Residual Foveal Cone Structure in \(\textit{CNGB3}\)-Associated Achromatopsia
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Purpose. Congenital achromatopsia (ACHM) is an autosomal recessive disorder in which cone function is absent or severely reduced. Gene therapy in animal models of ACHM have shown restoration of cone function, though translation of these results to humans relies, in part, on the presence of viable cone photoreceptors at the time of treatment. Here, we characterized residual cone structure in subjects with \(\textit{CNGB3}\)-associated ACHM.

Methods. High-resolution imaging (optical coherence tomography [OCT] and adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy [AOSLO]) was performed in 51 subjects with \(\textit{CNGB3}\)-associated ACHM. Peak cone density and inter-cone spacing at the fovea was measured using split-detection AOSLO. Foveal outer nuclear layer thickness was measured in OCT images, and the integrity of the photoreceptor layer was assessed using a previously published OCT grading scheme.

Results. Analyzable images of the foveal cones were obtained in 26 of 51 subjects, with nystagmus representing the major obstacle to obtaining high-quality images. Peak foveal cone density ranged from 7,273 to 53,554 cones/mm\(^2\), significantly lower than normal (range, 84,753–234,901 cones/mm\(^2\)), with the remnant cones being either contiguous or sparsely arranged. Peak cone density was correlated with OCT integrity grade; however, there was overlap of the density ranges between OCT grades.

Conclusions. The degree of residual foveal cone structure varies greatly among subjects with \(\textit{CNGB3}\)-associated ACHM. Such measurements may be useful in estimating the therapeutic potential of a given retina, providing affected individuals and physicians with valuable information to more accurately assess the risk-benefit ratio as they consider enrolling in experimental gene therapy trials. (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT01846052.)
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Congenital achromatopsia (ACHM) is an autosomal recessive disorder of cone signal transduction that affects an estimated 1 in 30,000 people worldwide.\(^1,2\) Individuals affected with ACHM experience symptoms including low visual acuity, photo aversion, nystagmus, and impaired or absent chromatic discrimination. Rod-mediated vision in these individuals, however, remains largely normal. Congenital achromatopsia is generally thought to be both structurally and functionally stationary,\(^3\) though there have been reports demonstrating greater photoreceptor disruption in older patients.\(^4,5\) To date, achromatopsia has been associated with a mutation in one of six genes (\(\textit{CNGA3}, \textit{CNGB3}, \textit{GNAT2}, \textit{PDE6C}, \textit{PDE6H},\) and \(\textit{ATF6}\)), with \(\textit{CNGA3}\) and \(\textit{CNGB3}\) mutations accounting for approximately 70% of all ACHM cases.\(^3,6–9\) Animal models harboring both genetically engineered (mouse) and naturally occurring (mouse, canine, and sheep) mutations in these two genes have been used to demonstrate successful restoration of cone function via adeno-associated virus (AAV)-mediated gene therapy.\(^10–15\) As these therapies are considered for human application,\(^16\) defining the degree of remaining cone photoreceptor structure in patients with ACHM will be important for these efforts to succeed.\(^17\)
Optical coherence tomography (OCT) enables in vivo examination of retinal structure, with the outer hyperreflective bands corresponding to various aspects of the photoreceptor cell.\textsuperscript{30,31} A number of investigators have used OCT to examine individuals with ACHM, frequently noting disruption of the ellipsoid zone (EZ) band (also known as the inner segment/outer segment [IS/OS] band) at the fovea.\textsuperscript{2,15,20–24} The integrity of this band has been shown to range from intact and normally appearing to complete absence, with various levels of disruption in between.\textsuperscript{26} However, the lateral resolution of traditional OCT devices does not allow discrimination of individual photoreceptors (namely discrimination of rod versus cone structure), thus OCT provides at best a gross assessment of photoreceptor structure in ACHM.

Adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy (AOSLO) allows noninvasive imaging of the rod and cone mosaic with cellular resolution.\textsuperscript{27–29} With confocal AOSLO, cones in the normal parafoveal retina have a central reflective core and are surrounded by smaller rods, while cones in patients with CNGA3- or CNGB3-associated ACHM reflect little or no light and appear as dark gaps among the rod mosaic.\textsuperscript{20,23,25,30} The lack of reflectivity in these cones is suggestive of severely impaired waveguide properties, which is likely a result of some degree of disruption in cone OS structure.\textsuperscript{20,31} These cones, which will be referred to as ‘remnant’ cones, have structural disruption significant enough to impair observation with confocal AOSLO, but nevertheless remain as presumably living cells in the photoreceptor layers of the retina. This disruption to imaging represents a confounder when analyzing confocal AOSLO imagery, in that it is not possible to distinguish between a remnant cone with impaired waveguiding and a gap in the mosaic caused by an absent cone. In contrast, nonconfocal split-detector AOSLO allows observation of cone IS structure in a manner that is thought to be independent of waveguiding—that is, split detector imaging reveals the IS without the need to infer cone locations from gaps in the rod mosaic.\textsuperscript{30} This technique has recently been used to elucidate the degree of remnant cone structure in patients with a number of retinal degenerations,\textsuperscript{32,33} including four patients with CNGA3- and CNGB3-associated ACHM.\textsuperscript{30}

Despite the evidence for residual cone structure in patients with ACHM, there has been no systematic examination of the variability of remaining cone populations in these patients. To that end, we have undertaken a multicenter natural history study of patients with ACHM caused by mutations in CNGB3. Here, we report initial results on residual foveal cone structure in 51 genetically confirmed patients, including findings from OCT, confocal AOSLO, and split-detection AOSLO. We describe the intersubject variability in the density and distribution of remnant foveal cone IS. We likewise describe the variation in OCT phenotype and examine the correlation between OCT and AOSLO findings. As cones are themselves the target for emerging AAV-mediated gene therapy, the degree of residual cone structure, as measured by the number of remnant cones observed with split-detector AOSLO, may serve as a predictor of therapeutic potential across individuals.

METHODS

Subjects

This research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review boards at the Medical College of Wisconsin (Milwaukee, WI, USA), Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU; Portland, OR, USA), University of Florida (Gainesville, FL, USA), University of Miami (Miami, FL, USA), and Western Institutional Review Board. Fifty-one subjects were recruited through one of four sites (Bascam Palmer Eye Institute, Miami, FL, USA; OHSU Casey Eye Institute; The Chicago Lighthouse, Chicago, IL, USA; Vitreo Retinal Associates, Gainesville, FL, USA) where a clinical exam and Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) visual acuity assessment were performed. Subjects travelled to the Medical College of Wisconsin for imaging (both eyes of each subject were imaged), where axial length measurements (IOL Master; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) were obtained for each eye and used to correct the lateral scaling of the retinal images described below. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS (Cary, NC, USA).

Genetic Analysis

In 48 of 51 subjects, genetic testing was performed by the Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory at the OHSU Casey Eye Institute (Portland, OR, USA) to confirm the presence of mutations in both copies of the CNGB3 gene (Table). The CNGA3, GNA12, PDE6C, and PDE6H genes were also examined for the presence of mutations (Supplementary Table S1). For the three remaining subjects, genetic testing of CNGB3 was performed elsewhere—CEI-015 at University of Colorado (Denver, CO, USA), CEI-016 at Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (Philadelphia, PA, USA), and PCI-019 at the Wynn Institute for Vision Research Cover Laboratory (Iowa City, IA, USA).

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)

