Effects of Detergent-Based Protocols on Decellularization of Corneas With Sclerocorneal Limbus. Evaluation of Regional Differences
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Introduction

Corneal diseases are the second cause of blindness worldwide and the responsible of 90% of cases of blindness in some regions of Africa.1 Moreover, corneal trauma and ulcers are associated to nearly 2 million of monocular blind patients every year.2 In most cases, allogeneic corneal transplant is the only successful treatment for corneal blindness. However, there is a chronic shortage of human donor corneas, especially in developing countries,3 and novel therapeutic alternatives are in need.

Tissue engineering appears as a promising discipline whose aim is to generate artificial tissues and organs that can replace damaged tissues in the human body, including the cornea.4,5 Different biomaterials have been proposed as cornea matrix substitutes, including fibrin,6 fibrin-agarose,7 collagen,8 silk,9 and...
decellularized porcine corneas. In this regard, acellular corneas based on decellularization protocols applied to animal or nonviable human corneas could represent a real alternative to fresh human donor corneas. Several methods have been published to the date, but none of them have been established as a reliable or standardized protocol for cornea decellularization, especially in the case of the human cornea, and published decellularization protocols often lack full characterization of the decellularized tissues. In a previous work, we demonstrated the efficacy of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and sodium chloride (NaCl) to decellularize porcine corneal stromas after using dispase II. Afterwards, Shafiq et al. modified our method based on NaCl by adding nucleases to the protocol, and they demonstrated that this protocol could be associated to undetectable levels of cellular material in human corneas, supporting both fibroblast and epithelial cell growth in vitro. On the other hand, we recently demonstrated that the use of decellularized extracellular matrix (ECM) obtained from extraocular tissues, such as the small intestine could permit generating bioengineered cornea substitutes with potential clinical usefulness and translational perspectives.

Among all available decellularization methods, those based on the use of detergents are likely the most simple and inexpensive, although none of these methods have been tried for decellularization of whole corneas including the sclerocorneal limbus (SCL), which is essential for cornea cell physiology and regeneration. This could be of interest if limbal stem cells are used in the future to repopulate the SCL of decellularized corneas. Although decellularized corneas may provide a scaffold able to support corneal cells growth, simpler and cheaper protocols should be developed, especially for whole-corneas decellularization. These protocols should be able to remove all cells from the animal cornea, while maintaining all major ECM components of the tissue. Furthermore, the effects of each decellularization agent on the different regions of the cornea have not been fully elucidated, and most reports analyzed the decellularization process globally. This is especially important for a more appropriate selection of the cornea regions that could be used clinically for lamellar keratoplasty.

In the present study, several detergent-based enzyme-free decellularization protocols were evaluated on whole-porcine corneas including the SCL at different concentrations and times to determine their effects on the different regions of the cornea. These decellularized corneas may have future translational potential for the treatment of corneal diseases.

**Materials and Methods**

**Decellularization of Porcine Corneas**

Fresh porcine eyes were obtained from adult pigs immediately after their death at a local slaughterhouse. The eyes selected for the study had integral corneal surface with a horizontal corneal diameter of 12 to 14 mm. The native porcine cornea (NPC) with 1-mm scleral ring was removed using Wescott scissors. Corneas were washed thoroughly with 10% antibiotic–antimycotic solution (Invitrogen-Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 minutes and then washed in PBS. Several independent decellularization protocols based on benzalkonium chloride (BAK), Igepal, SDS, or Triton X-100 (all of them from Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) were applied to the NPCs at different concentrations (0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) and times (12, 24, and 48 hours) for each of the agents used, except for control NPCs that remained as native nondecellularized corneas. Afterwards, porcine corneas were washed with PBS for 24 hours. All solutions in which porcine corneas were immersed had a mass ratio of 20:1 (solution:cornea), and all protocols were carried out with continuous shaking (300 rpm) at room temperature. Every 12 hours, all decellularization media were renewed. Decellularized porcine corneas (DPC) were then placed between two pieces of absorbent paper and incubated in a dry chamber at 60°C for 1 hour to eliminate the excess liquid. In total, 33 different study groups were established, and three samples were analyzed per condition: native non-decellularized corneas; corneas decellularized with BAK at three concentrations (0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) for three different times (12, 24, and 48 hours); corneas decellularized with Igepal at three concentrations (0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) for three different times (12, 24, and 48 hours); corneas decellularized with SDS at three concentrations (0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) for three different times (12, 24, and 48 hours); corneas decellularized with Triton X-100 at three concentrations (0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) for three different times (12, 24, and 48 hours).

