Purpose: To characterize the first in-human intraoperative imaging using a custom prototype spectral-domain microscope-integrated optical coherence tomography (MIOCT) device during vitreoretinal surgery with instruments in the eye.

Methods: Under institutional review board approval for a prospective intraoperative study, MIOCT images were obtained at surgical pauses with instruments held static in the vitreous cavity and then concurrently with surgical maneuvers. Postoperatively, MIOCT images obtained at surgical pauses were compared with images obtained with a high-resolution handheld spectral-domain OCT (HHOCT) system with objective endpoints, including acquisition of images acceptable for analysis and identification of predefined macular morphologic or pathologic features.

Results: Human MIOCT images were successfully obtained before incision and during pauses in surgical maneuvers. MIOCT imaging confirmed preoperative diagnoses, such as epiretinal membrane, full-thickness macular hole, and vitreomacular traction and demonstrated successful achievement of surgical goals. MIOCT and HHOCT images obtained at surgical pauses in two cohorts of five patients were comparable with greater than or equal to 80% correlation in 80% of patients. Real-time video-imaging concurrent with surgical manipulations enabled, for the first time using this device, visualization of dynamic instrument-retina interaction with targeted OCT tracking.

Conclusion: MIOCT is successful for imaging at surgical pauses and for real-time image guidance with implementation of targeted OCT tracking. Even faster acquisition speeds are currently being developed with incorporation of a swept-source MIOCT engine. Further refinements and investigations will be directed toward continued integration for real-time volumetric imaging of surgical maneuvers.

Translational Relevance: Ongoing development of seamless MIOCT systems will likely transform surgical visualization, approaches, and decision-making.
field in order to position the scanner over the eye (Fig. 1A). Imaging with portable SDOCT scanners is therefore limited by their inability to image the retina with instruments in the eye or concurrently with surgical maneuvers in real time.

To address this limitation, our group has developed a novel research prototype microscope-integrated SDOCT (MIOCT) device, which enables OCT acquisition simultaneous with surgical maneuvers (Fig. 1B). Several groups have also reported recent development of other SDOCT systems integrated within the operating microscope toward simultaneous imaging and surgery. We have previously described the technical design of the MIOCT scanner, which is based on folding of the optical OCT path into the full beam path of the operating microscope to enable high-resolution SDOCT imaging simultaneous with surgical viewing. In this report, we present results of MIOCT image acquisition first at pauses in surgery with instruments safely positioned in the mid-vitreous cavity and then concurrently with surgical maneuvers, and we identify future important requirements for progression toward seamless intrasurgical MIOCT imaging.

**Methods**

**MIOCT Design**

As we have previously described, a high-resolution MIOCT prototype device was developed to interface optically and mechanically with an ophthalmic operating microscope (Leica Microsystems, Heerbrugg, Switzerland). Briefly, a dichroic mirror allows folding of the MIOCT optical path into the surgical microscope above the objective lens to permit simultaneous imaging during surgical manipulations without altering the surgeon’s view. At this insertion point, the OCT beam was expanded to the ideal width for high-resolution, diffraction-limited imaging of the retina. This prototype microscope-integrated scanner was coupled with a Bioptigen SDOCT imaging engine with a center wavelength of 865 nm and a spectrometer equipped to acquire images at a rate of 20,000 A-scans per second. The lateral resolution was 15 μm, and the field of view was 10 × 10 mm. The axial resolution was 5 μm and the depth range was 1.55 mm.

**Human Imaging**

The Duke Health System institutional review board approved (IRB) this research protocol, which was also in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all applicable Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act regulations. A Data and Safety Monitoring Committee “plus” (which includes participants outside the institution) reviewed and approved the protocol and study progress. Informed consent was obtained per protocol from all patients participating in this study after discussing the nature and possible consequences of the study. The study is posted at clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01588041. Eligible patients were those undergoing retinal surgery (with CAT or PH), with the
exclusion of eyes with corneal or media opacities that would potentially interfere with OCT imaging.

Prior to the initiation of surgery, the MIOCT scanner was mounted onto the Leica surgical microscope. Optical and power cables were coursed along the microscope arm and ceiling to a computer workstation positioned to drive the MIOCT scanner and to receive the OCT image output. A dedicated member of the research team was assigned to operate the computer workstation. Optical power emitted from the OCT system was measured before and after all MIOCT imaging to ensure patient safety as per the IRB protocol.

MIOCT imaging was performed by first positioning the MIOCT-equipped microscope to center the surgical view over the pupil. The MIOCT scanner was engineered to scan the OCT beam concentrically with the viewing axis of the microscope, and MIOCT alignment was obtained as the surgeon oriented the globe at the start of surgery for optimal focus at the surgeon’s standard view. Once the surgeon was in focus for retinal work, the research team fine-tuned the alignment and focus of the OCT beam and the reference arm position.