Prior to imaging, one drop each of tropicamide (1%) and phenylephrine hydrochloride (2.5%) was instilled in each eye for pupilary dilation and cycloplegia. Multiple scans were acquired in each eye of all subjects using the Bioptigen spectral-domain (SD) OCT (Bioptigen, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA). Vertical and horizontal line scans centered on the fovea were obtained, with a nominal scan length of 7 mm (1000 A-scans/B-scan, 120 B-scans). From these, between 10 and 50 B-scans were registered and averaged to improve signal-to-noise ratio using ImageJ software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/; provided in the public domain by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).\textsuperscript{34,35} To ensure the foveal location of these line scans, horizontal and vertical volume scans (nominally 7 × 7 mm) were also obtained (750 A-scans/B-scan, 250 B-scans), and manually inspected to identify the location of the center of the foveal pit. Layer nomenclature follows that of Staurenghi et al.,\textsuperscript{36} Foveal line scans were graded by two observers (CSL, JC) based on the scheme defined by Sundaram et al.,\textsuperscript{26} which specifies the integrity of the EZ (IS/OS) band. Grade I corresponds to an intact band, grade II corresponds to some disruption of the band, grade III corresponds to an absence of the band, grade IV describes a hyporeflective region below the external limiting membrane (ELM), and grade V corresponds to outer retinal atrophy (Fig. 1). Grading was confirmed by examining OCT scans acquired at the individual recruitment sites, which were obtained using the Spectralis SD-OCT system (Heidelberg Engineering, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany). This was done in consultation with an additional observer (MP), and any grading discrepancies were resolved by consensus. The five grading discrepancies that did occur were often due to the position of the scans obtained at the recruitment sites not being centered on the fovea. Outer nuclear layer (ONL) thickness was measured by taking 5-pixel wide longitudinal reflectivity profiles at the foveal center. As in previous studies,\textsuperscript{14,20,26,37} the ONL thickness was defined as the distance between the ELM and the next vitread hyperreflective band (Fig. 2). In individuals with complete displacement of the inner retinal layers at the fovea, the vitread
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>OCT Grade‡</th>
<th>ONL Thickness, μm</th>
<th>Peak Cone Density, Cones/mm²</th>
<th>Visual Acuity Letter Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPE-003</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1255G&gt;T:p.Glu419Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87.36</td>
<td>9.917</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE-010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84.86</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE-012</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82.37</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE-018</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.819_826del8:p.Arg274Valfs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79.87</td>
<td>53.554</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE-019</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1751T&gt;C:p.Leu584Pro†</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.90</td>
<td>11,240</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE-022</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67.39</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-001</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>13,554</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-002</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.819_826del8:p.Arg274Valfs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47.42</td>
<td>21,157</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-003</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.63</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-004</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.886_890del11insT:p.Arg206Tyrfs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>122.30</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-005</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74.88</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-006</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>42,314</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-008</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1006G&gt;T:p.Glu336Ter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81.12</td>
<td>27,107</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI-010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1306A&gt;C:p.Ser436Arg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.63</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-001</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.10</td>
<td>ND§</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-002</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.15</td>
<td>15,868</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-003</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.503C&gt;T:p.Thr168Met; c.1602T&gt;G:p.Tyr534Ter#</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>10,579</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-004</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74.88</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-006</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69.89</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.819_826del8:p.Arg274Valfs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.86</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.983T&gt;A:p.Met328Lys†</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-011</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.15</td>
<td>15,868</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-012</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.503C&gt;T:p.Thr168Met; c.1602T&gt;G:p.Tyr534Ter#</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.40</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-013</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.819_826del8:p.Arg274Valfs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>16,860</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-014</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.819_826del8:p.Arg274Valfs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>16,860</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-015</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.983T&gt;A:p.Met328Lys†</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-016</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.983T&gt;A:p.Met328Lys†</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73.63</td>
<td>44,959</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-017</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.983T&gt;A:p.Met328Lys†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.63</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-019</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54.91</td>
<td>8,926</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-020</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-021</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69.89</td>
<td>7,603</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-022</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>81.12</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-023</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56.16</td>
<td>12,893</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-024</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>16,198</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-025</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>22,479</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-026</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs; c.1432C&gt;T:p.Arg478Ter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64.90</td>
<td>38,678</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The split-strips and aligned to the reference frame based on normalized cross correlation, as previously described.43 As the split-detection channel.

Sinusoidal distortions are present in the raw images due to the scanning nature of the system, and were corrected by importing the image into Photoshop, which had the small angle approximation. This value was then linearly scaled using the subject’s axial length and a reference axial length of 24 mm, and multiplied by the retinal magnification factor of 291 μm/pixel. The final montage was aligned to the frame from one mode would also move the other type of image. A color-merged image was also produced by combining the regions with and without confocal signal and cone structure observable with split-detector imaging. The image scale was calculated by first determining the degrees per pixel in an image of a ruling with known spacing using the small angle approximation. This value was then linearly scaled using the subject’s axial length and a reference axial length of 24 mm, and multiplied by the retinal magnification factor of 291 μm/degree to obtain a final micrometer per pixel scale. The final montage was aligned with the Cirrus SLO image using Photoshop, which had the foveal center marked to allow for identification of the foveal pit center on the AOSLO images, to confirm that the region analyzed was the rod-free zone at the fovea.