All experimental protocols, including the use of animal tissues, were approved by the institutional local ethics and research committee. This work adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.
**Histology Evaluation and Image Processing Analysis**

For light microscopy, NPC and DPC were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol (70%, 96%, 100%) for 30 minutes each one, immersed twice in xylene for 30 minutes each time, and in liquid paraffin for 30 minutes each time for impregnation. Tissue sections 5-μm thick were obtained with a microtome. To determine the effectiveness of cell removal (decellularization efficiency), the number of remaining nuclei in control and decellularized corneas was quantified by using 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining (Sigma-Aldrich) on deparaffinized tissue sections,13 and the percentage of cell removal was determined for DPC samples. The number of remaining nuclear debris was determined per field. Before analyzing the number of particles in a 16-bits image that was autothreshold (moments mode used), we previously subtracted the background (50 pixels) and sharpened the image. The percentage of cells or cell fragments removed was calculated by using the number of remaining cells or cell fragments present in the controls as a reference.

For quantitative analysis of the ECM, histochemistry was carried out to detect the main fibrillar and nonfibrillar ECM components on tissue sections as previously described by Oliveira et al.13 (all reagents were purchased to Sigma-Aldrich):

1. To evaluate the presence of collagen fibers, picrosirius red staining was performed using Sirius red F3B working solution for 30 minutes and Harris’s hematoxylin counterstaining for 5 minutes. These samples were analyzed by using light and polarized light microscopy;

2. To assess glycoproteins content, the Periodic acid-Schiff staining method (PAS) was used with 0.5% periodic acid solution was used for 5 minutes as oxidant, followed by incubation in Schiff reagent for 15 minutes, and counterstaining with Harris’s hematoxylin for 1 minute; and

3. To determine proteoglycans content, samples were incubated in alcian blue solution for 30 minutes and counterstained with nuclear fast red solution for 1 minute.

In all cases, DAPI staining and histochemistry were carried out at the same time for all samples, using the same reagents and the same times to ensure reproducibility of the results. Histological images were taken using a light microscope (Eclipse i90; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) using exactly the same conditions (exposition time, white balance, background, etc.) with the Nikon NIS-Elements software. Subsequently, samples were quantitatively analyzed by using the image processing software ImageJ 1.43 m (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Three different corneal stroma regions of each sample were independently analyzed, corresponding to the anterior region, the middle region and the posterior region of the cornea. The size of the area analyzed for each region was 0.07 mm², and three areas were analyzed per region.

The intensity of the staining of each specific ECM component was quantified by using ImageJ software (32-bit images were analyzed using plot profiles). The data obtained were normalized using plot profiles from white images of the regions in the sample where DPC were not present, comparing the samples with the control, which was established as the maximum value to obtain percentages of staining intensity per each kind of stain used.

Surface characterization and analysis of stromal fiber orientation of the samples stained with picrosirius red was performed with SurfCharJ ImageJ plug-in for surface assessment. This plug-in allows for the calculation of structure orientation based on the mean resultant vector (MRV) and plots the frequency of azimuthal angles for estimating the preferred orientation. To analyze the fiber orientation of the whole DPC, we first obtained individual pictures of the anterior, medial, and posterior region of the cornea. Then, the analysis was carried out individually for each picture, and average values were calculated for the MRV.

**Statistical Analysis**

First, we determined the average and standard deviation of each of the following experimental groups: (1) all samples treated with SDS, Triton X-100, Igepal, or BAK independently of the concentration and time used (for instance, all samples treated with SDS regardless the specific conditions). These groups were named as global groups, and (2) samples treated with a specific concentration and time of each agent (for instance, samples treated with 0.1% SDS for 12 hours). These groups were named as specific groups.