All imaging was performed in the operating room on eyes undergoing macular surgery performed by two surgeons (CAT and PH). Standard three-port 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy was performed. As is the standard surgical practice pattern by these surgeons, indocyanine green (ICG)-assisted peeling of the internal limiting membrane (ILM) was performed for all cases of epiretinal membrane (ERM), vitreomacular traction (VMT), and full-thickness macular hole (FTMH). Images were obtained with the patient supine on the surgical bed using both MIOCT and HHOCT, both of which were performed under sterile conditions with the patient fully draped and the lid speculum in place. Initial imaging with HHOCT was performed prior to incision as previously described. Initial MIOCT imaging was performed following placement of surgical cannulas and optimal alignment of the surgeon’s view of the macula, as visualized through the surgical microscope with a Binocular Indirect Ocular Microscope (BIOM; Oculus Inc., Port St. Lucie, FL) wide-angle noncontact viewing system and an endoilluminating light source.

We previously reported planned stepwise translation of MIOCT imaging toward integrated human imaging in a prospective study consisting of three sequential stages (Supplemental Table 1). In stage 1, we successfully used the MIOCT system to obtain images at pauses in surgical manipulations with all instruments removed from the eye and compared these images with those obtained with a handheld SDOCT (HHOCT) device. In this study, we proceeded with Stage 2 and 3. In Stage 2, intraoperative MIOCT imaging was obtained at the start of surgery and at pauses following surgical manipulations, with the instruments stationary in the midvitreous cavity as further proof of concept that this device can obtain images during surgery. In Stage 3, MIOCT imaging was obtained at the above time points as well as concurrently with macular surgical manipulations. Intraoperative imaging was obtained through either the BIOM or a plano-concave contact lens at the surgeon’s discretion. MIOCT and HHOCT images were also captured at the completion of macular surgical maneuvers but prior to fluid-air exchange and cannula removal. Total OCT imaging time, including both MIOCT and HHOCT, was limited to 30 minutes per surgical case.

A real-time manual tracking system was developed and incorporated into the MIOCT during the course of this study to assist imaging of dynamic surgical maneuvers for Stage 3 imaging. Special purpose tracking software allowed a technician to dynamically trace the desired point of OCT imaging within the surgical field of view using a mouse pointer overlaid on the video feed from the surgical camera. The lateral position of the mouse cursor over the surgical camera frame was then calculated and converted into corresponding scanning mirror offsets used to relocate the OCT scan to the desired position. Prior to imaging, the tracking system was calibrated to ensure that the mouse cursor position correlated with OCT scan location on the en face view provided by a surgical video camera. This tracking system allowed live, dynamic relocation of the OCT scan position through real-time repositioning of a mouse cursor to any area of interest.

Image Acquisition and Post Processing

MIOCT images were acquired with an 8-mm B-scan length with 1000 A-scans/B-scan. Volumes were acquired over an 8 × 8–mm area when applicable. Live preview images were obtained in real time with adjustment of brightness and contrast as needed but without any post processing modifications. All data analysis was performed on these unprocessed, live images. For review, images were post processed with a previously published sparsity-based simultaneous denoising and interpolation (SBSDI) method. The SBSDI algorithm is based on the compressive sensing paradigm and uses a previously collected dataset of low-quality and corresponding high-quality images to...
learn the inherent image degradation model in an imaging system. SBSDI software is freely available online and has been previously used for reliable denoising of OCT images in human and murine eyes without increasing image blur.20,21 For this paper, the training set was acquired using the same system as in this study and used seven pairs of low- and high-quality images. The high-quality images were obtained by registering and averaging 14 to 18 images from the same position. All parameters were the same as used in the original SBSDI paper20 except that for MIOCT denoising, we used a dictionary patch size of $6 \times 3 \times 4$ pixels.

### Data Analysis

MIOCT and HHOCT images obtained during Stage 2 from matched time points without any postprocessing were scored by two graders, who were masked to imaging modality and imaging timepoint. Graders were required to examine all SDOCT image series and record: (1) whether the imaging was acceptable, defined as the ability to see both the inner retinal surface and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) interface in at least one MIOCT image, and (2) whether they could identify the following macular pathology or morphology on any scan captured in the series: (1) macular hole, (2) epiretinal membrane, (3) retinal edema, schisis, or cystoid structures, (4) subretinal fluid or retinal detachment, and (5) central retinal thickness from inner retina to RPE, in MIOCT compared with HHOCT images.

