Nanosponge-Mediated Drug Delivery Lowers Intraocular Pressure
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Purpose: We examined the efficacy of an extended-release drug delivery system, nanosponge (NS) encapsulated compounds, administered intravitreally to lower intraocular pressure (IOP) in mice.

Methods: Bilateral ocular hypertension was induced in mice by injecting microbeads into the anterior chamber. Hypertensive mice received NS loaded with ocular hypotensive drugs via intravitreal injection and IOP was monitored. Retinal deposition and retinal ganglion cell (RGC) uptake of Neuro-DiO were examined following intravitreal injection of Neuro-DiO-NS using confocal microscopy.

Results: Brimonidine-loaded NS lowered IOP 12% to 30% for up to 6 days (P < 0.02), whereas travoprost-NS lowered IOP 19% to 29% for up to 4 days (P < 0.02) compared to saline injection. Three bimatoprost NS were tested: a 400-nm NS and two 700-nm NS with amorphous (A-NS) or amorphous/crystalline (AC-NS) crosslinkers. A single injection of 400 nm NS lowered IOP 24% to 33% for up to 17 days compared to saline, while A-NS and AC-NS lowered IOP 22% to 32% and 18% to 26%, respectively, for up to 32 days (P < 0.046). Over time retinal deposition of Neuro-DiO increased from 19% to 71%; Neuro-DiO released from NS was internalized by RGCs.

Conclusions: A single injection of NS can effectively deliver ocular hypotensive drugs in a linear and continuous manner for up to 32 days. Also, NS may be effective at targeting RGCs, the neurons that degenerate in glaucoma.

Translational Relevance: Patient compliance is a major issue in glaucoma. The use of NS to deliver a controlled, sustained release of therapeutics could drastically reduce the number of patients that progress to vision loss in this disease.

Introduction

Glaucoma is a chronic disease that results in vision loss as retinal ganglion cell (RGC) neurons and their axons in the optic nerve degenerate over time.1,2 Globally, glaucoma is the leading cause of irreversible blindness, and the second leading cause of blindness behind cataract.3 As of 2010, an estimated 60 million people worldwide had glaucoma, a number that will reach almost 80 million by 2020. Of those 80 million people, 11.2 million will be blind by 2020.3 Glaucoma patients face not only a decline in their quality of life, but a large economic burden as well. A recent study estimated Medicare paid out $748 million in total glaucoma-related payments in 2009.4 As the diseases progresses, medical costs for glaucoma patients rise. Annual eye care–related costs for glaucoma patients with no vision loss were $8157 (2007 US dollars); this increased to $14,237 for moderate to severe vision loss before reaching $18,670 for patients blinded by the disease.5

A major risk factor for glaucoma is age; however, the only modifiable risk factor – and the sole target for clinical intervention – is elevated intraocular pressure (IOP).6 First line treatment for glaucoma is the use of topical eye drops containing IOP-lowering drugs.7 While lowering IOP can slow disease progression, it does not necessarily prevent RGC degeneration.6,8 In fact, glaucomatous progression may
continue in as many as 50% of glaucoma patients on a regimen to lower IOP. Poor patient compliance likely contributes to this continued progression. Factors that influence adherence to glaucoma therapy include visual impairment, total number of medications a patient is taking, the number of doses per day, time of day doses are taken, poor health literacy (understanding of the disease), and substandard doctor-patient communication. An association between patient compliance and glaucoma progression has been observed, with noncompliant patients showing higher ocular pressures, greater disc cupping, and increased visual field loss compared to compliant patients.

Multiple strategies have been used to increase compliance in glaucoma patients; however, poor drug adherence remains a major barrier to treatment. To address this issue and improve clinical outcomes, delivery systems for glaucoma drugs are being developed that would ideally provide prolonged drug effects while decreasing systemic exposure, side effects, and patient discomfort (reviewed by Knight and Lawrence). A recent study by Chong et al. determined the willingness of patients to accept intraocular injections in lieu of current glaucoma therapies. More than 74% of the patients interviewed were willing to receive subconjunctival injection every three months. This treatment plan is not unheard of; intraocular injection of vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors to treat age-related macular degeneration (AMD) has emerged as a major therapeutic breakthrough for these patients. A study of over 500 patients receiving intraocular injections to treat AMD showed that 31% of these patients were still receiving injections 4 years later, and showed no loss of visual acuity and very few ocular complications over this time frame.