Then, to compare the results obtained among different groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. In cases with significant differences among groups as determined by this test, Mann-Whitney U test was used to identify statistical differences between...
The Mann-Whitney U test was based on the use of the Kruskal-Wallis test. The most efficient protocol comparison among all global groups using the Mann-Whitney U test, post-hoc region of the cornea (0.001) led to a complete cell elimination from the corneas, very few protocols succeeded in eliminating more than 90% of the tissue cells (Fig. 1 and Table 1). However, some specific times and concentrations resulted in more efficient levels of decellularization than others (P < 0.001 for the comparison among all global groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test). The most efficient protocol was based on the use of 0.1% SDS for 48 hours, which led to a complete cell elimination from the posterior region of the cornea (0% remaining cells; P < 0.001 as compared with control corneas for the Mann-Whitney U test), although few minuscule nuclear debris remained in the anterior (2.4%; P < 0.001) and medium fields (1.4%; P < 0.001). The correlation between the decellularization efficiency and the concentration of agent did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.0174; r = 0.1540), and correlation with the incubation time was very low (P > 0.05).

**Preservation of Tissue Structure and Composition After Cornea Decellularization**

The analysis of decellularized DPC demonstrated that all decellularization protocols were able to preserve the integrity of the Bowman’s layer and Descemet’s membrane (Fig. 2).

Detection of collagen fibers in control native NPC showed a well-defined pattern in which an anterior, a medium, and a posterior stroma region were identifiable. First, the anterior region, corresponding to approximately 20% to 30% of the stroma thickness, was characterized by the presence of collagen lamellae with less compactation than lamellae corresponding to the other regions. Then, the medium region of the stroma accounted for 50% to 60% of the thickness and showed highly compacted collagen lamellae, whereas the posterior region corresponded to approximately 10% to 15% of the cornea thickness and had highly compacted collagen lamellae showing less staining intensity than the medium region (Fig. 2).

The analysis of collagen preservation in decellularized corneas demonstrated that all global and specific decellularization methods resulted in certain degrees of collagen intensity loss, with significant differences among all global study groups (P < 0.001 for the Kruskal-Wallis test). The global decellularization groups showing highest preservation of the ECM collagen content were Igepal and SDS, although all groups were statistically different to control undecellularized corneas (P > 0.05 for the Mann-Whitney U test; Fig. 3 and Table 1). The analysis of the different regions of the decellularized cornea showed that the anterior cornea preserved higher amounts of collagen fibers than the rest of the organ. A significant correlation was found between the collagen content and the type of decellularization agent (P < 0.001; r = 0.0643), the concentration (P < 0.001; r = 0.0776), and the region of the cornea (P < 0.001; r = 0.0243), but not for the decellularization time (P > 0.05). Fiber orientation analysis using the SurfCharJ ImageJ plug-in demonstrated that corneas subjected to...
decellularization showed an orientated fiber distribution that was very similar to control NPCs, except for corneas decellularized with BAK, which showed a significant alteration of the fibrillar pattern ($P < 0.001$ as compared with NPCs). Although no statistically significant differences were found between control corneas and corneas decellularized with Triton X-100, Igepal, and SDS, the agent that showed more proper fiber alignment was SDS (Fig. 4 and Table 1).

For proteoglycan detection, control, and decellularized corneas were stained with alcian blue. In this regard, both the anterior and the posterior regions of the stroma of the NPC were characterized by a high concentration of proteoglycans, whereas the medium region had very few amounts of these components. The analysis of decellularized corneas using alcian blue staining revealed that most protocols were able to maintain the proteoglycan contents of the porcine cornea, although some differences existed among protocols ($P < 0.001$ for the Kruskal-Wallis test). All global groups showed high levels of proteoglycan preservation after decellularization, similar to the control corneas, and the most proper results were found in the SDS group followed by the Igepal group (differences were nonsignificant among groups). Strikingly, the anterior region of the cornea showed significant loss of proteoglycans after decellularization (Fig. 5 and Table 2), whereas no differences with the control corneas were found for the medium and posterior cornea regions or for the full-thickness cornea. The statistical analysis showed a significant correlation between the amount of proteoglycans preserved in the decellularized cornea and the type of decellularization agent ($P < 0.001; r = 0.1956$), the concentration ($P < 0.001; r = 0.1882$), time ($P < 0.001; r = 0.1642$), and the region of the cornea ($P < 0.001; r = 0.1999$).