**Measuring Foveal Cone Density**

For each subject, the eye with better image quality and lens motion artifact was chosen for quantitative analysis. Confocal

---

**Table Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>OCT Grade†</th>
<th>ONL Thickness, μm</th>
<th>Peak Cone Density, cones/mm²</th>
<th>Visual Acuity, Letter Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UFC-005</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.86</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC-006</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54.91</td>
<td>17,851</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC-007</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>93.60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC-008</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC-009</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93.60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFC-010</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>c.1148delC:p.Thr383Ilefs*</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, no data; F, female; M, male.

* Subject is homozygous for this mutation.

† To our knowledge this mutation has not been previously reported. The likely pathogenicity was determined using SIFT, PolyPhen-2, and PROVEAN analysis tools. SIFT (version 1.03, in the public domain, http://sift.jcvi.org/ accessed January 2016) results are reported to be tolerated if tolerance index > 0.05 or damaging if tolerance index ≤ 0.05. PolyPhen-2 (version 2.2.2, in the public domain, http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/, accessed January 2016) appraises mutations qualitatively as Benign, Possibly Damaging, or Probably Damaging based on the model’s positive rate. PROVEAN (version 1.1, in the public domain, http://provean.jcvi.org/human_protein_batch_submit.php, accessed January 2016) results are reported as having a neutral or deleterious effect as determined by averaged delta alignment scores. The p.Leu584Pro mutation was found to be probably damaging, damaging, and deleterious by these tools, respectively, and the p.Met328Lys mutation was found to be benign, damaging, and deleterious.

‡ OCT grade for other eye noted in parentheses if different from that for the eye imaged with AOSLO.

§ The cones in this subject’s foveal center were too closely packed to measure with our current split-detector modality. As such, this subject has a higher peak density than all other subjects.

¶ These subjects were genetically confirmed at sites other than the Casey Eye Institute Molecular Diagnostic Lab. CEI-015 at University of Colorado, CEI-016 at Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, and PCI-019 at the Wynn Institute for Vision Research Carver Laboratory.

∥ Additional mutations in ACHM-associated genes (see Supplemental Table S1).

‡‡ OCT grade for other eye noted in parentheses if different from that for the eye imaged with AOSLO.

* Additional mutations in ACHM-associated genes (see Supplemental Table S1).

¶¶ These subjects were genetically confirmed at sites other than the Casey Eye Institute Molecular Diagnostic Lab. CEI-015 at University of Colorado, CEI-016 at Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, and PCI-019 at the Wynn Institute for Vision Research Carver Laboratory.

† To our knowledge this mutation has not been previously reported. The likely pathogenicity was determined using SIFT, PolyPhen-2, and PROVEAN analysis tools. SIFT (version 1.03, in the public domain, http://sift.jcvi.org/ accessed January 2016) results are reported to be tolerated if tolerance index > 0.05 or damaging if tolerance index ≤ 0.05. PolyPhen-2 (version 2.2.2, in the public domain, http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/, accessed January 2016) appraises mutations qualitatively as Benign, Possibly Damaging, or Probably Damaging based on the model’s positive rate. PROVEAN (version 1.1, in the public domain, http://provean.jcvi.org/human_protein_batch_submit.php, accessed January 2016) results are reported as having a neutral or deleterious effect as determined by averaged delta alignment scores. The p.Leu584Pro mutation was found to be probably damaging, damaging, and deleterious by these tools, respectively, and the p.Met328Lys mutation was found to be benign, damaging, and deleterious.