Recently, nanoparticles have been used for targeted drug delivery in chemotherapeutics and their use in the treatment of other diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, inflammatory intestinal disease, and lupus, has been promising. Given the issues with patient compliance in glaucoma, the use of nanoparticles to deliver a controlled, sustained release of therapeutics to the retina or other ocular structures via topical drops, contact lenses, and intravitreal injection could drastically reduce the number of patients who have progression to vision loss in this disease. To address the need for extended-release treatments that minimize patient nonadherence and discomfort, we examined the efficacy of four types of nanoparticle-encapsulated compounds, or nanosponges (NS), administered intravitreally to lower IOP in mice with microbead-induced ocular hypertension. A series consisting of organic, fully degradable polyester NS differing in their nanoscopic size dimension (50, 400, and 700 nm) and crosslinking density (7% and 14%) were synthesized to create a polymeric network for ocular hypotensive drug entrapment and to influence release and solubility in the physiological environment. Moreover, the chemical nature of the crosslinker used to create the polymeric network was increased in its crystallinity in the 700 nm particle and was added as a third parameter of variation to study the effect on IOP in mice. Finally, we also encapsulated Neuro-Dio dye to study the fate of a therapeutic with regard to uptake and delivery within the retina.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Induction of Acute Ocular Hypertension

This study was conducted in accordance with regulations set forth in the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. All experimental procedures were approved by the Vanderbilt University Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The C57BL/6 (C57) mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and were maintained in a 12-hour light/dark cycle with standard rodent chow available ad libitum as described. Ocular hypertension was induced bilaterally in C57 mice by injecting 1.5 mL of polystyrene microbeads (15 μm, 1 x 10⁶ microbeads/mL solution; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) into the anterior chamber of both eyes as described previously. Using this model, we induced ocular pressure elevations of 30% for 23 days and 33% for 36 days in C57 mice following one 1.0 μL injection. Injection of 1.5 μL of microbeads in C57 mice has produced ocular pressure elevations of 31% to 34% for up to 7 weeks. The IOP was measured in anesthetized mice using a Tono-Pen (Reichert, Inc., Depew, NY) as described.

NS Generation

The series of the NSs were prepared by a one-pot procedure in which a linear polymer precursor with pendant epoxide groups is crosslinked with a difunctional diamine PEG crosslinker or with a difunctional
diaminoctane crosslinker for one of the 700 nm NS.44

Nanoparticle Size Characterization
The nanoparticle size was obtained via transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Samples for TEM imaging were prepared by dissolving 0.5 mg nanoparticles in 1 mL isopropanol, 0.4 mL acetonitrile. The samples were sonicated for 5 minutes and were stained with 5 drops of 3% phosphotungstic acid. The carbon grids were prepared by slowly dipping an Ultrathin Carbon Type-A 400 Mesh Copper Grid (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA) into the particle solutions three times and drying the grid at ambient temperature. A Philips CM20T transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV in bright-field mode was used for detection of the nanoparticles.

50 nm Nanospheres with 7% Crosslinking
To a 100-mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, poly(vl-avl) (0.1001 g, Mw = 7200 Da, 15% cross-linking) and 43.9 mL CH2Cl2 were added, followed by 2.2'(ethylenedioxy)diethylamine (39.1 µL, 2.67x10^{-4} mol) and 1,8-diaminoctane (38.5 mg, 2.67x10^{-4} mol). The mixture was refluxed at 44°C for 12 hours and transferred to SnakeSkin Pleated Dialysis Tubing (MWCO = 10,000) and dialyzed against dichloromethane to remove residual diamine. 1H NMR (400 MHz), CDCl3/TMS, ppm δ: The significant change is the disappearance of epoxide protons at 2.94, 2.75, and 2.47 ppm and the appearance of signals at 3.5 and 2.9 ppm, correlating to the protons of the PEG linker. The spectrum is otherwise similar in all aspects.

400 nm Nanosphere with 13% Crosslinking
To a 200-mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, poly(vl-evl-avl) (0.1057 g, Mw = 7200 Da, 15% cross-linking) and 46.4 mL CH2Cl2 were added, followed by 2.2'(ethylenedioxy)diethylamine (82.5 µL, 5.64x10^{-4} mol). The mixture was refluxed at 44°C for 12 hours and promptly transferred to SnakeSkin Pleated Dialysis Tubing (MWCO = 10,000) and dialyzed against dichloromethane to remove residual diamine.