### Results

#### Stage 2 Intraoperative Imaging

Two sequential cohorts of five patients were imaged in Stage 2 of the MIOCT study, with intraoperative OCT imaging of patients first performed before macular surgery and then at an intraoperative pause in surgery (Table). The first cohort included eyes undergoing 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy for removal of ERM ($n=4$) and of VMT ($n=1$). The second cohort consisted of 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy for ERM ($n=3$) and FTMH ($n=2$). Through both cohorts, the MIOCT did not impair the surgeon’s subjective ability to effectively perform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Preoperative Pathology</th>
<th>Surgical Procedure</th>
<th>Surgery Uncomplicated?</th>
<th>Acceptable Imaging?</th>
<th>% Correlation MIOCT-to-HHOCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VMT</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FTMH</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FTMH</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>25G PPV/MP (ICG)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Defined as ability to visualize inner retinal surface and RPE interface in at least one MIOCT image.

*b Defined as number of identifiable elements, (1) macular hole, (2) epiretinal membrane, (3) retinal edema, schisis or cystoid structures, (4) subretinal fluid or retinal detachment, and (5) central retinal thickness from inner retina to RPE, in MIOCT compared with HHOCT images.
surgery in any surgical case, and there were no surgical complications or adverse events during any procedure or postoperatively. Imaging was graded as acceptable by predefined endpoints in all eyes.

Confirmation of preoperative diagnoses was achieved through review of Stage 2 MIOCT imaging in 90% of cases. MIOCT imaging confirmed successful completion of surgical goals (i.e., post peel absence of ERM overlying the fovea [Supplemental Fig. 1], absence of traction-inducing vitreous strands on the fovea, or removal of ILM as indicated by elevated perifoveal flaps) and confirmed the absence of iatrogenic complications (such as macular hole formation) in 80% of cases. In the remainder of cases, preoperative and intraoperative deficiencies were related to insufficient MIOCT image quality to adequately resolve an epiretinal membrane preoperatively in Case 4 and to adequately resolve presence or absence of an epiretinal membrane following peeling in Case 4 and 6 (Table).

Stage 2 imaging with instruments held static in the eye enabled, for the first time, MIOCT visualization of vitreoretinal instruments over the retinal surface. Imaging with metallic forceps in the midvitreous cavity resulted in complete shadowing of underlying retinal structures (Fig. 2). In contrast, imaging through the silicone tip of a diamond dusted membrane scraper allowed partial visibility of the underlying retina (Fig. 3). Objects positioned above the zero delay line resulted in a mirror image artifact that falsely suggests that the instruments are passing through the retinal surface (Fig. 3).

Comparison between MIOCT and HHOCT Stage 2 images demonstrated greater than or equal to 80% correlation in 80% of surgical cases (Table). Although the first cohort achieved its predefined endpoints, the imaging team made a voluntary decision to image a second cohort of five patients in order to gain more experience with MIOCT imaging prior to proceeding with Stage 3 imaging concurrent with surgical manipulations. In the second cohort, greater than or equal to 80% correlation between MIOCT and HHOCT was similarly achieved in 80% of cases. Image analysis was performed with unprocessed single OCT B-scan images. Although image processing was helpful in denoising the images, unprocessed image quality was sufficiently high that postprocessing did not reveal any previously unidentified pathology.

**Stage 3 Imaging**

Following successful completion of Stage 2 imaging in two separate cohorts, Stage 3 imaging was initiated,
enabling MIOCT acquisition simultaneous with dynamic surgical manipulations to visualize instrument-retina interaction. Early in Stage 3, the difficulty of such acquisition was realized. Because of the movement of the surgical instrument into and out of the MIOCT imaging field without a means to independently adjust the position of the MIOCT beam in real-time and/or obtain multiple scans spanning a macular volume in real-time, only maneuvers occurring within the fixed region of OCT acquisition could be imaged. To address this difficulty, an OCT tracking system was developed to allow live, dynamic targeting of the OCT beam in real-time to any area of interest (see Methods). Acquisition of sequential B-scans, when appropriately targeted to the location of a continually moving instrument, provided cross-sectional images of instrument interactions with the retina in real-time for the first time using this prototype device in surgery (Fig. 4, Supplemental Video 1).

Discussion

In this study, we present intraoperative imaging results obtained during Stage 2 and 3 of a prospective translational study designed to characterize MIOCT imaging during vitreoretinal surgery with instruments in the eye. All surgical procedures using this prototype device were successfully performed without complication or adverse events, and in all cases, the surgeon did not feel that the MIOCT unit interfered with surgery. Live images without any postprocessing modifications maintained high resolution and were graded acceptable (versus not acceptable), as defined by predetermined criteria in all cases (Figs. 2–4). While postprocessing denoising algorithms were useful in improving the signal-to-noise ratio for publication purposes, these post-processed images did not reveal any new pathology not identified in the live, unprocessed images.

