZ) and (OG-O), who were blinded to the MA status at follow-up. The senior grader (KBF) evaluated in cases of disagreement after an open adjudication.

Statistical Analysis
Baseline characteristics were compared between those with and without SDD using generalized estimating equations (GEE) to account for the within-person correlation. Logistic regression models using GEE were used to evaluate the association
between the presence of SDD and the development of MA, and crude and adjusted odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Models were adjusted for age alone, then were further adjusted for neovascularization type and choroidal thickness. The odds of MA development was also compared between presence or absence of each SDD phenotype (ribbons and dots) and presence or absence of SDD in each location (superior and inferior macula, superior and inferior extramacula). All statistical analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.3 software (SAS, Cary, NC, USA). P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 88 eyes of 82 patients with untreated nAMD at baseline were screened for the current study. Among these eyes, 14 of 88 (16%) had MA at baseline and were excluded from further analysis. The remaining 74 eyes (71 patients) met the inclusion criteria and constituted the study population for the current analysis.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of eyes at baseline are summarized in Table 1. The study group was predominately white (97%) with female patients comprising 73% of all patients. The mean age of patients was 81 years (range, 52–98 years). Of subjects, 39% were smokers and 23% reported a history of cardiovascular disease. At baseline, most eyes (76%) had type 1 (38%) or type 3 (38%) neovascular lesions, with smaller percentages of type 2 (8%) and mixed (16%) lesions. SDD were present in 63% (46/73 eyes). The mean duration of follow-up was 4.69 ± 1.15 years with a mean of 7.14 ± 2.72 injections per year.

New MA had developed in 51% (38/74) of eyes at the most recent follow-up. Those with SDD at baseline were more likely to develop MA at follow-up compared with those without SDD (63% vs. 30%, P = 0.0069). Patients with SDD were more likely to be older (P = 0.0199) and have thinner SCT (P = 0.0009). Additionally, distribution of NV types varied significantly between eyes with and without SDD (P = 0.0455). As prior reports have described an association between thin choroids and MA, and between type 3 NV and MA, we repeated the analysis using these parameters as binary variables and confirmed their significance (P = 0.0212 and P = 0.0103, respectively). The lowest SCT quartile was considered pathologic and measured <118 μm, in line with prior reports defining abnormally thin SCT. Sex, race, smoking status, and history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia/hyperlipidemia were not statistically different by SDD presence. The comparison of clinical characteristics of eyes at baseline with and without SDD is summarized in Table 1.

We further analyzed the relationship between SDD presence and location with MA development, adjusting for the identified confounders (Table 2). Eyes with SDD at baseline