Encapsulation and Determination of Percent Loading
Therapeutics or Neuro-DiO were encapsulated using a previously described procedure.45 The NS and drug/dye were weighed accurately together into a vial. The two solids were dissolved in a minimal amount of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 150 µL) and added drop-wise to a vigorously stirring solution of water (8.3 mL) and vitamin E (0.125 g). The solution turned cloudy and was immediately centrifuged at 8500 rpm for 20 minutes. The supernatant was carefully removed, fresh water was added and the pellet disturbed to ensure thorough washing of the drug-loaded particles. The centrifugation wash was repeated for a total of three washes. Finally, the NS were frozen and lyophilized to lend the drug-loaded NS as a light and fluffy white solid.
Approximately 1.0 mg of drug-loaded NS was weighed and dissolved in 100 μL DMSO. Two μL of sample solution was pipetted onto the pedestal of a UV-VIS spectrometer (NanoDrop; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the absorbance measured at 262 nm. A calibration curve between concentration of drug and absorbance was made using a spread of samples with known concentrations of drug. Using the calibration curve, the amount of drug within the NS could be quantified and reported as a weight percent. Before use, lyophilized NS were weighed and diluted into PBS for an overall concentration of 16 mg/mL. Five drug encapsulated NS and one Neuro-DiO NS were generated (Fig. 1).

### Delivery of Hypotensive Drugs

#### Brimonidine Tartrate
Mice receiving brimonidine to lower IOP were separated into three groups based on delivery method: topical application, intravitreal injection, and NS. Mice in the topical group received 3 μL of brimonidine (0.1% brimonidine tartrate) applied to the cornea of one eye daily beginning four days after microbead injection (day 4) and ending on day 6. Daily topical treatment was resumed on day 12 and continued until day 18. The fellow eye was treated with an equivalent volume of saline on the same dosing schedule. On day 4, mice in the intravitreal injection group received 1 μL brimonidine (0.1% brimonidine tartrate) in one eye and 1 μL saline in the fellow eye via intravitreal injection as previously described. Mice in the NS group received 1 μL brimonidine NS (Fig. 1) in one eye and 1 μL saline in the fellow eye via intravitreal injection on day 2.

#### Travoprost
Mice in the topical group received 3 μL of travoprost (0.004% wt/vol) applied to the cornea of one eye on days 4 and 7. The fellow eye received an equivalent volume of saline on days 4 and 7. Mice in the NS group received 1 μL travoprost NS (Fig. 1) in one eye and 1 μL saline in the fellow eye via intravitreal injection on day 4.

---

Table: NS Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic or Dye</th>
<th>Neuro-DiO</th>
<th>Brimonidine</th>
<th>Travoprost</th>
<th>Bimatoprost</th>
<th>Bimatoprost</th>
<th>Bimatoprost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanoscopic Size (nm)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosslinker and Density</td>
<td>(ethylenedioxy) diethylamine</td>
<td>(ethylenedioxy) diethylamine</td>
<td>(ethylenedioxy) diethylamine</td>
<td>(ethylenedioxy) diethylamine</td>
<td>(ethylenedioxy) diethylamine and diaminooctane (50/50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Load (weight %)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Conc. (mg/ml)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The NSs generated to test IOP-lowering drug delivery and delivery to retina. Six NSs were generated and varied by nanoscopic size, the crosslinker used, and crosslinker density. Also shown for each NS are the drug encapsulated in the NS, the drug load and drug concentration.
Bimatoprost

Mice received 1 μL of the 400 nm NS in one eye and 1 μL saline in the fellow eye via intravitreal injection on day 5. Mice received 1 μL of the 700 nm A-NS, or the 700 nm AC-NS (Fig. 1) in one eye and 1 μL saline in the fellow eye via intravitreal injection on day 4.

Toxicity of NS similar to those used in this study has been examined previously using an MTT assay. The experimental TC50 value of the NS was determined to be 0.97 to 1 mg/ml. Following intravitreal injection of drug loaded-NS, mice were monitored daily by the authors or by Vanderbilt Division of Animal Care technicians for signs of distress and/or physical impairment. At no point during the study did the mice that received NS appear impaired or in distress. Clinical assessment (e.g., slit-lamp examination) of mice before or after intravitreal injection was not performed; no fundus photography or electroretinography was performed on these mice at any time during the study.

NS Delivery to RGCs

Mice received 1 μL of the Neuro-DiO embedded NS (Fig. 1) in both eyes via intravitreal injection. Mice were transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde at the following time points after injection: 3 days, 1 week, 2 weeks, or 4 weeks. Retinas were separated from the eyecup and processed for whole mount immunohistochemistry as described previously using an antibody against phosphorylated heavy-chain neurofilament (SMI31, 1:1,000; Sternberger Monoclonal) to visualize RGCs. AlexaFluor–conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were used and images captured using an Olympus FV-1000 inverted confocal microscope. Neuro-DiO signal in whole mounted retinas was quantified using ImagePro (Media Cybernetics).

Results

For the mice used in this study, ocular pressure averaged 14.24 ± 0.08 mm Hg before microbead injection. Following injection of microbeads (1.5 μL) into the anterior chamber ocular pressure increased 42.8% to 20.32 ± 0.16 mm Hg. Over the course of this study, IOP of the saline treated eye averaged 19.35 ± 0.06 mm Hg, resulting in a 36% increase over baseline IOP levels (P < 0.001). This increase in IOP is similar to other studies using this model in C57 mice.