We previously reported results from Stage 1 of intraoperative MIOCT imaging, obtained without instruments in the eye, in which a learning curve to properly orient the eye and obtain images through the fovea resulted in failure to achieve predefined endpoints in the first cohort imaged. During Stage 2 of MIOCT intraoperative imaging, orientation of MIOCT was performed through manipulation of instruments in the vitreous cavity to optimize the surgeon’s view through the surgical microscope, resulting in orientation and imaging that were significantly more intuitive to a surgeon than without instruments in the eye. Successful Stage 2 MIOCT imaging and acceptable correlation with HHOCT imaging, which was the only means, and thus standard of intraoperative imaging at the time of study design,
was obtained in both cohorts of five patients, demonstrating the ability of the MIOCT device to acquire images with clinically relevant details.

Similar to our previous report with Stage 1 MIOCT imaging, \(^1\) Stage 2 images reported herein were able to confirm preoperative pathology and identify postsurgical changes in retinal morphology, including successful completion of surgical goals such as ERM removal (Supplemental Fig. 1), VMT relief, and ILM peeling. Completion of surgical goals and absence of iatrogenic complications was confirmed with MIOCT imaging in all eyes in which intraoperative images were of adequate quality to qualitatively visualize retinal morphology details (80% of eyes). Quantitative assessments of these changes require calibration of our MIOCT device according to novel algorithms that would account for changes in refractive indices induced by BIOM and flat lens. While these algorithms were not available at the time of this study, quantitative analysis of intraoperative changes will be a goal of future investigations.

A recent prospective study of handheld OCT imaging obtained at pauses in surgery has demonstrated the importance of intraoperative OCT in surgical decision making. \(^22\) This impact not yet been systematically assessed with our or other microscope-integrated OCT systems that have been recently developed (iOCT; Haag-Streit, Wedel, Germany and RESCAN 700; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Oberkochen, Germany\(^{16,17}\)). These commercial systems are not currently available in the United States, and these systems have not been formally compared with HCHOCT imaging. As we have demonstrated in this and a previous report, \(^1\) MIOCT imaging at pauses in surgery provides comparable images to HCHOCT systems, and it is likely that MIOCT imaging therefore maintains this benefit of enhanced surgical decision making.

The true benefit of MIOCT imaging is the ability to acquire images not at full pauses in surgery but with instruments in the eye and especially concurrent with surgical manipulations. For the first time in humans using this device, we were able to use OCT to visualize instruments within the vitreous cavity, including intraocular forceps (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX) and the diamond dusted membrane scraper (Synergetics, O’Fallon, MO). As we and others have previously reported in model eyes, metallic instruments result in complete shadowing of underlying structures, whereas silicone instrumentation, such as the tip of the Tano scraper, allows partial transmission and therefore visualization of underlying retinal structures. \(^12,14,19,23\) While visualization under the instrument is currently limited and does not assist in performing surgical maneuvers at this time, its identification highlights the potential use of instrument development with novel materials optimized for OCT visualization of underlying retinal structures. \(^12\)

During MIOCT imaging with instruments in the eye, we identified significant technological deficiencies...
preventing seamless real-time MIOCT imaging of surgical manipulations. First, mirror-image artifacts caused by instruments extending above the zero delay line falsely suggest that these instruments are passing through the retinal surface. We have previously reported on use of an inverted imaging technique, similar to enhanced depth imaging employed by the Heidelberg Spectralis SDOCT system (Heidelberg Engineering, Inc., Carlsbad, CA),\textsuperscript{24} to shift the zero delay position below the retina, rather than above, as is normally performed for retinal imaging.\textsuperscript{14} We are currently exploring this technique for MIOCT imaging in human eyes. Hardware and software modifications to increase the axial imaging range would also minimize these artifacts. In particular, we are currently developing a next-generation MIOCT system employing swept-source OCT technology, which in addition to featuring faster imaging speed, enables longer scan depth and the potential for complete removal of the mirror image artifact.

The most important deficiency we identified was the absence of a targeting system to direct the OCT to an area of interest. A targeting system was not important for Stage 1 or Stage 2, which obtained imaging at a static time point. Stage 3 imaging, performed concurrent with active surgical manipulations and with a goal of viewing the site of instrument-tissue interaction, required dynamic relocation of the area of OCT imaging. Such dynamic imaging could only be obtained with real-time volume acquisition and/or the ability to continually target the OCT beam in real-time. We have recently developed such a tracking system. While this system is currently controlled by a technician manually targeting the desired imaging location intraoperatively by moving a mouse cursor over the surgical video feed, we are currently developing automated and surgeon-controlled tracking systems to follow a moving instrument or target. We predict that MIOCT image tracking will significantly improve our ability to obtain intrasurgical images of dynamic changes in retinal morphology in real time. We are also developing and testing a swept-source OCT based MIOCT system, whose increased speed will allow for near real-time volumetric retinal imaging for the first time. Near real-time volumetric imaging should relax the constraint for constant tracking of the OCT imaging location to the precise location of the surgical tool and should lead to even more seamless surgical integration and understanding of the impact of MIOCT on surgical approach and decision-making.
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