Quantification of glycoproteins using PAS analysis in control NPC demonstrated that these components were very abundant in the medium region of the stroma, with lower concentrations in the anterior and posterior regions. The analysis of decellularized corneas showed that the decellularization process significantly altered the amount of these components in the cornea ECM, with significant differences among groups ($P < 0.001$ for the Kruskal-Wallis test). All global groups were statistically different to the controls ($P < 0.001$ for the Mann-Whitney $U$ test). The global groups that more efficiently preserved the glycoprotein contents of the ECM were SDS (78.3 ± 39.8 for the full-thickness cornea) followed by Igepal (66.4 ± 6.4). Both were significantly better ($P < 0.001$ for the Mann-Whitney $U$ test) than corneas decellularized with Triton X-100 (54.7 ± 8.1) or BAK (60.6 ± 13.6). In this case, the anterior region of the cornea showed the highest concentrations of glycoproteins after decellularization (Fig. 6 and Table 2). A significant correlation was found between the remaining percentage of glycoproteins and the decellularization time ($P < 0.001, r = 0.2329$), the concentration of decellularization agent ($P < 0.001, r = 0.1497$), and the cornea region ($P < 0.001; r = 0.2333$).

### Discussion

Different decellularization agents have been used for cornea decellularization, although no consensus protocols have been described. In addition, most published protocols used only the central corneal button, and no experience is available on decellularization of the whole cornea, including the SCL. Decellularization of animal corneas including the SCL could be useful for the generation of complete bioengineered corneas including the area where cornea stem cells reside, and it opens the door to the possibility of generating whole-cornea substitutes for clinical use. From a translational standpoint, these substitutes could have clinical potential as substitutes of the whole cornea with SCL once recellularized. One of the main problems associated to cornea decellularization is the need of eliminating all cells and nuclear fragments from the native cornea. In cases when the SCL is present, this structure may act as a physical barrier preventing the efficient outflow of cells and cell debris. Therefore, novel specific decellularization methods should be developed for decellularization of whole corneas and their effects on the different regions of the cornea should be determined.

In this work, we have used different previously described decellularization detergents for whole-cornea decellularization. These agents were selected due to their decellularization power and in agreement with previous reports describing the use of detergents for decellularization of animal corneas or other organs. SDS and Triton X-100 have been widely applied to decellularize corneas, and Igepal and BAK were selected for the first time to determine their usefulness for cornea decellularization. Igepal has been previously used for decellularizing heart valves, pericardium, or veins. BAK...
Table 1. Quantitative Analysis of Decellularization Efficiency as Determined by DAPI Staining, Collagen Preservation as Determined by Picrosirius Red Histochemical Staining and Collagen Fibers Orientation Based on the Evaluation of MRV Using the SurfCharJ ImageJ Software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DAPI Ant</th>
<th>DAPI Med</th>
<th>DAPI Post</th>
<th>Normalized DAPI Ant</th>
<th>Normalized DAPI Med</th>
<th>Normalized DAPI Post</th>
<th>Picrosirius Ant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control NPC</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>154.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>128.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>109.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>107.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>123.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>131.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>159.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>120.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>134.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>125.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>129.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>116.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>141.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>146.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>105.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For DAPI and picrosirius, average results are shown for each sample as raw values and normalized values after considering the results obtained for control NPC as 100%. Control NPC: native undecellularized corneas. Notes: Ant: anterior area of the cornea; Med: medium area of the cornea; Post: posterior area of the cornea.
Table 1. Extended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Med Picrosirius</th>
<th>Picrosirius Post</th>
<th>Normalized Picrosirius</th>
<th>Normalized Picrosirius</th>
<th>Normalized Picrosirius</th>
<th>MRV Ant</th>
<th>MRV Med</th>
<th>MRV Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>169.9</td>
<td>167.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.2</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146.6</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