Brimonidine

Ocular pressure in C57 mice before microbead injection averaged 14.44 ± 0.10 mm Hg (Fig. 2). Microbead injection into the anterior chamber increased IOP 36.4% to 19.55 ± 0.32 mm Hg in the topical group one day post-injection (Fig. 2A). Topical application of brimonidine beginning on day 4 lowered IOP from 19.37 ± 0.63 mm Hg to 13.28 ± 0.56 mm Hg on day 5 (30% decrease) and to 14.28 ± 0.20 mm Hg on day 6 (26% decrease). These decreases in IOP were significant when compared to saline treated eyes (P < 0.001). Brimonidine treatment was stopped on day 7, resulting in an IOP increase from 14.28 ± 0.20 mm Hg on day 6 to 19.83 ± 0.88 mm Hg on day 11. Treatment was resumed on day 12 and IOP decreased to 14.70 ± 0.95 mm Hg on day 13 (21% decrease), 13.76 ± 0.30 mm Hg on day 15 (25% decrease), and 13.26 ± 0.14 mm Hg on day 18 (26% decrease). Ocular pressure at each of these time points was significantly lower when compared to saline treated eyes (P < 0.017).

Similar to the topical group, microbead injection increased IOP 42.1% to 20.11 ± 0.17 mm Hg in the intravitreal group one day post-injection (Fig. 2B). One intravitreal injection of brimonidine on day 4 lowered IOP to 15.11 ± 0.73 mm Hg on day 5 (20% decrease) and 15.00 ± 1.21 mm Hg on day 6 (23% decrease) compared to intravitreal saline injection (P < 0.027). On day 11, IOP in the brimonidine-treated eye had increased to 18.08 ± 0.85 mm Hg, and continued to increase until it reached saline levels (19.61 ± 0.16 mm Hg) on day 15 (P = 0.864).

In the brimonidine NS group, microbead injection increased IOP 35.3%, from 14.73 ± 0.13 to 19.92 ± 0.33 mm Hg on post-injection day 1 (Fig. 2C). Intravitreal injection of brimonidine-loaded NS on day 2 lowered IOP to 12.3 ± 0.83 mm Hg on day 3 (34% decrease) and 13.66 ± 0.24 mm Hg on day 4 (27% decrease) compared to saline injection (P < 0.002). Ocular pressure in NS-treated eyes increased slightly to 15.95 ± 0.45 mm Hg on days 7 (12% decrease) and to 15.94 ± 0.10 mm Hg on day 8 (13% decrease), but was still significantly lower than saline-injected eyes (18.15 ± 0.38 and 18.39 ± 0.41 mm Hg, respectively; P < 0.02). By day 15, ocular pressure in the brimonidine NS-treated eyes had returned to saline levels (18.42 ± 0.70 mm Hg; P = 0.290).

Comparing the difference in IOP between saline- and brimonidine-treated eyes by delivery method shows that topical and NS delivery lowered IOP to similar levels in the first two days following treatment.
Topical delivery lowered IOP 5.92 ± 0.57 and 5.07 ± 0.49 mm Hg on treatment days 1 and 2, while NS delivery lowered IOP 6.16 ± 1.21 and 5.29 ± 0.62 mm Hg (P > 0.40). Intravitreal delivery lowered IOP 3.70 ± 0.62 mm Hg on the first day following treatment; this was as effective as NS delivery (P = 0.0954), but less effective than topical delivery (P = 0.029). By treatment day 2, intravitreal delivery was as effective at lowering IOP (4.40 ± 1.63 mm Hg) as topical and NS delivery methods (P > 0.281). By treatment day 7, one topical application of brimonidine no longer lowered IOP compared to the saline treated eye (IOP difference of −0.72 ± 0.59 mm Hg). The difference in IOP following intravitreal delivery and NS delivery steadily decline with time, reaching 1.48 ± 1.00 and 0.88 ± 0.45 mm Hg at treatment days 7 and 8, respectively. By treatment day 8, NS delivery was more effective at lowering IOP than topical delivery (P = 0.0363), and as effective as intravitreal delivery (P = 0.272).

**Travoprost**

Baseline IOP in C57 mice averaged 14.47 ± 0.14 mm Hg (Fig. 3). In the topical travoprost group, IOP increased 41.6% to 20.58 ± 0.49 mm Hg following
microbead injection (Fig. 3A). One topical treatment with travoprost on day 4 lowered IOP from 20.53 ± 0.60 to 15.30 ± 0.23 mm Hg on day 5 (26.7% decrease) compared to topical saline treatment (*P = 0.013). Ocular pressure increased to 17.48 ± 0.86 mm Hg on day 6 and had returned to saline-treated levels (19.67 ± 0.42 mm Hg) by day 7 (P = 0.714). Mice received another single application of topical Travatan on day 7 that reduced IOP to 14.40 ± 0.89 mm Hg (29.2% decrease), with IOP again returning to saline-treated levels (19.82 ± 0.22 mm Hg) by day 10 (P = 0.927).