§§ To our knowledge this mutation has not been previously reported, and is predicted disease causing by MutationTaster.

boundary is the internal limiting membrane (ILM), while in individuals with hypoplasia it is typically the outer plexiform layer (OPL).36 Additionally, Cirrus HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) line and volume scans were acquired along with a corresponding line scan optical coherence tomography fundus image to determine the location of the center of the foveal pit.
and split-detector montages were used to define the approximate extent of the rod-free zone at the fovea (Supplementary Fig. S1). The exact rod-free zone was not necessary to outline, so long as the region of highest cone density was captured within this area. All cones observed with the split-detector mode were manually marked within the rod-free zone to generate an array of cone coordinates. To assess peak foveal density, a $55 \times 55 \mu m$ sampling window was used to determine density in cones per square millimeter at each pixel within the coordinate array. The pixel location with the greatest value was taken to be the location of peak foveal density. Cone density was then compared with the grade of the EZ disruption as well as the ONL thickness on OCT.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics and Genetics**

Twenty-one female and 30 male subjects were recruited with a mean age of 22.8 years (range, 6–55 years). Mean ($\pm$SD) axial length was $24.26 \pm 1.87$ mm (range, 19.8–28.31 mm). All subjects had at least two mutations in the $CNGB3$ gene, with 35 (69%) being homozygous and 14 (27%) being heterozygous for the c.1148delC mutation (Table). Four previously unre-
ported mutations were identified: c.1751T>C:p.Leu584Pro, c.983T>A:p.Met328Lys, c.1602T>G:p.Tyr534Ter, and c.1520+4A>G, all of which were considered to be disease-causing (Table). Additionally, five subjects harbored mutations in other ACHM-associated genes (Supplementary Table S1). Visual acuity (ETDRS) ranged from 8 to 63 letters.

**Optical Coherence Tomography Demonstrates Variability in Foveal Structure**

Significant variation in outer retinal structure was seen on OCT. Foveal hypoplasia (retained inner retinal layers at the foveal center) was observed in 37 subjects (71%), consistent with the frequency reported in previous studies.25,26 With regards to the appearance of the second hyperreflective band (EZ or IS/OS), 18 subjects had a grade I phenotype, 13 were grade II, 1 was grade III, 16 were grade IV, and 3 were grade V (Table). This result does not differ significantly from the distribution of OCT grades in CNGB3-associated ACHM reported by Sundaram et al.20 (P = 0.319, Fisher’s exact test). The presence of foveal hypoplasia did not correlate with OCT grade (P = 0.5208, Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test).

Outer nuclear layer thickness ranged from 0 to 122 μm; mean ± SD ONL thickness for the grade I retinas was 81.9 ± 13.7 μm, 71.5 ± 18.8 μm for the grade II retinas, 71.5 μm for the grade III retina, and 68.7 ± 13.0 μm for the grade IV retinas (Table). As OCT grade V was always associated with an ONL thickness value of 0 μm (there is no outer retina present in these atrophic cases) these values were excluded from statistical analysis of ONL thickness. Compared with previously published normal values (mean ± SD = 105 ± 12.2 μm, n = 93, mean age ± SD = 29 ± 8.42), the ACHM subjects had a significantly thinner ONL (mean ± SD = 74.1 μm ± 16.0 μm, n = 48; P < 0.0001, t-test). The presence of foveal hypoplasia did not correlate with ONL thickness (P = 0.1902, Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test).

**Variability in Remnant Foveal Cone Density**

Low image quality as a result of nystagmus, poor fixation, and other factors precluded acquisition of analyzable images in a portion of the subjects in this study. Despite this limitation, at least some cone structure was observed in raw images of all retinas, though not necessarily at the fovea. The three grade V retinas had no detectable cone structure in the atrophic fovea, but remnant cone IS could be seen in areas immediately adjacent to the atrophic lesion (Supplementary Fig. S2).

We were able to acquire analyzable foveal cone images using split-detector AOSLO in 26 of the remaining 48 subjects (54%). The presence of foveal hypoplasia did not correlate with our ability to acquire analyzable AOSLO images (P = 1.00, Fisher’s Exact test). Peak cone density ranged from 7,273 to 53,554 cones/mm², with a mean value of 21,373 cones/mm² (Fig. 2). The presence of foveal hypoplasia did not correlate with peak cone density (P = 0.4943, Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test). The location of peak density was not found to be near the border of the examined region in any case.