has never been used as a decellularization agent; nonetheless, it is a potent detergent employed as preservative in many drugs, like glaucoma eye drops, which associates high levels of cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{25} Although previous works by different groups described the accuracy of nondetergent decellularization agents, such as sodium chloride,\textsuperscript{10,12,26} decellularization of a complete animal cornea likely requires the use of more potent decellularization agents (i.e., the most frequently used ionic and nonionic detergents).
despite the alterations that these agents could produce in the ECM. Future works should be carried out to determine the usefulness of other detergents such as Tween-20 or saponin in cornea decellularization.

First, our results revealed that most decellularization protocols were not able to remove a significant percentage of cells from the animal cornea. In contrast with previous works, the efficiency of cell elimination was very poor except for certain specific times and concentrations of SDS, suggesting that 0.1% SDS for 48 hours could be efficiently used for whole-cornea decellularization. The low decellularization efficacy of the rest of protocols could be explained by the presence of the SCL surrounding the cornea. The circumferential fibers in the corneal periphery together with other structures such as the Schlem's canal, the Vogt palisades and the epithelial crypts in the SCL could represent a rigid rim that act as a barrier against the deformation of the cornea by swelling. In addition, we found that the efficiency of most decellularization protocols was not time-dependent, suggesting that the type of agent and its concentration were the most important factors related to cell removal efficiency. Although previous reports found that time is an important factor for decellularization of other tissue types, the presence of the SCL barrier on whole corneas may impair decellularization even for the highest incubation times. Therefore, decellularization of whole corneas may not be dependent on time, except for certain detergents previously known to have strong decellularization power such as SDS. As expected, the highest concentrations of SDS were associated to improved decellularization efficiencies, with a positive correlation with agent concentration.
Once the animal corneas were decellularized, it is very important to verify that the histological structure of the decellularized tissues is preserved. Ideally, a decellularization agent should be able to eliminate all cells from the tissue while maintaining all the fibrillar and nonfibrillar components of the ECM.\textsuperscript{15,28} In the case of the cornea, the major fibrillar component is type-I collagen, which should be preserved and properly oriented for a correct cornea function.\textsuperscript{27,29,30} In this sense, our results showed that all protocols altered the collagen contents of the cornea, although the two agents with better results were Igepal followed by SDS, and these agents were able to preserve fiber orientation as compared with control corneas. These results are consistent with the idea that very powerful protocols are necessary for whole-cornea decellularization, and this could damage the ECM. Interestingly, the agent that more intensely damaged the collagen structure and alignment of the cornea was BAK, a component that is commonly present in pharmacological eye drops,\textsuperscript{25} as we can see in the collagen staining and fiber orientation analysis.

Two of the most important nonfibrillar ECM components are proteoglycans and glycoproteins, which contribute to maintain the corneal lamellar pattern.\textsuperscript{31–34} Proteoglycans and glycoproteins are located between collagen fibers leading to establish a well-defined distance between collagen fibers that generates the lamellar pattern.\textsuperscript{29,31,35} Therefore, to preserve both kinds of molecules is necessary to maintain the normal structural characteristics of the native cornea. Regarding the proteoglycans, all decellularization protocols preserved high levels of these components; however, the concentration of glycoproteins was decreased after the decellularization process. Globally, the decellularization agent that most efficiently preserved both components was SDS, thus confirming the usefulness of this detergent for cornea decellularization.