In the travoprost NS group, microbead injection increased IOP 54.1% to 22.19 ± 0.31 mm Hg on day 4 compared to saline-treated eyes (P = 0.003). Ocular pressure in NS-treated eyes increased slightly to 16.12 ± 0.34 mm Hg on days 6 to 8, but was still 19.6 ± 0.5% lower compared to saline-injected eyes (P < 0.02) before returning to saline IOP levels (18.53 ± 0.66 mm Hg) by day 10 (P = 0.515).

The difference in IOP between saline- and travoprost-treated eyes by delivery method is shown in Figure 3C. Topical travoprost delivery lowered IOP 5.58 ± 1.51 and 2.16 ± 0.64 mm Hg on treatment days 1 and 2, which was similar to NS travoprost delivery (5.93 ± 0.93 and 3.93 ± 0.76 mm Hg, P > 0.152). One topical application of travoprost was no longer effective at lowering IOP compared to the saline-treated eye by treatment day 3 (IOP difference of −0.18 ± 0.61 mm Hg). In contrast, NS delivery remained effective at lowering IOP up to treatment

Figure 3. Intravitreal injection of travoprost NS lowers IOP. (A) A single topical application of travoprost on day 4 and day 7 reduced IOP compared to saline-treated eyes (*P < 0.013, n = 3). (B) Intravitreal injection of Travatan NS on day 4 lowered IOP on day 5 compared to intravitreal saline injection (*P = 0.003, n = 3). IOP in the NS-treated eye remained lower than the saline eye (**P < 0.02) until day 10. trx: travoprost treatment. (C) Line graph comparing the difference in IOP between saline- and travoprost-treated eyes (∆IOP) for topical and NS delivery. Treatment began on day 0. *P < 0.023 topical versus NS delivery. n = 3 for topical and NS.
day 4 (4.06 ± 0.03 and 3.80 ± 0.92 mm Hg for treatment days 3 and 4, respectively; \( P < 0.023 \)). However, by treatment day 6 NS delivery was no longer effective at lowering IOP (IOP difference of 0.55 ± 0.44 mm Hg).

**Bimatoprost**

Ocular pressure in C57 mice before microbead injection averaged 13.86 ± 0.19 mm Hg (Fig. 4). Microbead injection into the anterior chamber increased IOP 44% to 19.96 ± 0.32 mm Hg in the 400 nm NS group 1 day post-injection (Fig. 4A).

Intravitreal injection of 400 nm bimatoprost-NS on day 5 lowered IOP to 13.05 ± 1.04 mm Hg on day 6; IOP ranged between 12.15 ± 0.81 and 14.17 ± 0.59 mm Hg (33.2 ± 1.2% decrease compared to saline injection) from days 6 to 13 (\( P < 0.041 \)). Ocular pressure increased slightly to 14.31 ± 0.28 mm Hg in NS-injected eyes from days 14 to 19, but was still 24.5% ± 1.2% lower than saline-injected eyes, (\( P = 0.047 \) at day 17; all other time points \( P > 0.063 \)). Pressure returned to saline IOP levels (18.63 ± 0.69 mm Hg) by day 22 (\( P > 0.468 \)).

In the 700 nm A-NS group IOP increased 47.8% to 20.14 ± 0.36 mm Hg one day after microbead injection.
injection (Fig. 4B). Injection of A-NS on day 4 lowered IOP to 15.13 ± 0.90 mm Hg on day 5 (20.2% decrease) and 14.73 ± 0.73 mm Hg on day 6 (26.7% decrease) compared to intravitreal saline injection (P > 0.073). Ocular pressure in A-NS–injected eyes stabilized between 13.25 ± 0.85 and 15.40 ± 0.86 mm Hg from days 5 to 35, while IOP in saline-injected eyes ranged between 18.95 ± 1.01 and 20.43 ± 0.66 mm Hg over the same time frame. This resulted in IOP decreases of 22.6 to 32.7% in bimatoprost-700 nm A-NS–treated eyes (P < 0.046). By day 41, IOP in 700 nm A-NS–injected eyes had increased to 18.58 ± 0.71 mm Hg and remained similar to saline injected eyes (18.93 ± 0.64 mm Hg) through day 48 (P > 0.401).