![Figure 1. Example of the grading method of SDD in the current study based on the multimodal imaging approach. An eye with type 3 neovascular lesion has visible SDD present in the macula and extramacular fields (A-C). The macula is indicated on NIR image by the yellow 6000-μm diameter circle centered on the foveal optical coherent tomography (OCT) B-scan (green line) (B). Examples of SDD of the dot phenotype demonstrated on the side-by-side CFP (A), NIR (B), and cross-sectional OCT B-scan (C) are indicated by yellow arrows. Examples of SDD of the ribbon phenotype demonstrated on the side-by-side CFP (A) and NIR (B) are indicated by asterisks.](http://tvst.arvojournals.org/)
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**TABLE 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of SDD across the four analyzed fields is shown in Figure 2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>were 3.0 times more likely to develop MA at follow up when compared with eyes without SDD at baseline (odds ratio [OR] 3.0, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1–8.5, ( P = 0.0343 )). Eyes with SDD present in the inferior macula and inferior extramacular field at baseline were 3.0 and 6.5 times more likely to develop MA at follow-up compared with eyes without SDD in these locations at baseline (OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.0–8.9, ( P = 0.0461 ) and OR 6.5, 95% CI 1.3–32.4, ( P = 0.0218 ), respectively). The association between SDD presence in the superior macula and the superior extramacular field and MA development was not statistically significant (OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.7–5.3, ( P = 0.2193 ) and OR 2.1, 95% CI 0.7–6.4, ( P = 0.1928 ), respectively).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1.** Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Patients With nAMD at Baseline; Treatment Characteristics and Macular Atrophy Status at Follow-up in the Overall Study Group and Groups Stratified by SDD Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>(* P{\text{ Value}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Study Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>With SDD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(( n = 74 ) Eyes, 100%)</td>
<td>(( n = 46 ) Eyes, 63.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n ) (%) or Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>( n ) (%) or Mean (±SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>80.71 ± 8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (+)*</td>
<td>54 (72.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (+)</td>
<td>72 (97.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD (+)†</td>
<td>16 (23.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension(+)‡</td>
<td>43 (63.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (+)§</td>
<td>11 (16.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia/hyperlipidemia (+)¶</td>
<td>13 (19.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (+)¶</td>
<td>26 (38.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV type 1 (sub-RPE)</td>
<td>28 (37.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV type 2 (subretinal)</td>
<td>6 (8.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV type 3 (intraretinal/RAP)</td>
<td>28 (37.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV mixed type</td>
<td>12 (16.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subfoveal choroidal thickness, ( \mu m )</td>
<td>163.62 ± 59.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, y</td>
<td>4.06 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of anti-VEGF injections/y</td>
<td>7.14 ± 2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De novo MA at follow-up (+)</td>
<td>36 (51.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2.** Crude and Adjusted Association Between the Presence of SDD and Their Locations at Baseline and MA Development at Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Odds of MA Development in the Overall Study Group (( n = 73 ) Eyes)</th>
<th>Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>(* P{\text{ Value}})</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)*</th>
<th>(* P{\text{ Value}})</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)†</th>
<th>(* P{\text{ Value}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDD</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4.1 (1.5–11.2)</td>
<td>( 0.0071 )</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2–10.0)</td>
<td>( 0.0227 )</td>
<td>3.0 (1.1–8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDD in superior macula</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.4 (0.9–6.17)</td>
<td>0.0683</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8–5.5)</td>
<td>0.1525</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7–5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDD in inferior macula</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4.0 (1.5–10.6)</td>
<td>( 0.0056 )</td>
<td>3.6 (1.3–10.0)</td>
<td>( 0.0140 )</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0–8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDD in superior extra-macula</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.9 (1.1–7.8)</td>
<td>( 0.0368 )</td>
<td>2.6 (0.9–7.5)</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td>2.1 (0.7–6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDD in inferior extra-macula</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.4 (1.6–25.0)</td>
<td>( 0.0075 )</td>
<td>6.6 (1.5–30.2)</td>
<td>( 0.0145 )</td>
<td>6.5 (1.3–32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bold font used to denote statistically significant \( P \) values.

† Adjusted for age.

‡ Adjusted for age, NV type 3, and SCT <118 \( \mu m \).
Subretinal Drusenoid Deposits and Macular Atrophy

**FIGURE 2.** Scheme of SDD distribution across retinal subfields among eyes with SDD at baseline. Inner circle represents the macula defined as the 6000-μm diameter area. Horizontal line represents the foveal OCT B-scan. Highlighted areas represent the retinal subfield where SDD were detected. (A) Superior macula; (B) inferior macula; (C) superior extramacular field; (D) inferior extramacular field; N, number of eyes. One eye had SDD limited to peripapillary area only.

MA was also not statistically significant (OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.7–5.3, P = 0.1946).