Interestingly, our results revealed the existence of important differences among corneal regions in control undecellularized corneas. As shown in the results, control corneas showed a well-defined pattern in which an anterior, a medium, and a posterior region were identifiable in the stroma, suggesting that anatomical and histological differences exist among the stroma. This could explain our results showing important differences among the three areas of the cornea after being submitted to each decellularization protocol. The existence of different regions in the stroma could have important clinical implications and could help to understand why some corneal diseases only affect specific regions of the corneal stroma. The origin of these regionalized differences could be found in the development of the cornea in the embryo when the mesenchymal cells from the neural crest in a second wave penetrate between the primordium of the corneal epithelium and the corneal endothelium.\textsuperscript{36} After some weeks, these mesenchymal cells concentrate underneath the corneal epithelium and over the endothelium,\textsuperscript{37} where they could secrete high amounts of proteoglycans in the context of an epithelium-mesenchyme interaction like take place in the development of the tooth,\textsuperscript{38} kidney,\textsuperscript{39} and hair follicle.\textsuperscript{40} Although these results need to be confirmed by additional studies, the fact that the anterior stroma region is very rich in cells and poor in glycosaminoglycans and collagen compactation may support the idea of using only the medium and posterior regions of the porcine cornea for decellularization purposes, since these regions demonstrated higher decellularization efficiency. In addition, the anterior region of the cornea showed a significant loss of proteoglycans after decellularization, whereas no differences with the control corneas were found for the medium and posterior cornea regions. However, the anterior region of the cornea showed the highest concentrations of glycoproteins after decellularization. Taken together, all these data suggest that the decellularization effects of the different agents used in this work differed among cornea regions, and this should be taken into account from a clinical standpoint.

All these results suggest that decellularization of whole corneas could be efficiently achieved in laboratory, although it is likely that new decellularization protocols based on the use of other detergents such as saponin or Tween-20,\textsuperscript{41} or the combination of detergents with other decellularizing agents such as DNAse or RNAse\textsuperscript{12,42} should be developed. In the future, decellularized whole corneas could represent a new alternative to corneal human donors in corneal transplants,\textsuperscript{14} and these organs could have clinical usefulness for the treatment of patients with SCL damage. Furthermore, once we have demonstrated that whole-porcine corneas can be decellularized, future studies should determine the validness of these methods for decellularizing corneas obtained from other animals, or even from human origin. Previous reports suggest that animal corneas could trigger in vivo immune response leading to organ rejection, even though the cornea is considered to be immunoprivileged.\textsuperscript{43} The immunogenicity of these decellularized porcine corneas should be determined in vivo to ensure long in vivo graft survival rates.\textsuperscript{43} In addition,
future works should determine the ability of DPC to support cell growth after decellularization. If this is the case, DPC could be recellularized with human cornea cells, including limbal stem cells, thus allowing the generation of artificial whole corneas for clinical use. On the one hand, these corneas could eventually be used for the replacement of corneas with limbal failure by full-thickness keratoplasty. On the other hand, knowledge on the regional differences in decellularized corneas will allow us to select the more

Table 2. Quantitative Analysis of Proteoglycans Preservation as Determined by Alcian Blue Histochemical Staining and Glycoproteins Preservation as Determined by PAS Histochemical Staining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Alcian Blue Ant</th>
<th>Alcian Blue Med</th>
<th>Alcian Blue Post</th>
<th>Normalized Alcian Blue Ant</th>
<th>Normalized Alcian Blue Med</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control NPC</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX100-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.0, 48 h</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 12 h</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 24 h</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.01, 48 h</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 12 h</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 24 h</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.05, 48 h</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 12 h</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 24 h</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAK-0.1, 48 h</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average results are shown for each sample as raw values and normalized values after considering the results obtained for control NPC as 100%.
appropriate regional model for the generation of lamellar corneas for clinical use, lamellar keratoplasty. Finally, the optical differences between the human and the porcine cornea warrant future studies focused on the optical behavior of DPC grafted in vivo.

In summary, SDS appears as one of the best detergents for decellularizing whole-porcine corneas. Therefore, SDS appears as one of the best protocols for decellularization of whole corneas including the SCL, not only due to its efficiency, especially on certain regions of the cornea, but also because it is easy-handle and straightforward.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcian Blue</th>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>PAS</th>
<th>PAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post Ant</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Extended.
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vivo studies should establish the real translational potential of these decellularized organs.
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