In addition to variability in peak density, there was variability of the appearance of the residual foveal cone population from a contiguous mosaic to a sparse collection of cells (Fig. 3). We quantified this by determining the inter-cell distance (ICD) for each cell in the rod-free zone, which is the distance between each cell and its immediate neighbors. We then calculated the mean (μ) and SD (σ) of the ICD for each subject in order to find the coefficient of variation (CV = σ/μ), which indicates the overall variability in ICD independent of the actual ICD values (which vary widely across subjects). We determined what the range of the CV value was for our subjects, and six nondiseased retinas. There was generally greater variation in the ICD in the ACHM subjects (CV mean ± SD = 0.261 ± 0.066), than the healthy subjects (CV mean ± SD = 0.130 ± 0.014; P < 0.001, t-test; Fig. 4). The ICD values were higher in the ACHM group (mean ± SD = 12.59 ± 4.37 μm) than the nondiseased group (mean ± SD = 3.61 μm ± 0.22 μm; P < 0.001, t-test). The difference in ICD and CV indicate that the ACHM mosaics were less evenly spaced and generally more sparsely distributed than normal retinas. In addition, the reflectivity of these remnant cones on confocal AOSLO was abnormal, with only a few cones showing a central reflective core on confocal AOSLO imagery. This is consistent with previous reports in which 1% of cones in subjects with CNGB3-associated ACHM were reported to have normal reflectivity on confocal AOSLO.25

**Comparing AOSLO and OCT Measures of Cone Structure**

As the different measures of cone structure presented here likely reflect different aspects of cone anatomy, it is important to examine the relationship between these two measures to provide a better understanding of cone health in the ACHM retina. Measurements from the grade III retina were removed from analyses involving OCT grade due to the sample size restriction, while grade V retinas were excluded from analyses involving peak cone density or ONL thickness. We first examined the relationship between OCT grade and peak cone density and ONL thickness (Fig. 5). Using the Kruskal-Wallis test, we observed a significant association between OCT grade and both peak cone density (P = 0.0003) and ONL thickness (P = 0.0176). Further pairwise comparisons revealed significant differences between OCT grades I & II and grades I & IV for both peak cone density (P = 0.0143 and P = 0.0022) and ONL thickness (P = 0.0289 and P = 0.0096). Whether the reduced ONL thickness in the grade IV retinas is due to the protrusion of the hyporeflective zone pushing the ELM inward or actual loss of cone nuclei is not clear. We observed no correlation between foveal ONL thickness and peak cone density (P = 0.1462, Spearman Correlation). This latter finding may not be surprising, as the relationship between ONL measurements and cone IS is likely to be complex. First, our ONL measures are likely contaminated (albeit to a small degree) by residual Henle fiber layer that is not easily differentiated from “true” ONL without performing directional OCT.37,48 Moreover, it is not possible to determine the contribution of rod nuclei to our “foveal” ONL measurements.

**Effect of Age on Measures of Cone Structure**

Given the debate surrounding the progressive nature of ACHM, and the impact of this on defining potential therapeutic windows, we examined whether any of the anatomic measures reported here showed an association with age. As shown in Figure 6A, a significant association was observed between age and the different OCT grades (P = 0.0099, Kruskal-Wallis test), with II, IV, and V being different from grade I (P = 0.018, 0.0155, and 0.0205, respectively, Kruskal-Wallis test). There was no relationship between age and ONL thickness (P = 0.2988, Spearman correlation) or age and peak-cone density observed (P = 0.9796, Spearman correlation; Figs. 6B, 6C).
FIGURE 3. Variability in the foveal cone mosaic in patients with ACHM. (Top) Foveal montages obtained using split-detector AOSLO for two subjects with sparse foveal mosaics—PCI-008 with a peak density of 7,273 cones/mm² and PCI-007 with 12,231 cones/mm². (Bottom) Foveal montages for two subjects with relatively contiguous mosaics—PCI-009 with a peak density of 19,835 cones/mm² and PCI-021 with 44,959 cones/mm². Scale bar: 50 μm.