**DISCUSSION**

We found that while only a minority (16%) of eyes with treatment-naïve nAMD had MA at baseline, 51% of eyes lacking MA at baseline developed MA while receiving anti-VEGF therapy on a TER over a mean follow-up of 4.7 years. This high incidence of MA and its associated loss of visual function is of great concern to clinicians and their patients and has generated significant interest in the ophthalmology research community. We confirm the previously reported association between MA and SDD, in which the baseline presence of SDD conferred a 3-fold increase of odds of subsequent MA development independent of other factors including age, intraretinal neovascularization, and thin choroid. We ascribe special importance to this association and think that understanding the nature of SDD may shed light on the pathophysiology of MA and explain the link between the two entities. A growing body of histopathologic and observational clinical studies lends support to the RPE origin of SDD. In particular, SDD are thought to result from the derangement of intraretinal lipid metabolism that to a large extent involves RPE cells and have been found to be compositionally similar to and frequently accompany the RPE-derived soft drusen, basal linear deposits, and BLamD; SDD are dynamic structures and their more advanced stages exhibit slower growth rate. SDD fade out over NV and atrophic areas characterized by RPE degeneration.

It seems reasonable to suggest that the RPE is the cellular structure that links SDD and MA development. Several theories have been proposed explaining the relationship between these entities, but with only circumstantial evidence available in their support, all are debatable. According to the proponents of the vascular etiology of MA development, VEGF suppression in the settings of nAMD therapy reduces choriocapillaris permeability with subsequent impaired oxygen and nutrient delivery to the RPE. RPE ischemia/hypoxia may lead to upregulation of VEGF production in an attempt to improve endothelial cell permeability and rescue RPE cells by paracrine and autocrine mechanisms, respectively. This, however, may theoretically shift the energy balance away from the phagocytic, lipid, and retinol recycling and other functions of RPE and result in SDD formation in particular. Iatrogenic neutralization of VEGF by anti-VEGF therapy could reduce this rescue mechanism leading to both endothelial and RPE cell loss and subsequent MA. However, in an animal model of RPE ischemia resulting from the sustained systemic VEGF neutralization, direct endothelial toxicity was short-lived and mitigated by increased VEGF production by an otherwise unaffected RPE.

Discovery of complement fragments and other immune system constituents within SDD led some to believe that inflammation plays a part in their formation. Indeed, the single nucleotide polymorphism Y402H of the complement factor H gene results in the increased presence of macrophage attack complexes (MAC) at the interface of Bruch’s membrane and choriocapillaris. While MAC are thought to aid in clearance of cellular debris under normal conditions, their increased presence is thought to induce “bystander injury” to the surrounding cells and has been associated with choroid thinning, in which situation SDD may be a byproduct of inflammation generated by complement activation. Also, aging RPE express progressively more immunogenic amyloid precursor protein with subsequent increase in activation of MAC even without high-risk polymorphisms and subsequent sub-RPE drusen formation. In fact, aging itself has been proposed to be the major determinant of RPE cell function relating to the transport of the cholesterol to and from photoreceptors and choriocapillaris. SDD may thus be related to the changes in RPE milieu detrimental to its proper functioning.

**TABLE 3.** Crude and Adjusted Association Between SDD Phenotypes at Baseline and MA Development at Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDD Phenotype</th>
<th>Odds of MA Development in the Overall Study Group (n = 75 Eyes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>P Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon phenotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.6 (1.0–6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dot phenotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.6 (1.0–6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age.
† Adjusted for age, NV type 3, and SCT <118 μm.
anti-VEGF drugs or VEGF gene downregulation may further attenuate the VEGF signal to endothelial cells inducing angiopathic changes\textsuperscript{50} that, in turn, could lead to ischemia and tissue loss manifesting as MA. Although almost any permutation of the above theories may explain the pathogenesis of MA and SDD, RPE appears to play the critical role instigating injury to the involved tissues. Further, we propose that SDD with their specific morphology, easily recognizable on different imaging modalities, may serve as a clinical biomarker of RPE dysfunction that may help predict eyes at greater risk for MA.