Microbead injection increased IOP 41.5% to 19.97 ± 0.37 mm Hg in the bimatoprost 700 nm AC-NS group 1 day post-injection (Fig. 4C). Intravitreal injection of bimatoprost-AC-NS on day 4 lowered IOP 25.0 ± 0.7% compared to intravitreal saline injected eyes on days 5 to 8 (P = 0.04 for day 7; P > 0.053 for all other days). During this time IOP ranged from 14.50 ± 0.96 to 15.43 ± 0.68 mm Hg in the 700 nm AC-NS–treated eyes and 19.80 ± 1.17 to 20.43 ± 0.99 mm Hg in saline-injected eyes. From days 11 to 15, IOP in AC-NS–injected eyes was 26.5% ± 0.7% lower than saline-injected eyes (P < 0.046), with an average IOP of 14.39 ± 0.78 mm Hg compared to 19.59 ± 0.77 mm Hg. Ocular pressure held steady in AC-NS–treated eyes at 14.51 ± 0.71 mm Hg (25.3 ± 0.8% decrease) from days 19 to 22 (P < 0.022 on days 21 and 22; all others P > 0.051) before increasing slightly to an average IOP of 15.91 ± 0.81 mm Hg from days 25 to 36 (18.0 ± 1.3% decrease compared to saline, P = 0.049 for day 28, P > 0.068 for all other days). By day 41, IOP in AC-NS–injected eyes had returned to saline levels (18.18 ± 0.68 mm Hg, P > 0.255).

Comparing the difference in IOP between the three bimatoprost-NS (Fig. 4D) shows that all three NS were equally effective at lowering IOP during the first two treatment weeks. The 400 nm NS produced IOP differences of 6.44 ± 0.41 and 5.00 ± 0.34 mm Hg compared to 4.93 ± 0.43 and 5.87 ± 0.42 mm Hg for 700 nm A-NS and 5.14 ± 0.42 and 5.09 ± 0.39 mm Hg for 700 nm AC-NS (P > 0.129). By treatment week 3, the IOP difference in 400 nm NS-injected eyes approached zero (0.89 ± 0.37 mm Hg) while IOP differences of 5.10 ± 0.44 and 4.67 ± 0.36 mm Hg were observed in 700 nm A-NS and 700 nm AC-NS–injected eyes, respectively (P < 0.0184). Both 700 nm NS were equally effective at lowering IOP during treatment weeks 4 through 6 (4.66 ± 0.47, 3.75 ± 0.44, and 1.03 ± 0.34 mm Hg for A-NS versus 3.97 ± 0.39, 2.96 ± 0.37, and 1.24 ± 0.34 mm Hg for AC-NS; P > 0.308), and were more effective than the 400 nm NS for treatment weeks 4 and 5 (P < 0.0299). By treatment week 7, neither 700 nm NS were effective a lowering IOP (IOP differences of 0.10 ± 0.33 and 0.08 ± 0.37 mm Hg, respectively).

Nanoparticle Delivery to RGCs

To examine the use of NS to deliver neuroprotective drugs directly to RGCs, C57 mice were intravitreally injected with a 50 nm NS loaded with Neuro-DiO. The retinal deposition of Neuro-DiO was quantified in whole mounted retinas at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days post-injection (Fig. 5). After 3 days, 19.6% to 28.5% of the retinal surface was covered with Neuro-DiO (see left image, Fig. 5A). With increasing time,
the percentage of retinal surface covered by Neuro-DiO increased, ranging from 25.6% to 52.1% at 7 to 14 days post-injection (see right image, Fig. 5B). Not surprisingly, the greatest deposition of Neuro-DiO was observed 28 days after injection, with 60.2% and 71.4% of the retina covered (Fig. 5B). High magnification confocal images of whole mounted retinas 1 week following injection of Neuro-DiO NS shows deposition of Neuro-DiO on the retinal surface (arrows, Figs. 6A, 6B). In addition, Neuro-DiO was taken up by RGCs as shown by the colocalization of Neuro-DiO (green) and phosphorylated neurofilament (pNF; red) indicated by the dotted lines. Orthogonal projections through a pNF-positive RGCs show Neuro-DiO puncta within the cell (Figs. 6C, 6D), suggesting internalization of Neuro-DiO by RGCs.