FIGURE 4. Variability in inter-cell distance (ICD). Measurements of ICD from all subjects were made and the CV was calculated for each subject. Shown is a histogram of the distribution of the CV for all ACHM subjects with quantified foveal cone populations. The vertical solid line denotes the mean and dashed lines the range of the normal CV from measurements made on the foveal cone populations of six nondiseased retinas.
these subjects (Supplementary Fig. S3), including those with frames, there was clear evidence of remnant cone structure in
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tion, there may be future opportunities to improve the ability of our inability to process the remaining subjects, though

Nystagmus and/or unstable fixation were the primary reasons of sufficient quality to be processed, montaged, and quantified.

We observed remnant cone IS structure in all subjects in this study. Of subjects with analyzable foveal cone images, the

number and spatial distribution of the foveal cones were highly variable—the foveal cone mosaic ranged from a contiguously

packed mosaic to a sparsely arranged collection of cones. Of the 48 subjects with grades I to IV retinas, only 26 had images of sufficient quality to be processed, montaged, and quantified. Nystagmus and/or unstable fixation were the primary reasons for our inability to process the remaining subjects, though there may be future opportunities to improve the ability of AOSLO devices to image these challenging patients by implementing eye-tracking tools. Despite this current limitation, it should be noted that even in individual raw AOSLO frames, there was clear evidence of remnant cone structure in these subjects (Supplementary Fig. S3), including those with

grade V retinas (Supplementary Fig. S2), though it was not possible to quantify cone density in these cases. Our finding of remnant cone IS structure in all CNGB3 ACHM retinas is supported by some older histologic reports, though these were published prior to the era of molecular genetic diagnostic capabilities.

The remnant cones visualized with split-detector AOSLO had low reflectivity in the corresponding confocal AOSLO images, consistent with previous reports in patients with ACHM.20,21,23,25,30 Some red-green color vision defects,44,51,52 and blue-cone monochromacy.44,53 Split-detector imaging, however, can reveal cone IS even when those cones are lacking the necessary waveguide properties for visualization with confocal AOSLO and AO funduscopy.50 Rods retain their reflectivity in ACHM, and surround the nonreflective “dark” cones in confocal AOSLO images acquired outside the fovea, allowing for fairly reliable identification of the remnant dark cones. However, this method of determining cone locations breaks down in areas with no rod structure (e.g., the fovea), where it becomes necessary to use split-detector imaging to reliably identify the cones. This technique has been used to identify cones in other disorders where they have altered reflectivity.32-35 As the confocal and split-detector images are acquired simultaneously and are spatially coregistered, they can be used to differentiate between cones passing higher order waveguide modes, rods, and nonphotoreceptor structures like RPE. It is important to note that we view these AOSLO techniques as complementary to one another and are not proposing that one is superior to the other, rather that both will play an important role in evaluating cone structure in inherited retinal degenerations.

One limitation of the present study is that we did not relate functional measures to any of the structural measures—this was intentional. One reason for this is the prevalence of nystagmus in this population—this makes it difficult to make accurate measurements of acuity and can confound ERG measurements. Moreover, with only a few exceptions, the acuity measures in our subjects are quite homogenous (Table). In order to make meaningful correlations between various modalities, it is necessary to have a reasonable spread of values with which to infer structure/function relationships. Additionally, functional measures like the ERG are a diffuse measure of visual function, while the structural measures made here are focal in nature. That said, the fact that patients have residual cone structure (presence of ONL and remnant cone IS) provides an anatomic basis for the residual 30-Hz flicker response on ERG observed previously in patients with ACHM,54 though the widespread retention of cone function on ERG has not been confirmed by others.16,55 In addition, it would be interesting to determine whether individuals with greater numbers of foveal cones (either IS remnants of ONL thickness) showed the same degree of cortical reorganization as has been reported in some ACHM patients,56 as this is a potential complication in the pursuit of restoring cone vision in patients with ACHM.