We found that the presence of SDD in the inferior macula and the inferior extramacular field at baseline conferred a 3.0 and 6.5 increased odds, respectively, of developing MA during the anti-VEGF treatment. Based on the published studies\textsuperscript{18,45,51} and our observations, SDD are most frequently present in the upper fundus with subsequent downward advancement into the inferior macula and outward advancement into the superior and inferior extramacular fields. Interestingly, this pattern of SDD distribution is reminiscent of the topography of rods,\textsuperscript{52} the age-dependent loss of which is exacerbated by AMD\textsuperscript{53} with characteristic cellular and subcellular RPE changes\textsuperscript{54} and is possibly linked to RPE dysfunction.\textsuperscript{55,56} In contrast, RPE architecture and function in healthy aging eyes remains stable throughout the lifespan.\textsuperscript{57} The extent of the SDD spread across the retinal fields is, therefore, likely proportional to the duration and extent of RPE dysfunction and may confer a higher risk of MA development. The significance of SDD pattern of distribution is further supported by the higher risk of late AMD development associated with SDD located outside, but not within the macula.\textsuperscript{58}

The association between a specific SDD phenotype and MA development was not statistically significant. This could be explained by the insufficient sample size of our eye cohort and the considerable (~60%) overlap between the dot and ribbon phenotypes, which could conceal a potential effect of SDD phenotype that was of smaller magnitude relative to SDD localization. Notwithstanding, different SDD phenotypes merit recognition, as at least two studies showed that confluent ribbon phenotype was associated with GA development and dot phenotype was associated with nAMD.\textsuperscript{30,59}

Similar to some,\textsuperscript{16,26,41} but not all\textsuperscript{50–52} prior studies, none of the other demographic or clinical characteristics, including female sex, smoking, hypertension, CVD, and diabetes were associated with SDD presence. Our findings, therefore, lend further support to the idea that the pathogenesis of SDD is driven primarily by some local mechanisms and less dependent of systemic factors.

**Strength and Limitations**

To the best of our knowledge, our systematic categorization of SDD phenotype and location represents the first attempt to relate these parameters to the development of MA. A multimodal approach and standard definitions were rigorously applied by two independent graders to the evaluation of NV lesion types, presence of MA, SDD, and their phenotypes.\textsuperscript{19,24,26,27,63–66} The availability of an extended follow-up period allowed us to accurately capture incident MA.\textsuperscript{25}

Our work has limitations typical of a retrospective clinic-based study. With the relatively small number of participants and a predominance of females, it is challenging to ascertain sex differences in SDD prevalence within subgroups. The infrequency of isolated ribbon or dot phenotype of SDD could lead to type I error (i.e., failure to detect the significant association of SDD phenotype and MA). Because SD-OCT scans were largely unavailable outside the macula, we were limited to en face imaging modalities for SDD detection, however, other authors employed similar approach to SDD detection outside the SD-OCT volume.\textsuperscript{52} Although characterization of spatial relationship between SDD and MA would further inform our understanding of the relationship between these two entities, we could not evaluate SDD adjacency to MA areas at the last follow-up visit because not all patients had CFP required for identifying ribbon SDD\textsuperscript{57} which were identified through multimodal imaging.

The growing body of knowledge on SDD established their association with clinically relevant outcomes, such as MA development and early through late AMD incidence and progression.\textsuperscript{11,16,17,50,44,58,60–68,70} There is an important need for building consensus on an appropriate SDD classification system that would define the phenotype, distribution, size, and other SDD parameters similar to the way other manifestations of the RPE dysfunction (e.g., soft drusen and pigmentary changes)\textsuperscript{71} are defined and currently used in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study classification system. This would allow the future studies to accurately describe the natural history of SDD and their phenotypes, their influence on the evolution early through late AMD, effects of anti-VEGF drugs on SDD development and regression, and their effects on the evolution of nAMD-related MA. Further experimental models targeting specific RPE functions would be valuable in establishing the causative relationship between RPE dysfunction and retinal diseases.

In conclusion, we confirm that MA frequently develops in eyes during anti-VEGF treatment. SDD are independently associated with MA development and potentially share a common pathophysiology stemming from RPE dysfunction. The extension of SDD into the inferior fundus, particularly in the inferior extramacular field, appears to be related to subsequent MA development. Histologic studies and accurate SDD and MA models are needed to further refine the biologic relationship between these two clinically important entities.
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