**Discussion**

In the next 10 years, glaucoma will affect nearly 80 million people worldwide, 3.4 million in the United States. As the disease progresses to blindness, the cost of treatment increases. It is estimated that $2.5 billion dollars are spent annually to treat patients with glaucoma. The majority of these treatments target elevated IOP; however, lowering IOP doesn’t necessarily halt disease progression. This is most likely...
due to poor treatment adherence, suggesting alternative therapeutic options or delivery systems that increase patient compliance could be beneficial.\textsuperscript{10,13,15,19,20,24,25} We developed a series of NSs (Fig. 1) that encapsulate ocular hypotensive drugs to provide extended-release treatments that could minimize patient noncompliance.\textsuperscript{44} The efficacy of these NS administered intravitreally was tested in mice following microbead-induced ocular hypertension. Smaller NS (50 nm) containing brimonidine or travoprost were as effective at lowering IOP alone immediately following treatment, with effects that lasted up to 6 days (Figs. 2, 3). Larger NS (400 to 700 nm) were effective at lowering IOP 27\% for almost 3 weeks post-injection (Fig. 4). Eyes that received 700 nm bimatoprost-NS continued to show a difference in IOP of at least 4 mm Hg out to 4 weeks ($P > 0.0299$). Our data suggested NS can effectively deliver ocular hypotensive drugs in a linear and sustained manner following one intravitreal injection.

The overall goal of this study is to provide proof-of-concept data for an extended-release drug delivery system that could be developed further for use in the treatment of glaucoma. As an initial step, we wanted to show efficacy of our NS in a well characterized animal model of glaucoma.\textsuperscript{41,42,48} Moving forward with our NS drug delivery system will require multiple animal models, including those more suited for intravitreal pharmacokinetic studies, so that all aspects of treatment (safety, potential side effects, less invasive delivery methods) can be examined thoroughly. Injection of Neuro-DiO loaded NS was an extension of this proof-of-concept to determine if the NS could deliver a payload to the retinal surface and to RGCs. Having shown that it is possible to get a payload to cross the inner limiting membrane and be taken up by RGCs (Fig. 6), the next step would be to deliver a neuroprotective drug to these cells using the NS. In those studies, electroretinography or other functional outcome measures could be used to examine the effectiveness of the neuroprotection. Although more studies using our NS are required, we do feel the data presented here add to the growing body of work examining more effective ocular drug delivery methods for the treatment of diseases, like glaucoma and AMD.\textsuperscript{24,49–54}

Compared to noncompliant patients, patients who adhere to treatment plans have lower ocular pressures, less disc cupping, and less visual field loss over time.\textsuperscript{13} Given that glaucoma progresses to blindness, and this progression occurs faster with no treatment, one would think adherence rates for glaucoma therapy would be quite high. In fact, compliance rates near 90\% have been reported in some studies, with 85\% to 92\% of patients reporting no to very few missed doses during the study period.\textsuperscript{55,56} However, these studies relied on patient self-reporting, which has been shown to overestimate compliance dramatically.\textsuperscript{17,19,57} Another study found that in the 3 years following a glaucoma diagnosis, less than 10\% of patients refilled their initial prescription regularly.\textsuperscript{10} For patients who filled at least one prescription, half discontinued therapy within 6 months.\textsuperscript{10} To increase compliance in glaucoma patients, approaches like electronic dosing aids, motivational- and patient-centered communication strategies, and video documentation are being used, but so far the results have been mixed.\textsuperscript{15,17,19–22} A study examining patient compliance for 3 months after providing an electronic dosing aid showed 96\% adherence within the first 10 days; this decreased slightly to 86\% compliance for the remainder of the study.\textsuperscript{19} In a similar study, Dreer et al.\textsuperscript{20} found that when using an electronic dosing aid 80\% of patients took some amount of drops within 6 hours of their prescribed dosing time, but only 64\% took the prescribed amount of drops within 3 hours of the prescribed dosing time. Hermann et al.\textsuperscript{57} determined adherence in patients aware of electronic monitoring was no different than in patients who were unaware (67.5\% compared to 69.5\%), while Okeke et al.\textsuperscript{58} showed 45\% of study participants who were provided free medications and were aware they were being monitored took less than 75\% of intended doses during the 3 month study. In addition to using dosing aids to improve patient compliance, the development of extended-release therapeutics could eliminate many of the barriers patients report as contributing to nonadherence.\textsuperscript{11,13–18}

While topical administration of drug is the preferred method of delivery for most ocular diseases, including glaucoma, this route is very inefficient.\textsuperscript{7,49} In fact, less than 5\% of drug applied topically reaches its target tissue within the eye, which necessitates the frequent dosing (up to 3 times daily) required for most topical ocular drugs.\textsuperscript{50,59–61} Many factors, including ocular anatomy, blinking, and tear film, limit the bioavailability of topical ocular drugs.\textsuperscript{50,51,62,63} Additionally, a significant portion of drug (~80\%) may be absorbed by blood vessels in the conjunctiva, passing into systemic circulation to cause adverse off-target effects.\textsuperscript{64} Targeting tissues in the posterior globe, like RGCs, proves even more difficult as drugs must penetrate the vitreous and inner limiting membrane of...
the retina. Due to these challenges, more efficient drug delivery systems are being developed for ocular tissues that include ocular inserts, lipid-based nanoparticles, and punctum inserts. Recent studies using topically applied nanoparticles loaded with ocular hypotensive drugs have shown promise. For example, topical administration of nanoparticles (256 nm) containing the carbonic anhydrase inhibitor methazolamide lowered IOP for 18 hours, with the maximal effect observed 2 to 8 hours after dosing. In vitro drug release studies, however, showed that 99% of the drug had been released from the nanoparticle after 4 hours, suggesting a “burst” of drug rather than sustained release.