This study examined correlations between OCT imaging and cellular level AOSLO imaging. While ONL thickness does not correlate with peak cone density, less severe EZ phenotypes were associated with higher peak density values. This result does not, however, mean that the OCT grade can be used as a surrogate for cone density, given the wide overlapping range of cone densities within the grades I and II groups. While the highest cone density was seen in grade I or II retinas, there were also subjects with these less disrupted grade I and II OCT phenotypes whose density fell within the range observed for the grade III and IV retinas. This result indicates that a more severe OCT phenotype may be evidence for a low cone density, but a less disrupted OCT grade could
correspond to either a high or low cone density. The presence of remnant IS cone structure in all grades I to IV retinas indicates that disruptions in the EZ band on OCT do not necessarily correlate to the degree of photoreceptor loss measured with AOSLO in that region.\(^5\) Of course there is a concrete relationship in the grade V retinas, where there is a complete absence of cones within the atrophic region. It will be interesting to monitor how these various relationships between AOSLO- and OCT-derived measures of cone structure (cone density and ONL thickness, respectively) change over time, as we would predict small changes in foveal cones to manifest on AOSLO before OCT. The variability in this population points toward a need for longitudinal analysis of individuals to determine if there is progressive loss of cone structure within this or other genotypes. We should note that while our cross-sectional data suggest no association between cone density and age, previous studies have reported reduced parafoveal cone density in normal aging\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^8\); thus, it will be important to factor this into any subsequent longitudinal studies of parafoveal cone structure.

The present study focused entirely on \textit{CNGB3}-associated ACHM, motivated by an upcoming gene therapy trial targeting patients with \textit{CNGB3} mutations (in the public domain, www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02599922). There is evidence for genotype-dependent differences in visual function in patients with ACHM. For example, patients with ACHM caused by \textit{GNAT2} mutations have been shown to have some cone-mediated response to low-frequency stimuli.\(^5\)\(^9\) It was recently shown that these patients also have different photoreceptor structure than their \textit{CNGA3} or \textit{CNGB3} counterparts, with significantly greater numbers of cones exhibiting normal waveguiding behavior.\(^2\)\(^5\) As there is at least one trial enrolling patients with \textit{CNGA3}-associated ACHM (in the public domain, www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02610582), it will be important to establish the degree of residual cone structure in these individuals as well, as the cone population (the target of gene therapy) may vary between genotypes. Retinas with larger numbers of cone IS may have a greater therapeutic potential than individuals with fewer remnant cones. In addition, the viability of remnant cone soma (that may not have a detectable IS) needs to be considered (i.e., it may be that the split-detector provides an underestimate of residual cone structure, though our inability to image cone soma makes this a difficult hypothesis to test). Moving forward, it will be important to consider how (or if) to use this anatomic information when considering patients for enrollment. For example, consider a trial participant who shows no response to a given intervention; in the absence of accurate baseline information on residual cone structure, it would be difficult to determine if the lack of response is due to an ineffective treatment or whether there were simply too few cones (even if those cones became fully functional) to drive a change in visual behavior.\(^6\)\(^0\) Furthermore, the reflectivity of cone cells may recover as normal structure (and therefore normal waveguiding) is restored in them with therapy. This may provide a means of monitoring OS revival in treated cones.

In conclusion, split-detection AOSLO imaging appears to hold significant potential as a tool for probing residual cone structure in retinas disrupted by diseases like ACHM. Moving maximum and minimum, the \textit{diamond} the mean, and \textit{circles} signify outliers. In contrast, neither ONL thickness (B) nor peak cone density (C) showed an age relationship. Symbols in (B) and (C) represent the OCT grade: \textit{filled circles}, grade I; \textit{crosses}, grade II; \textit{squares}, grade III; \textit{triangles}, grade IV.

FIGURE 6. Cone structure measurements compared with age. In (A) OCT grade was found to have an age relationship ($P = 0.0099$), with more severe OCT grades being found in older individuals on average. \textit{Shaded regions} represent the first through third quartile, the \textit{horizontal line} the median, the \textit{extending lines} represent the
forward, AOSLO will be useful for continuing to understand the photoreceptor structure in multiple diseases and how structure may differ between genotypes within a given disorder. Additionally, cellular resolution imaging will be an important tool for planning and carrying out future trials to aid in patient selection, monitoring structure throughout the trial, and correlating cone structure to trial outcomes.
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