Similarly, brimonidine-loaded nanoparticles (117 to 131 nm) delivered via eye drops lowered IOP for 5 to 7 hours after dosing. The amount of brimonidine released in vitro after 24 hours ranged from 37% to 62%. A study using betaxolol-loaded nanoparticles (168 to 260 nm) saw a 36% reduction in IOP 5 hours after dosing. This nanoparticle had a biphasic release pattern of an initial burst followed by sustained release of drug for about 12 hours. The NS used in this study have been characterized previously. In vitro release studies using taxol-loaded NS similar to the ones used in this study showed that 4% to 7% of the drug was released by 6 hours. Drug continued to be released in a steady linear fashion, resulting in approximately 50% of the drug remaining in the particle at 60 days. In our study, we observed IOP-lowering effects within 24 hours that were sustained for 4 to 6 days for smaller NS (Figs. 2, 3) and up to 32 days for larger NS (Figs. 4B, 4C). This suggests glaucoma patients could manage their ocular pressure by receiving NS drug therapy once a month.

The success of intraocular injection to treat ocular disorders and the complex topical dosing schedules required for adequate management of IOP have resulted in more patients willing to receive ocular injection in lieu of traditional glaucoma therapy. The advantages of intraocular injection are that high concentrations of drug can be achieved near the target tissue while minimizing systemic adverse effects. However, repeated intraocular injection significantly increases the risk of ocular complications, including discomfort or pain, subconjunctival or vitreal hemorrhage, acute and sterile intraocular inflammation, uveitis, and endophthalmitis. Reviews of clinical trials and patient charts to determine the safety of AMD intravitreal therapies have found that incidence rates for endophthalmitis range from 0 to 0.16%. A longitudinal study by Rasmussen et al. showed that of 600 eyes that began AMD intravitreal treatment, a third of the eyes still were receiving treatment after 4 years with no change in visual activity. For 7584 injections given (average of 5.5 injections per year), two eyes were diagnosed with endophthalmitis, one eye with retinal detachment; no major hemorrhage or traumatic cataracts were observed. Similar reviews have shown increased visual acuity with continued AMD intravitreal treatment and a low incidence of adverse side effects. While some patients respond to intravitreal injection with sustained elevation of IOP, most do not even after multiple injections. In our study, we performed intravitreal injection of drug-loaded NS once and observed IOP-lowering effects out to 32 days (Fig. 4). While intravitreal injection of NS to lower IOP would remove some hurdles to patient compliance, the increased risk for adverse outcomes following repeated injections argue against this delivery method as a replacement for first line glaucoma treatment (topical drops). Ideally, our NS delivery system could be adapted to deliver IOP-lowering drugs via topical routes. If one dose of topical drug-loaded NS could lower IOP for the same length of time as intravitreal injection, patients would only need to dose once per month. This could drastically reduce patient nonadherence while also reducing many negative side effects from repeated intravitreal injections.

The main benefit of intravitreal delivery of our NS would be in delivering neuroprotective therapies directly to posterior ocular tissues, like RGCs. Therapeutics that enhance RGC survival or function could be loaded into NS and, if release could be sustained for at least 4 months, patients would require only three injections per year. Koo et al. injected various fluorescently-labeled nanoparticles intravitreally into rat eyes and examined their distribution. Particles with anionic surface properties penetrated deeper into the retina than cationic particles. Similarly, nanoparticles (150–180 nm) carrying fluorescently-labeled cargo injected into the vitreous were deposited in the nerve fiber layer of the retina 48 hours post-injection. We injected Neuro-DiO-NS (50 nm) into the vitreous cavity and examined retinal distribution up to 28 days post-injection (Fig. 5). The percentage of Neuro-DiO on the retinal surface increased from nearly 20% to 71% at 28 days, suggesting release of Neuro-DiO from the NS occurred linearly over time. The released Neuro-DiO was taken up by RGCs as shown by colocalization with phosphorylated neurofilament (Figs. 6C, 6D). Directly targeting the cells that
degenerate in glaucoma, the RGCs, using nanoparticles or NS loaded with neuroprotective agents could potentially preserve vision in the 5.9 million people estimated to lose their sight to this disease in the next 10 years.3
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